See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343738032

Displacement-based formulation of Koiter's method: application to multimodal post-buckling finite element analysis of plates

Preprint · August 2020 DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.25893.73441/1 CITATIONS READS 0 252 2 authors: Saullo G. P. Castro Eelco L. Jansen Delft University of Technology Hogeschool Rotterdam 67 PUBLICATIONS 678 CITATIONS 194 PUBLICATIONS 797 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE SEE PROFILE Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Evaluation and modelling of the fatigue damage behaviour of polymer composites at reversed cyclic loading View project

FULLCOMP - FULLy integrated analysis, design, manufacturing and health-monitoring of COMPosite structures View project

Graphical Abstract

Displacement-based formulation of Koiter's method: application to multi-modal post-buckling finite element analysis of plates

S. G. P. Castro, E. L. Jansen



Highlights

Displacement-based formulation of Koiter's method: application to multi-modal post-buckling finite element analysis of plates

S. G. P. Castro, E. L. Jansen

- Derivation of a complete formulation of Koiter's method for multi-mode analysis
- Achievement of a transparent correspondence between formulation and numerical implementation of Koiter's method
- Application to single-mode and multi-mode initial post-buckling analysis of isotropic and composite plates
- Development of an enhanced Bogner-Fox-Schmit (BFS) finite element with enriched in-plane displacements to verify the formulation
- Verification by comparison with literature
- Calculation and report of reference values for multi-modal expansion coefficients and second-order fields

S. G. P. Castro^{*a*}, E. L. Jansen^{*b*}

^aDelft University of Technology, Group of Aerospace Structures and Computational Mechanics, Kluyverweg 1 2629 HS Delft, Netherlands ^bRotterdam University of Applied Sciences, School of Engineering and Applied Science, G.J. de Jonghweg 4-6, 3015 GG Rotterdam

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Koiter method Displacement-based Buckling Post-buckling Finite elements Classical formulation Plate

ABSTRACT

Koiter's asymptotic method enables the calculation and deep understanding of the initial post-buckling behaviour of thin-walled structures. For the single-mode asymptotic analysis, Budiansky (1974) presented a clear and general formulation for Koiter's method, based on the expansion of the total potential energy function. The formulation from Budiansky is herein revisited and expanded for the multimodal asymptotic analysis, of primordial importance in structures with clustered bifurcation modes. Given the admittedly difficult implementation of Koiter's method, especially for multi-modal analysis and during the evaluation of the third- and fourth-order tensors involved in Koiter's analysis; the presented study proposes a formulation and notation with close correspondence with the implemented algorithms. The implementation is based on state-of-the-art collaborative tools: Python, NumPy and Cython. The kinematic relations are specialized using von Kármán shell kinematics, and the displacement field variables are approximated using an enhanced Bogner-Fox-Schmit (BFS) finite element, modified to reach third-order interpolation also for the in-plane displacements, using only 4 nodes per element and 10 degrees-of-freedom per node, aiming an accurate representation of the second-order fields. The formulation and implementation are verified by comparing results for isotropic and composite plates against established literature. Finally, results for multi-modal displacement fields with up to 5 modes and corresponding post-buckling factors are reported for future reference.

1. Introduction

The asymptotic theory originally proposed by Koiter [1] allows a rapid evaluation of the initial post-buckling behavior of structures. The method has been used within a semianalytical context [2, 3] and has also been applied within a finite element framework [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In recent years, the method has been applied in the context of variable stiffness of panel-type structures [17, 18, 14, 16, 19, 20] and in the analysis of imperfection sensitive shells [21, 22, 23].

In particular, the multi-modal formulation of Koiter's approach provides a systematic and efficient procedure to assess the nonlinear behavior of the structure in cases where several buckling modes interact, such as in structures highly optimized for buckling [24, 25, 26] and imperfection-sensitive shell structures [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. In such designs, small imperfections due to variations in manufacturing parameters can induce different bifurcation paths [33, 34], which can be studied by Koiter's perturbation analysis.

Plates may also show clustered buckling modes, usually when high aspect ratios are involved [16]. Madeo et al. [16] evaluated variable stiffness plates where 4 modes were required in the multi-modal expansion to obtain a satisfactory approximation of the post-buckling behavior. In these studies, the authors used a bi-linear mixed 4-node plate element (MISS-4 [35, 36, 37]) with first-order shear deformation theory.

The aim of the present work is to derive a complete

displacement-based formulation for the single- and multimodal Koiter's asymptotic analysis. The formulation and notation herein proposed attempts to keep a close correspondence between the theory and the implemented algorithms, and thereby helpful in addressing the issues experienced in the past with the finite element implementation of Koiter's asymptotic method, as highlighted by Casciaro [9].

The following discussion starts revisiting the double expansion of the total potential functional using Koiter's theory, as proposed by Budiansky [38] for a single-mode asymptotic analysis. The formulation is then expanded for the more general case of a multi-modal asymptotic analysis. General-purpose functional derivatives are presented and expressions for these functional derivatives are later obtained using von Kármán non-linear plate kinematics. These expressions can be used with any displacement-based analytical, semi-analytical or numerical approach; and in the present study it is proposed to approximate the displacement fields using the Bogner-Fox-Schmit (BFS) element [39], one of the most accurate rectangular finite elements for predicting the deflection of thin-walled shells, as stated by Zienkiewicz & Taylor [40, p. 153]. The conventional BFS achieves third-order interpolation for the deflection using only 4 nodes per element and 6 degrees-of-freedom per node. An enrichment is proposed to the conventional BFS element to achieve third-order interpolation also for the inplane displacements, keeping only 4 nodes per element and increasing to 10 degrees-of-freedom per node. This enrichment of the in-plane displacements enable high accuracy while approximating the second-order displacement fields, required in Koiter's method. The developed formulation and implementation is verified against existing literature for

ORCID(s): 0000-0001-9711-0991 (S.G.P. Castro); 0000-0001-7511-2910 (E.L. Jansen)

single- and multi-modal asymptotic expansion of metallic and composite plates, under various loading and boundary conditions. Reference results for multi-modal analysis that are not readily available in the literature are reported, including the second-order displacement fields.

