International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET) Volume 11, Issue 3, March 2020, pp. 23-29, Article ID: IJMET_11_03_004 Available online at http://www.iaeme.com/ijmet/issues.asp?JType=IJMET&VType=11&IType=3 ISSN Print: 0976-6340 and ISSN Online: 0976-6359 © IAEME Publication

MEASUREMENT OF FLOW RESISTIVITY USING COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

Aamir Khan, Dr. S. Jeyanthi

Department of Mechanical and Building Science, VIT University, Chennai, India.

Hemantika Aggarwal

Department of Electrical and Instrumentation, Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala, India

Karanbir Singh, Robin Kumar, Shivam Bhatia

Department of Mechanical and Building Science, VIT University Chennai, India.

ABSTRACT

Flow resistivity is one of the most important parameters used to describe the acoustic behavior of fibrous materials, as porosity is highly used in industrial context, this paper summarizes the simulation of finding the flow resistivity using computational fluid dynamics and minimizing the error between calculated and measured resistivity.

The estimation is made by taking Polyurethane Foam as sample fibrous material and varying porosity from 0.70 up to 0.98. The CFD tool analyzes and computes different pressure value respectively. Flow resistivity is further verified using experimental execution in which flow resistivity is measured from pressure measurement on a sample of porous material

Keywords: flow resistivity, fibrous material, CFD tool.

Cite this Article: Aamir Khan, Dr. S. Jeyanthi, Hemantika Aggarwal, Karanbir Singh, Robin Kumar and Shivam Bhatia, Measurement of Flow Resistivity using Computational Fluid Dynamics, *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology* 11(3), 2020, pp. 23-29.

http://www.iaeme.com/IJMET/issues.asp?JType=IJMET&VType=11&IType=3

1. INTRODUCTION

The Air flow resistivity is a highly critical parameter used to design various machines such as heat exchanger, reactors, ion exchangers etc. Even sound propagation in porous materials depends upon flow resistivity. Hence, a widely used industrial parameter, there are various ways of determining flow resistivity.

Flow depends on numerous factors including direction of flow, permeability of the substance, cross sectional area along with many other parameters but primarily it depends on the structure of the material and the velocity of the fluid.

At lowlevels Reynolds number and the viscous forces are dominant so they can be used.

In other cases Darcy's law can be successfully used [2]. It is defined as the rate at which a fluid flow through a permeable medium, such as an aquifer, is directly proportional to the drop in elevation between two places in medium and inversely proportional to the distance between them.

$$Q = -kA (Pb-Pa)/\mu L$$

Where, Q is the discharge (m3/s), A is the area of material (m3), Pbis the outlet pressure (Pa), Pa is the inlet pressure (Pa), μ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid (kg.m-1 s-1), L is the length of pipe (m), *k* is the Darcian permeability (m²).

Porous materials are highly complex and random therefore for estimating dynamics of resistivity CFD tool is used

Based on Navier-Stokes equation, it is a computational software used to visualize how gas and liquid flows along with its effect on passing object. Along with giving insights of the flow CFD can also be used to investigate phenomena at micro scale level in different porous substrate.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The main objective of the experiment is to find the flow resistivity of material as well as pressure loss. For this the Experiment is conducted taking a PVC pipe of diameter of 70 mm and length 40 cm. A porous material is placed in between the pipe of thickness 3cm. and two pressure gauges are attached at inlet and outlet.

As the velocity of air deflects while passing through porous material, therefore the intensity of flow changes pressure at inlet and outlet. Flow resistivity can be calculated as given below. As shown in table 1, the value of flow resistivity changes with porosity.

$$\sigma = (\Delta p) / V^*Dp$$

Where,

 Δp = change in pressure (convert to Pa from Psi)

V = velocity

Dp = diameter of material

Porosity	Pressure	e (Pascal)	Flow resistivity (Ns/m4)
0.69	102406.6	101322.8	14416.3486
0.72	102207.2	101324.2	17333.296
0.75	102301.4	101315.4	19633.5414
0.78	101918.9	101321.7	21506.4802
0.81	101798.2	101325	22485.0766
0.84	101846.5	101314.2	23351.2263

 Table 1 Pressure and flow resistivity value

3. SIMULATIONS

Poroacoustics domain in the Comsol software is used for quantified analysis of resistivity. A hollow cylinder with porous material at the center our model that is to be analyzed. Major inputs include air properties and flow rate. For defining the porous material properties, the zwikker-kosten model allows for porosity and hydraulic diameter of pores to construct and analyze the material without the need of calculating viscous resistance and inertial resistance as is the case in Ansys ICEM porous material steady flow analyses [3].

The air wave is input at 50 Hz frequency and with the calculated velocity. The input wave is allotted the pressure of 400 Pa.

The main inputs of the software are porosity, thickness and flow rate whose values are given in table 2.

Porosity	Thickness	Flow rate
0.70	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.73	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.76	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.79	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.81	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.84	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.87	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.9	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.92	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.94	20 mm	15 Liter/min
0.96	20 mm	15 Liter/min

 Table 2 Porosity with constant thickness & flow rate

The value of flow rate is kept constant i.e 15 litre/min and porosity are varied at the rate of 0.03 starting from 0.69 up to 0.96.

Velocity is further calculated using flow rate and area as given by

Velocity= Flow rate/ Area

Area = $3.14 R^{R}$

Radius= 45mm

Velocity=0.0318m/s

Hydraulic diameter of pore is also taken into account starting from .00049 m and varying it according to porosity.