2. Expansion of the Total Potential Energy Functional

Koiter's asymptotic theory was first presented in 1945 [1]. Budiansky [38] presents a complete review of Koiter's asymptotic method, proposing a general-purpose formulation based on the total potential energy functional of the system. The present work elaborates on this formulation and expands it to the complete multi-modal Koiter's analysis. Given a total potential energy functional that has the displacements \boldsymbol{u} and the a scalar load multiplier λ as unknowns $\phi[\boldsymbol{u}, \lambda]$. At a pre-buckling static equilibrium:

$$\delta \phi[\mathbf{u_0}, \lambda_0] = \phi'[\mathbf{u_0}, \lambda_0] \delta \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{1}$$

where u_0 is a known solution corresponding to the prebuckling load λ_0 . The formulation herein presented is compatible with either a linear or a nonlinear pre-buckling state. In Eq. 1, the notation $\phi' \delta u$ is used instead of $\delta \phi$ to conveniently express the functional variation as a tensor product between the Fréchet derivative ϕ' and the variation of the vector containing all degrees-of-freedom δu [38]. The first functional variation becomes a first-order tensor multiplying a vector; the second variation becomes a second-order tensor multiplying two vectors, and so forth, as demonstrated in Eq. 2.

$$\delta \phi = \phi' \delta u$$

$$\delta^2 \phi = \phi'' \delta u \delta u$$

$$\delta^3 \phi = \phi''' \delta u \delta u \delta u$$

$$\delta^4 \phi = \phi^{i\nu} \delta u \delta u \delta u \delta u$$

(2)

Assume that there exists at least one point of equilibrium that intersects $[\boldsymbol{u}(\lambda), \lambda]$ at a bifurcation point $[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c]$, such that $\boldsymbol{u_c} = \lambda_c \boldsymbol{u_0}$. This bifurcation point is evaluated according to Appendix A. Hence, at the bifurcation point, the following static equilibrium ought to exist:

$$\delta \phi[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c] = \phi'[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c] \delta \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$
(3)

Assuming that ϕ is continuous at the vicinity of u_c , a Taylor expansion around the equilibrium point $[u_c, \lambda_c]$ can be applied on Eq. 3 to find approximations for new state of equilibrium $[u(\lambda), \lambda], \forall \lambda > \lambda_c$. The error of this approximation becomes zero when $lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_c}$. For this first Taylor expansion, a displacement perturbation v is assumed such that:

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{u}(\lambda) - \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}} \tag{4}$$

with $\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_c} v = 0$ because u crosses the bifurcation point. The notation $\phi_c^{(n)} = \phi^{(n)}[u_c, \lambda_c]$, is adopted to obtain the result of the first Taylor expansion shown in Eq. 5, that approximates the total potential energy functional at $[u, \lambda_c]$, with the new displacement state being $u = u_c + v$.

$$\phi'[\boldsymbol{u}, \lambda_c] \delta \boldsymbol{u} = \phi'_c \delta \boldsymbol{u} + \phi''_c \delta \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{2} \phi'''_c \delta \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \phi^{iv}_c \delta \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v}^3 + O(4) = 0$$
(5)

It is worth emphasizing that each Frechét derivatives from the first expansion of Eq. 5 is calculated at $[\boldsymbol{u}_c, \lambda_c]$, and not $[\boldsymbol{u}_0, \lambda_c]$; where $\boldsymbol{u}_c = \lambda_c \boldsymbol{u}_0$ and \boldsymbol{u}_0 is a linear or nonlinear pre-buckling state. Terms $\phi_c^{(n)}$ represent n^{th} -order Frechét derivatives of the total potential energy functional about the displacement degrees of freedom \boldsymbol{u} . Equation 2 demonstrates how these Frechét derivatives of the total potential energy functional ultimately generate n^{th} -order tensors. Vectors $\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ and \boldsymbol{v} are 1^{st} -order tensors, such that $\phi_c^{(n)} \boldsymbol{v}^{(n-1)} \delta \boldsymbol{u}$ should be read as n^{th} -order tensor products. Defining the following notation for the derivatives of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ in terms of λ [38]:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_c^{(n)} &= \phi^{(n)} [\boldsymbol{u}_c, \lambda_c] \\ \dot{\phi}_c^{(n)} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \phi_c^{(n)} \\ \ddot{\phi}_c^{(n)} &= \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \phi_c^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$
(6)

To approximate the total potential energy functional at $[u, \lambda]$ each Frechét derivate of Eq. 5 undergoes a second Taylor expansion that assumes $u(\lambda)$ continuous for any load increment $\lambda - \lambda_c$, resulting in:

$$\phi^{(n)}[\boldsymbol{u}_{c},\lambda] = \phi^{(n)}_{c} + \dot{\phi}^{(n)}_{c}(\lambda - \lambda_{c}) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\phi}^{(n)}_{c}(\lambda - \lambda_{c})^{2} + O(3)$$
(7)

The expressions in Eq. 7 are readily applied into Eq. 5 to obtain the approximation for the total potential energy functional at the new equilibrium, i.e. $\phi'[\mathbf{u}, \lambda]$, which becomes:

$$\phi'[\boldsymbol{u},\lambda]\delta\boldsymbol{u} = \left(\phi_c'' + \dot{\phi}_c''(\lambda - \lambda_c) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\phi}_c''(\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 + \cdots\right)\boldsymbol{v}\delta\boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_c''' + \dot{\phi}_c'''(\lambda - \lambda_c) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\phi}_c'''(\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 + \cdots\right)\boldsymbol{v}^2\delta\boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{6}\left(\phi_c^{iv} + \dot{\phi}_c^{iv}(\lambda - \lambda_c) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\phi}_c^{iv}(\lambda - \lambda_c)^2 + \cdots\right)\boldsymbol{v}^3\delta\boldsymbol{u} + \cdots$$
(8)

3. Single-Mode Asymptotic Analysis

Koiter [1] proposed to express $\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_c$ and $\lambda - \lambda_c$ using the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}} = \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^2 \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{I}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^3 \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{I}} + \cdots$$

$$\lambda - \lambda_c = a_I \lambda_c \boldsymbol{\xi} + b_I \lambda_c \boldsymbol{\xi}^2 + \cdots$$
(9)

where: (1) ξ is a scalar parameter. (2) u_I is a first-order field, taken directly from one or a linear combination of multiple linear buckling modes. Vector u_I is customarily rescaled dividing by the maximum normal displacement amplitude and multiplying by the plate or shell thickness. (3) u_{II} is a second-order field that provides a correction to the first-order field. (4) The third-order field u_{III} , and higher, are assumed to have a negligible contribution. (5) a_I and b_I are respectively first- and second-order load parameters to be determined. Equation 9 is a reduced-order model (ROM) relating the load λ and displacement u around the equilibrium point [u_c , λ_c]. Note that this ROM could have been built around any equilibrium point, a property that is explored in the Koiter-Newton approach [41, 42, 43, 44]. Koiter's expansion given by Eq. 9 is directly used into Eq. 8 to render:

$$\xi^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{2} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \boldsymbol{u}_{II} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime} + a_{I} \lambda_{c} \boldsymbol{u}_{I} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime} \right) \delta \boldsymbol{u} + \xi^{3} \left(\frac{1}{6} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{3} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{iv} + a_{I} \lambda_{c} \boldsymbol{u}_{II} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{2} a_{I} \lambda_{c} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \frac{1}{2} a_{I}^{2} \lambda_{c}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{I} \ddot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime} + \boldsymbol{u}_{HII} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{\mu + 0} + \boldsymbol{u}_{I} \boldsymbol{u}_{II} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime} + b_{I} \lambda_{c} \boldsymbol{u}_{I} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime} \right) \delta \boldsymbol{u} + \cdots = 0$$
(10)

Note in Eq. 10 that only the terms up to ξ^3 are shown. Equation 10 must be satisfied regardless the values of ξ and $\delta \boldsymbol{u}$, such that each term must vanish separately. The arbitrary value $\delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_I$ can be used [38], with \boldsymbol{u}_I being the first eigenvector when I = 1, or a composition of eigenvectors obtained at the bifurcation point $[\boldsymbol{u}_c, \lambda_c]$. With $\delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_I$ the orthogonality of the second-order field leads to $\dot{\phi}_c'' \boldsymbol{u}_I \boldsymbol{u}_{II} = 0$. Hence, Eq. 10 can be used to obtain the equations for a_I and b_I in the single-mode expansion:

$$a_{I} = -\frac{1}{2\lambda_{c}} \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{3} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}}{\boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime}}$$

$$b_{I} = -\left(\frac{1}{6} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{4} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{iv} + \frac{1}{2} a_{I} \lambda_{c} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{3} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} a_{I}^{2} \lambda_{c}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{2} \ddot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime} + \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{II} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\right) / \left(\lambda_{c} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\right)$$

$$(11)$$

Equation 11 is in agreement with Casciaro [9]. Note in Eq. 11 that a_I can be calculated using only u_I , whereas b_I additionally needs the second-order field u_{II} . Budiansky [38] suggests to compute u_{II} using the terms for ξ^2 in Eq. 10:

$$\phi_c'' \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{II} \delta \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}_I^2 \phi_c''' \delta \boldsymbol{u} + a_I \lambda_c \boldsymbol{u}_I \dot{\phi}_c'' \delta \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

which must hold for all arbitrary variations $\delta \boldsymbol{u}$, such that:

$$\phi_c^{\prime\prime} \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{II} + \frac{1}{2} {\boldsymbol{u}}_I^2 \phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime} + a_I \lambda_c {\boldsymbol{u}}_I \dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_c^{\prime\prime} = 0$$
(12)

For problems in solid mechanics ϕ_c'' will generally be an invertible positive-definite square matrix [38], such that the solution of Eq. 12 can be:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{I}\boldsymbol{I}} = \left[\boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\right]^{-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}}^{2} - a_{\boldsymbol{I}}\lambda_{c}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}}\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\right)$$
(13)

Note in Eqs. 12 and 13 that vector \bar{u}_{II} is appropriately used instead of u_{II} because Eq. 13 allows multiple solutions of \bar{u}_{II} for different multipliers applied to u_I . However, one must guarantee that any calculated \bar{u}_{II} is orthogonal to u_I such that the second-order displacement vector u_{II} becomes a valid vector basis for the reduced-order model of Eq. 9. Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization [45] can be used to obtain the orthogonal component of \bar{u}_{II} , where first the projection of \bar{u}_{II} onto u_I is obtained as:

$$proj_{u_{I}}\bar{u}_{II} = u_{I} \frac{\langle \bar{u}_{II}, u_{I} \rangle}{\langle u_{I}, u_{I} \rangle}$$

Next, the orthogonal u_{II} can be calculated subtracting the projection from \bar{u}_{II} , using:

$$\boldsymbol{u_{II}} = \boldsymbol{\bar{u}_{II}} - \boldsymbol{u_I} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\bar{u}_{II}}, \boldsymbol{u_I} \rangle}{\langle \boldsymbol{u_I}, \boldsymbol{u_I} \rangle}$$
(14)

4. Multi-Modal Asymptotic Analysis

The possibility to perform post-buckling analysis in structures with clustered buckling modes is one of the apparent advantages of Koiter's method compared to other methods [9, 16]. The necessity of considering multiple modes in the asymptotic expansion has been demonstrated by many authors, e.g. when the vibration frequencies are closely spaced [46]; variable-angle tow plates with clustered buckling modes [16]; and imperfection sensitive structures [47, 38, 48, 28, 49, 29, 50, 22].