The model used is zwikker- kosten, whose input details are explained in figure 1.

Set	ttings	-	
Porc	pacoustics		
Poro	acoustics model:		~
Zw	/ikker-Kosten	~	
-	Fluid Properties		
Fluid	material:		
Do	main material	~	
Dens	ity:		
$\rho_{\rm f}$	From material	~	
Heat	capacity at constant pressure:		
Cp	From material	~	
Ratio	of specific heats:		
Y	From material	~	
Ther	mal conductivity:		
k	From material	~	
Dyna	imic viscosity:		
μ	From material	~	
μ	From material	~	

Figure 1 Snapshot of comsol software

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Below figures gives the pressure value at inlet and outlet at different porosities. 12 simulations are prepared according to varying porosity with difference of 0.03 and for each value, pressure difference is obtained using CFD software, Resistivity is further calculated according to the given equation.

R=S*P/T*U

Where,

R= Resistivity S=Porosity

P=Pressure difference

T= Thickness

U= flow rate

The measured value and the experimental value of the resistivity has the error of only .001. As porosity is directly proportional to the resistivity, therefore resistivity increases as porosity of the material increases

HTH DI D C	I SI - I INY IVE			
freq(! Max/Min Line:	59)=1500 Surfa Total acoustic pr Max/Min Line: To	ce: Total acoustic ressure field Total stal acoustic press	pressure field (Pa) acoustic pressure fie sure field (Pa)	ild (Pa)
420	200	9 100x1 454	-200	400
-30			min: -451.73	100 0 100
ž				-200 -300 -400
Viessages Pro	aress Loa Ev	aluation 3D		-
	25 0.05 b 🔟		-	
and areas word :				

Figure 2 Pressure level at 0.70 porosity



Figure 3 Pressure level at 0.73 porosity

	2 1			-
a in m	-L - L×Y	Lys Lss IIII Es III		
freq Max/Min Line	59)=1500 S Total acoust Max/Min Lin	urface: Total acous ic pressure field To e: Total acoustic pr	itic pressure field (Pa tal acoustic pressure essure field (Pa)	field (Pa)
40,	200	Ŷ	-200	400
-240		1110x: 45		200
-370	1		min: -451.730	0
				-100
¥.				-300
1				-400
		I see a second second		
essages Pr	ogress Log	Evaluation 3D		
essages Pr	ogress Log	Evaluation 3D	HR -	

Figure 4 Pressure level at 0.76 porosity

Measurement of Flow Resistivity using Computational Fluid Dynamics



Figure 5 Pressure level at 0.79 porosity



Figure 6 Pressure level at 0.81 porosity



Figure 7 Pressure level at 0.84 porosity



Figure 8 Pressure level at 0.87 porosity

	Plot 1	-		-
Max/Min	freq(59)=1500 Sur Line: Total acoustic Max/Min Line:	face: Total acoustic pre pressure field Total acoustic Total acoustic pressure	essure field (Pa) oustic pressure field (P s field (Pa)	a) 🦛
40	200	o	-200	400
-10		1000041200	398.000	100
-28				-100
				-304
Aessages	Progress Log I	Ivaluation 3D		
· 유리 월: 한왕	kure 22 o.es > []			

Figure 9 Pressure level at 0.90 porosity

Aamir Khan, Dr. S. Jeyanthi, Hemantika Aggarwal, Karanbir Singh, Robin Kumar and Shivam Bhatia

40 200 0 -200 20 100 415:50 -20 -40 -20 -20 -200 -20 -200 -200	400
-20 -40 -38	1 200
-36	- 100
	-10
	-40
Aessages Progress Log Evaluation 3D	

Figure 10 Pressure level at 0.92 porosity



Figure 11 Pressure level at 0.94 porosity



Figure 12 Pressure level at 0.96 porosity



Figure 13 Pressure level at 0.96 porosity

Table 3 gives the value of pressure at inlet and outlet at each porosity level via computational fluid dynamics software and their respective resistivity can be easily calculated

Porosity	Pressure Difference	Resistivity
0.7	370-454	131880
0.73	373-450	120890
0.76	377-444	105190
0.79	379-438	92630
0.81	381-433	81640
0.84	382-428	72220
0.87	384-423	61230
0.9	385.5-418	51025
0.92	386-415	45530
0.94	387-412	39250
0.96	387.4-410	35482
0.98	388-407	29830

 Table 3 Pressure and flow resistivity value

5. CONCLUSION

Flow resistivity have uncountable applications in engineering, hence purpose of this project was to characterize flow resistivity using CFD software and validate the results using experiments. The results are very accurate and precise to the experimental values with the error of only .001 Taking into account the anisotropic nature of material; there are small variations in the result which is also due to the deflection in thickness of porous material. The resistivity increases with increase in porosity as expected

REFERENCES

- [1] H. G. Weller, G. Tabor, H. Jasak, C. Fureby, A Tensorial Approach to CFD using Object Orientated Techniques, Computers in Physics Vol. 12 (No. 6) (1998) 620
- [2] Anderson J D 1995 Computational fluid dynamics. McGraw Hill, New York.
- [3] G. Tabor, O. Yeo, P. Young, P. Laity, CFD Simulation of Flow through an Open Cell Foam, International Journal of Modern Physics Vol. 19 (2008) 703–715
- [4] Amit Sharma., Mishra S.N., Pradeep kumar and Bhanu Pratap Singh (2012) Development and thermal analysis of new class of storage elements International Journal of Engineering