The single-mode asymptotic expansion of Eq. 9 can be generalized to a multi-modal asymptotic expansion, as shown in Eqs. 15 and 16 [51, 12]:

$$\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u_c} = \boldsymbol{v} = \xi_i \boldsymbol{u_i} + \xi_i \xi_j \boldsymbol{u_{ij}} + \cdots$$
(15)

$$\xi_I(\lambda - \lambda_I) = \lambda_I a_{Ijk} \xi_j \xi_k + \lambda_I b_{Ijk\ell} \xi_j \xi_k \xi_\ell + \cdots \quad (16)$$

where summation convention is applied for repeated indices $j, k, \ell = 1, 2, \dots m$ is applied. Equation 16 is a reduced-order model consisting of a system of *m* equations obtained for $I = 1, 2, \dots, m$, which has $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m$ unknowns. The value λ_I correspond to the I^{th} linear buckling eigenvalue u_I , always re-scaled by dividing with the

maximum out-of-plane displacement and multiplying by the plate thickness. Finding the right number of linear buckling modes m in the multi-modal analysis is a common question [9], and an accepted criterion is to select a number of modes that lies within 10%-20% departing from the first critical load [9].

Note that Eq. 15 consists on a reduced-order model to calculate displacements **u** based on a pre-buckled state u_c with known linear buckling modes u_i and known second-order displacement fields u_{ij} . As in the case of the single-mode expansion, for plates and shells it is customary to re-scale u_{ij} dividing by the maximum normal displacement amplitude of u_{ij} and multiplying by the plate or shell thickness. The coefficients ξ_i for i = 1, ..., m are found for each load λ after solving the system of *m* equations given by Eq. 16. Solving Eq. 16 requires the calculation of all coefficients a_{ijk} and $b_{ijk\ell}$.

The expressions given by Eqs. 15 and 16 are applied to the expanded total potential energy functional of Eq. 8. The terms multiplying $\xi_j \xi_k$ and $\xi_j \xi_k \xi_\ell$ are collected, analogously to the terms multiplying ξ^2 and ξ^3 for the single-mode expansion in Eq. 10. The collected terms for the multimodal expansion are shown in Eq. 17, where the following orthogonality property of the linear buckling modes is used: $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 0, \forall i \neq j$; leading to $\phi''_c u_i u_j = 0, \forall i \neq j$; $\dot{\phi}''_c u_i u_j = 0, \forall i \neq j$; and $\ddot{\phi}''_c u_i u_j = 0, \forall i \neq j$. Moreover, collected terms in brackets that are multiplying any of the perturbation parameters $\xi_{j,k,\ell}$ ultimately vanish, knowing that $\xi_{j,k,\ell} \to 0$.

$$\xi_{j}\xi_{k}\left[\left(a_{ijk}+a_{ikj}\right)\lambda_{i}u_{i}\dot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}+\phi_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}u_{j}u_{k}+\phi_{c}^{\prime\prime}u_{jk}+\phi_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}u_{kj}\right]\delta u \\ +\xi_{j}\xi_{k}\xi_{\ell}\left[\lambda_{i}\dot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}u_{i}\left(b_{ijk\ell}+b_{ik\ell j}+b_{i\ell k j}\right)\right. \\ +b_{ij\ell k}+b_{ikj\ell}+b_{i\ell j k}\right)+\phi_{c}^{i\nu}u_{j}u_{k}u_{\ell} \\ +\phi_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\left(u_{j}u_{k\ell}+u_{j}u_{\ell k}+u_{k}u_{j\ell}\right) \\ +\ddot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\lambda_{i}^{2}u_{i}\left(a_{iij}a_{ik\ell}+a_{iji}a_{ik\ell}+a_{iij}a_{i\ell k}+a_{iji}a_{i\ell k}\right) \\ +\ddot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\lambda_{i}^{2}u_{i}\left(a_{iij}a_{ik\ell}+a_{iji}a_{ik\ell}+a_{iik}a_{i\ell j}+a_{iki}a_{i\ell j}\right) \\ +\dot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\lambda_{i}\left(a_{iij}u_{k}u_{\ell}+a_{iji}u_{k}u_{\ell}+a_{iik}u_{j}u_{\ell}+a_{iki}u_{j}u_{\ell}\right) \\ +\dot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\lambda_{i}\left(a_{iij}u_{k}u_{\ell}+a_{iji}u_{k}u_{\ell}+a_{iik}u_{j}u_{\ell}+a_{iki}u_{j}u_{\ell}\right) \\ +\dot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\lambda_{i}\left(a_{iij}u_{k}u_{\ell}+a_{iji}u_{k}u_{\ell}+a_{iik}u_{j}u_{\ell}+a_{iki}u_{i}u_{\ell}\right) \\ +a_{ii\ell}u_{j}u_{k}+a_{i\ell j}u_{j}u_{k}+a_{ik\ell}u_{i}u_{j}+a_{i\ell k}u_{i}u_{j}\right) \\ \int \delta u \\ +\cdots = 0$$

$$(17)$$

For the expanded equilibrium to be stationary, each term in Eq. 17 must vanish separately, similarly to the singlemode expansion. Assuming $\delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_i$ in Eq. 17, the expressions for a_{ijk} and $b_{ijk\ell}$ can be obtained, as respectively given in Eqs. 18 and 19.

$$a_{ijk} = -\frac{1}{2\lambda_i} \frac{\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime} u_i u_j u_k}{\dot{\phi}_c^{\prime\prime} u_i u_i}$$
(18)

$$b_{ijk\ell} = \frac{-1}{6\lambda_i \dot{\phi}_c'' u_i u_i} \left[\phi_c^{iv} u_i u_j u_k u_\ell + 3\phi_c''' u_i \left(u_j u_{k\ell} + u_\ell u_{jk} \right) + \lambda_i \dot{\phi}_c''' u_i \left(a_{iij} u_k u_\ell + a_{ijk} u_\ell u_i + a_{ik\ell} u_i u_j \right) + \lambda_i^2 \ddot{\phi}_c''' u_i u_i \left(a_{iij} a_{ik\ell} + a_{ijk} a_{i\ell i} + + a_{ik\ell} a_{iij} \right) \right]$$

$$(19)$$

Note in the calculation of $b_{ijk\ell}$ that the second-order fields u_{ij} are needed. As in the single-mode expansion case, a nonorthogonal second-order field \bar{u}_{ij} is calculated first. Note that the terms in brackets multiplying $\xi_j \xi_k$ in Eq. 17 are obtained for any i^{th} mode. Therefore, the contribution for all i = 1, ..., m modes are added and the following equation for \bar{u}_{ij} is obtained:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{j}\boldsymbol{k}} = \left[\boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\right]^{-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{k}} - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{i=m}a_{ijk}\lambda_{i}\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\right) (20)$$

The orthogonal second-order field vectors in the multimodal asymptotic expansion can be obtained after successive Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization [45] operations, used to remove the components of \bar{u}_{jk} that are parallel to all linear buckling modes used in the multi-modal expansion u_i , with i = 1, 2, ..., m. This orthogonalization procedure is formulated in Eq. 21.

$$\boldsymbol{u_{jk}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{jk} - \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} \boldsymbol{u}_i \frac{\langle \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}_{jk}, \boldsymbol{u}_i \rangle}{\langle \boldsymbol{u}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_i \rangle}$$
(21)

5. Functional Derivatives using Von Kármán Kinematics

This section demonstrates how to calculate the functional derivatives leading to the n^{th} -order tensors $\phi_c^{(n)}$, $\dot{\phi}_c^{(n)}$ and $\ddot{\phi}_c^{(n)}$, previously introduced during the single-mode and multi-modal asymptotic expansions. The discussion focus on von Kármán non-linear kinematics, and can be easily extended to other non-linear kinematic equations, such as those proposed by Sanders [49, 52] and Timoshenko & Gere [52, section 2.2.4].

5.1. Strains

Von Kármán proposed a kinematic relation for plates that neglect various non-linear terms that come from the full nonlinear Green-Lagrange strain-displacement relations [52, section 2.2.2]. Von Kármán kinematics are also referred to in the literature as Donnell-type [53, 54] or Kirchhoff-Love non-linear equations. Using classical equivalent single layer theory, the three-dimensional strains are expressed as $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x, y, z) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(x, y) + z\boldsymbol{\kappa}(x, y)$, such that the extensional $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and rotational $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ strains can be defined in terms of the in-plane displacement field variables u(x, y), v(x, y), w(x, y) as:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{xx} \\ \varepsilon_{yy} \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} u_{,x} + \frac{1}{2}w_{,x}^{2} \\ v_{,y} + \frac{1}{2}w_{,y}^{2} \\ u_{,y} + v_{,x} + w_{,x}w_{,y} \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa} = \begin{cases} \kappa_{xx} \\ \kappa_{yy} \\ \kappa_{xy} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} -w_{,xx} \\ -w_{,yy} \\ -2w_{,xy} \end{cases}$$
(22)

Assuming the following approximation for the displacement field:

$$u, v, w^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{S}^{u} \mathbf{u}$$
$$\mathbf{S}^{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}^{u} \\ \mathbf{S}^{v} \\ \mathbf{S}^{w} \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

where $S^{u,v,w}$ are known shape functions; each component u, v, w can be written adopting summation convention for repeated indices as:

$$u = S_a^u u_a$$

$$v = S_a^v u_a$$

$$w = S_a^w u_a$$
(24)

with $a = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The strain variations can be represented as:

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \delta \boldsymbol{u} \\ \delta \boldsymbol{\kappa} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}' \delta \boldsymbol{u}$$
⁽²⁵⁾

where the \prime (prime) symbol is used to denote a Frechét's differentiation. Adopting index notation to represent the strains, such that $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_{xx}, \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_{yy}, \varepsilon_3 = \gamma_{xy}, \kappa_1 = \kappa_{xx}, \kappa_2 = \kappa_{yy}, \kappa_3 = \kappa_{xy}$; the first and second variations of the extensional and rotational strains become:

$$\delta \varepsilon_{i} = \varepsilon_{ia}^{\prime} \delta u_{a}$$

$$\delta \kappa_{i} = \kappa_{ia}^{\prime} \delta u_{a}$$

$$\delta (\delta \varepsilon_{i}) = \varepsilon_{iab}^{\prime \prime} \delta u_{a} \delta u_{b}$$

$$\delta (\delta \kappa_{i}) = \kappa_{iab}^{\prime \prime} \delta u_{a} \delta u_{b}$$
(26)

With these definitions, the first Frechét's differentiation of the strains can be represented as:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{a}^{\prime} = \begin{cases} S_{a,x}^{u} + w_{,x} S_{a,x}^{w} \\ S_{a,y}^{v} + w_{,y} S_{a,y}^{w} \\ S_{a,y}^{u} + S_{a,x}^{v} + w_{,x} S_{a,y}^{w} + w_{,y} S_{a,x}^{w} \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{a}^{\prime} = \begin{cases} -S_{a,xx}^{w} \\ -S_{a,yy}^{w} \\ -2S_{a,xy}^{w} \end{cases}$$
(27)

The second differentiation:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ab}^{\prime\prime} = \begin{cases} S_{a,x}^{w} S_{b,x}^{w} \\ S_{a,y}^{w} S_{b,y}^{w} \\ S_{a,y}^{w} S_{b,y}^{w} + S_{a,x}^{w} S_{b,y}^{w} \end{cases}$$
(28)
$$\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ab}^{\prime\prime} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

Note in Eq. 28 that ε_{iab}'' represents a symmetric secondorder tensor, which is an important property to be considered while implementing the method.

For the differentiations with respect to λ , we must recall that all functional expansions were calculated about the bifurcation point $[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c]$, such that the strains and stresses are those corresponding to the displacement $\boldsymbol{u_c} = \lambda \boldsymbol{u_0}$, with $\lambda = \lambda_c$. Starting with $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, using the notation $\partial(\cdot)/\partial\lambda = (\cdot)$ and $\partial^2(\cdot)/\partial\lambda^2 = (\cdot)$:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \begin{cases} u_{0,x} + \lambda w_{0,x}^{2} \\ v_{0,y} + \lambda w_{0,y}^{2} \\ u_{0,y} + v_{0,x} + 2\lambda w_{0,x} w_{0,y} \end{cases}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} = \begin{cases} -w_{0,xx} \\ -w_{0,yy} \\ -2w_{0,xy} \end{cases}$$
(29)

If the pre-buckling state u_0 is evaluated linearly for a plate with no bending-extension coupling and only in-plane prebuckling loads, $w_0 = 0$ and the initial post-buckling analysis is greatly simplified. Nevertheless, the formulation presented next is valid for the more general case of $w_0 \neq 0$.

The second differentiation with respect to λ gives:

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \begin{cases} w_{0},_{x}^{2} \\ w_{0},_{y}^{2} \\ 2w_{0},_{x}w_{0},_{y} \end{cases}$$

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} = \boldsymbol{0}$$
(30)

For $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'_a$ and $\boldsymbol{\kappa}'_a$, the first differentiation with respect to λ gives:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{a}^{\prime} = \begin{cases} w_{0,x} S_{a,x}^{w} \\ w_{0,y} S_{a,y}^{w} \\ w_{0,x} S_{a,y}^{w} + w_{0,y} S_{a,x}^{w} \end{cases}$$
(31)
$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}_{a}^{\prime} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

For the second differentiations with respect to λ , $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}'_{a} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}'_{a} = \mathbf{0}$. For $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}''_{ab}$ and $\boldsymbol{\kappa}''_{ab}$ all derivatives with respect to λ are zero.

SGP Castro, EL Jansen: Preprint submitted to Elsevier

5.2. Stresses

Based on Eqs. 22 - 31 it is straightforward to compute the corresponding stresses. Using classical constitutive relations for laminated composites [55] and adopting the index notation: $N_1 = N_{xx}$, $N_2 = N_{yy}$ and $N_3 = N_{xy}$; $M_1 = M_{xx}$, $M_2 = M_{yy}$ and $M_3 = M_{xy}$; the stress-strain relations can be written as:

$$N_{i} = A_{ij}\varepsilon_{j} + B_{ij}\kappa_{j}$$

$$M_{i} = B_{ij}\varepsilon_{j} + D_{ij}\kappa_{j}$$
(32)

where A_{ij} represents the plate membrane stiffness; B_{ij} the membrane-bending coupling stiffness; and D_{ij} the bending stiffness; all for i, j = 1, 2, 3. The first Frechét derivative of the stress terms are:

$$N'_{ia} = A_{ij}\varepsilon'_{ja} + B_{ij}\kappa'_{ja}$$

$$M'_{ia} = B_{ij}\varepsilon'_{ja} + D_{ij}\kappa'_{ja}$$
(33)

Recalling from Eq. 28 that $\kappa_{jab}'' = 0$, the second Frechét derivatives are:

$$N_{iab}^{\prime\prime} = A_{ij} \varepsilon_{jab}^{\prime\prime}$$

$$M_{iab}^{\prime\prime} = B_{ij} \varepsilon_{jab}^{\prime\prime}$$
(34)

Note that N''_{iab} , M''_{iab} are symmetric second-order tensors. The first derivatives with respect to λ can be readily computed as:

$$\dot{N}_{i} = A_{ij}\dot{\varepsilon}_{j} + B_{ij}\dot{\kappa}_{j}$$

$$\dot{M}_{i} = B_{ij}\dot{\varepsilon}_{j} + D_{ij}\dot{\kappa}_{j}$$
(35)

$$\dot{N}'_{ia} = A_{ij}\dot{\varepsilon}'_{ja}$$

$$\dot{M}'_{ia} = B_{ij}\dot{\varepsilon}'_{ja}$$
(36)

$$\dot{N}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} = 0$$

$$\dot{M}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} = 0$$
(37)

Finally, the second derivatives about λ are:

$$\begin{split} \ddot{N}_{i} &= A_{ij} \ddot{\varepsilon}_{j} \\ \ddot{M}_{i} &= B_{ij} \ddot{\varepsilon}_{j} \\ \ddot{N}'_{ia} &= 0 \\ \ddot{M}'_{ia} &= 0 \\ \ddot{N}''_{iab} &= 0 \\ \ddot{M}''_{iab} &= 0 \end{split}$$
(38)

5.3. Functional Derivatives

Assuming a general loading vector with distributed forces \hat{N} at the plate boundaries $\delta \Omega$, the total potential energy can be written as:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(N_i \epsilon_i + M_i \kappa_i \right) d\Omega - \int_{\delta\Omega} \lambda \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{N}}}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{u} d(\delta\Omega)$$
(39)

where $d\Omega = dxdy$ and summation convention is adopted for terms with repeated index *i* with *i* = 1,2,3. The stationary total potential energy at $[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c]$ is defined as $\phi'_c = \phi'[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c]$, calculated using the first variation of $\phi_c = \phi[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c]$:

$$\phi_{c}^{\prime}\delta\boldsymbol{u} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\left(\delta N_{i}\varepsilon_{i} + N_{i}\delta\varepsilon_{i} + \delta M_{i}\kappa_{i} + M_{i}\delta\kappa_{i}\right)d\Omega - \int_{\delta\Omega}\lambda\boldsymbol{\hat{N}}^{\mathsf{T}}\delta\boldsymbol{u}d(\delta\Omega)\right]$$
(40)

The variation $\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ is defined as $\delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \{\cdots, u_{a}, \cdots\}^{\mathsf{T}}$, such that the first Frechét derivative of the total potential energy becomes:

$$\phi_{c}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{u_{a}} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\left(N_{ia}^{\prime}\varepsilon_{i} + N_{i}\varepsilon_{ia}^{\prime} + M_{ia}^{\prime}\kappa_{i} + M_{i}\kappa_{ia}^{\prime}\right)d\Omega - \int_{\delta\Omega}\lambda\widehat{\boldsymbol{N}}^{\dagger}S_{a_{X}=\ell_{X}}^{\boldsymbol{u}}d(\delta\Omega)\right]u_{a}$$

$$(41)$$

Resuming with the second Frechét derivative, now replacing $\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ with $\delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{b}} = \{\cdots, u_b, \cdots\}^{\mathsf{T}}$:

$$\begin{split} \phi_c'' \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{b}} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{iab}'' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i + N_{ia}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ib}' + N_{ib}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ia}' + N_i \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{iab}'' \right)^{0} \\ &+ M_{iab}'' \boldsymbol{\kappa}_i + M_{ia}' \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ib}' + M_{ib}' \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ia}' + M_i \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{iab}'' \right)^{0} d\Omega \right] \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{iab}'' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i + N_{ia}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ib}' + N_{ib}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ia}' + N_i \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{iab}'' \right)^{0} d\Omega \right] \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \\ &+ M_{iab}'' \boldsymbol{\kappa}_i + M_{ia}' \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ib}' + M_{ib}' \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ia}' \right) d\Omega \right] \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \end{split}$$

$$(42)$$

Note that ϕ_c'' in Eq. 42 represents a second-order tensor with all geometrically non-linear terms present. If a linear pre-buckling state is assumed, ϕ_c'' becomes the linear constitutive stiffness matrix of the system [9]. Continuing with the third Frechét derivative, now using $\delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_c = \{\cdots, u_c, \cdots\}^{\mathsf{T}}$:

$$\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime} \boldsymbol{u_a u_b u_c} = \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{iabc}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_i^0 + N_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{ic}^\prime + N_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{ib}^\prime \right)$$

SGP Castro, EL Jansen: Preprint submitted to Elsevier

$$+ N'_{ia}\epsilon''_{ibc} + N''_{ibc}\epsilon'_{ia} + N'_{ib}\epsilon''_{iac} + N'_{ic}\epsilon''_{iab}
+ N_{i}\epsilon''_{iabc} + M''_{iabc}\kappa_{i}^{0} + M''_{iab}\kappa_{ic} + M''_{iac}\kappa_{ib}^{\prime}
+ M'_{ia}\epsilon''_{ibc} + M''_{ibc}\kappa_{ia}^{\prime} + M'_{ib}\epsilon''_{iac})^{0}d\Omega] u_{a}u_{b}u_{c}
= \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega} \left(N''_{iab}\epsilon_{ic}^{\prime} + N''_{iac}\epsilon_{ib}^{\prime} + N'_{ia}\epsilon''_{ibc}
+ N''_{ibc}\epsilon_{ia}^{\prime} + N'_{ib}\epsilon''_{iac} + N'_{ic}\epsilon''_{iab}
+ M''_{iab}\kappa_{ic}^{\prime} + M''_{iac}\kappa_{ib}^{\prime} + M''_{ibc}\kappa_{ia}^{\prime} \right)d\Omega] u_{a}u_{b}u_{c}$$
(43)

Lastly, using $\delta u = u_d = \{\cdots, u_d, \cdots\}^{\mathsf{T}}$, the fourth Frechét derivative gives:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{c}^{iv} u_{a} u_{b} u_{c} u_{d} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{iabd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ic}^{0} + N_{iab}^{''} \varepsilon_{icd}^{''} + N_{iacd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ib}^{0} \right) \\ &+ N_{iac}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibd}^{''} + N_{iad}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{''} + N_{ibcd}^{''} \varepsilon_{ia}^{0} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{0} \\ &+ N_{ic}^{''} \varepsilon_{iabd}^{''} + N_{iabd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ic}^{0} + M_{iacd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ibb}^{0} \\ &+ N_{ic}^{''} \varepsilon_{iabd}^{''} + M_{iabd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ic}^{0} + M_{iacd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ibb}^{0} \\ &+ M_{iac}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibd}^{''} + M_{ibcd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ia}^{0} + M_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{0} \\ &+ M_{iac}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibd}^{''} + M_{ibcd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ia}^{0} + M_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} \\ &+ M_{iac}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibd}^{''} + N_{ibcd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{iac}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ibb}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''v} + N_{icd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} + N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibd}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''v} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{''v} \varepsilon_{ia$$

It is now possible to compute the functional differentiations corresponding to the second expansion of the total potential energy functional, about λ . From Eq. 42, the first differentiation about λ becomes:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{u}_{a}\boldsymbol{u}_{b} &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\left(\dot{N}_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}^{0} + N_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i} + \dot{N}_{ia}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime}\right. \\ &+ N_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{ib}^{\prime} + \dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + N_{ib}^{\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{ia}^{\prime} + \dot{N}_{i}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime} \\ &+ N_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{i}^{0} + M_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}_{i} + \dot{M}_{ia}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ib}^{\prime} \\ &+ M_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}_{ib}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{ib}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ia}^{\prime} + M_{ib}^{\prime\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}_{ia}^{\prime} + \dot{M}_{ia}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ib}^{\prime} \\ &+ M_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}_{ib}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{ib}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ia}^{\prime} + M_{ib}^{\prime\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}_{ia}^{\prime\prime}\right)^{0} d\Omega \right] u_{a}u_{b} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\left(N_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{i} + \dot{N}_{ia}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime} + N_{ia}^{\prime\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{ib}^{\prime} \\ &+ \dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + N_{ib}^{\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{ia}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}_{i} \\ &+ \dot{M}_{ia}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ib}^{\prime} + \dot{M}_{ib}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{ia}^{\prime}\right) d\Omega \right] u_{a}u_{b} \quad (45) \end{split}$$

The second differentiation of ϕ_c'' about λ becomes:

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{u}_{a}\boldsymbol{u}_{b} &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega} \left(\dot{N}_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\dot{\varepsilon}_{i}^{0} + N_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime}\ddot{\varepsilon}_{i}^{0} + \ddot{N}_{ia}^{\prime\prime}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{0}\right) \\ &+ 2\dot{N}_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime} + N_{ia}^{\prime}\ddot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime\prime} + \ddot{N}_{ib}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + 2\dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} \\ &+ N_{ib}^{\prime}\ddot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{0} + \ddot{N}_{i}\varepsilon_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{i}\dot{\varepsilon}_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{iab}\dot{\kappa}_{i}^{0} \\ &+ M_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\ddot{\varepsilon}_{i}^{\prime} + \ddot{M}_{ia}\dot{\kappa}_{ib}^{\prime} + \dot{M}_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\kappa}_{ib}^{0} \\ &+ M_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\ddot{\kappa}_{i}^{\prime} + \ddot{M}_{ia}\dot{\kappa}_{ib}^{\prime} + \dot{M}_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\kappa}_{ib}^{0} \\ &+ \ddot{M}_{ib}^{\prime}\kappa_{ia}^{\prime} + \dot{M}_{ib}^{\prime}\dot{\kappa}_{ia}^{\prime}\right)^{0}d\Omega \right]u_{a}u_{b} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega} \left(N_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\ddot{\varepsilon}_{i} + 2\dot{N}_{ia}^{\prime}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime} \\ &+ 2\dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + \ddot{N}_{i}\varepsilon_{ia}^{\prime\prime\prime}\right)d\Omega \right]u_{a}u_{b} \quad (46) \end{split}$$

The first differentiation of $\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime}$ can be calculated based on Eq. 43 as:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime} u_{a} u_{b} u_{c} &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ic}^{\prime} + N_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ic}^{\prime} + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \varepsilon_{ib}^{\prime\prime} \right) \\ &+ N_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime} + \dot{N}_{ia}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} + N_{ia}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \varepsilon_{ia}^{\prime\prime} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + \dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{iac}^{\prime\prime} + N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \varepsilon_{ia}^{\prime\prime} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime\prime} \varepsilon_{iac}^{\prime\prime} + N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \\ &+ N_{ic}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \kappa_{ic}^{\prime} + M_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ic}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \kappa_{ib}^{\prime\prime} \\ &+ M_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\kappa}_{ib}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{M}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\kappa}_{ia}^{\prime} + M_{ibc}^{\prime\prime\prime} \dot{\kappa}_{ia}^{\prime\prime} \right) d\Omega \bigg] u_{a} u_{b} u_{c} \\ &= \bigg[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(N_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ic}^{\prime} + N_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime} + \dot{N}_{ia}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \\ &+ N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + \dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime\prime} \varepsilon_{iac}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ic}^{\prime\prime} \varepsilon_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \bigg) d\Omega \bigg] u_{a} u_{b} u_{c} \quad (47) \end{split}$$

The second differentiation of $\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime}$ about λ can be calculated from Eq. 47:

$$\ddot{\phi}_{c}^{\prime\prime\prime} u_{a} u_{b} u_{c} = \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\dot{N}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ic}^{\prime} + N_{iab}^{\prime\prime} \ddot{\varphi}_{ic}^{\prime} + \dot{N}_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime} \right) + N_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \ddot{\varepsilon}_{ib}^{\prime} + \ddot{N}_{ia}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ia}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} \right] + N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \ddot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + \ddot{N}_{ib}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iac}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iac}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} \\ + N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime} \ddot{\varepsilon}_{ia}^{\prime} + \ddot{N}_{ib}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iac}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ib}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iac}^{\prime\prime} \\ + \ddot{N}_{ic}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iab}^{\prime\prime} + \dot{N}_{ic}^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon}_{iab}^{\prime\prime\prime} \right] d\Omega u_{a} u_{b} u_{c} = 0 \quad (48)$$

The first and second differentiation of ϕ_c^{iv} with respect to λ can be calculated based on Eq. 44:

$$\dot{\phi}_{c}^{iv} u_{a} u_{b} u_{c} u_{d} = \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\dot{N}_{iab} \varepsilon_{icd}^{''} + N_{iab}^{''} \varepsilon_{icd}^{''} + \dot{N}_{iac} \varepsilon_{ibd}^{''} \right) + N_{iac}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{''} + N_{iad}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{''} + N_{iad}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{''} + N_{iad}^{''} \varepsilon_{ibc}^{''} + N_{ibc}^{''} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibc}^{''} \varepsilon_{iad}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''} \varepsilon_{iac}^{''} + N_{ibd}^{''} \varepsilon_{iab}^{''} +$$

$$\ddot{\phi}_{c}^{i\nu}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{d}} = 0 \tag{50}$$

6. Modified Bogner-Fox-Schmit Finite Element

The Bogner-Fox-Schmit (BFS) finite element [39] is a classical C1 contiguous confirming plate element obtained by taking tensor products of cubic Hermite splines. The BFS is one of the most accurate rectangular finite elements for thin-walled shells, as stated by Zienkiewicz & Taylor [40, p. 153], and therefore has been chosen to implement the formulation herein developed. With only 4 nodes per element, the standard BFS element approximates the out-of-plane displacements using 3^{rd} -order polynomials, which is still a reasonable low-order interpolation for plates and very simple to implement [56], in contrast with triangular elements which use higher order polynomials [56], such as the Argyris element [57].

A drawback of the standard BFS element when used in the context of Koiter's method is the linear interpolation of the in-place displacements [39], resulting in a poor convergence when trying to represent the second-order displacement fields u_{ij} and all dependent quantities such as the $b_{ijk\ell}$ factors of Eq. 19.

A modified BFS element is proposed to enable third-order interpolation also for the in-plane displacements. Additional 4 degrees-of-freedom per node are included, being the first derivatives of the in-plane displacements: $u_{,x}$, $u_{,y}$, $v_{,x}$ and $v_{,y}$. Hence, no nodes are added and the resulting element has still 4 nodes with 10 degrees-of-freedom per node. This enhanced BFS element is referred to in the following discussion as BFSC: Bogner-Fox-Schmit-Castro. The in-plane u, vand out-of-plane w displacements are approximated using:

$$u, v, w = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{S}_{i}^{u, v, w} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{e}i}$$

$$(51)$$

where u_{ei} contains the 10 degrees-of-freedom of the i^{th} node. The matrices containing the shape functions $S_i^{u,v,w}$ are defined as:

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{i}^{u} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{i} & H_{i}^{x} & H_{i}^{y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \boldsymbol{S}_{i}^{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & H_{i} & H_{i}^{x} & H_{i}^{y} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & H_{i} & H_{i}^{x} & H_{i}^{y} & H_{i}^{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$
(52)
$$\boldsymbol{S}_{i}^{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & H_{i} & H_{i}^{x} & H_{i}^{y} & H_{i}^{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $H_i, H_i^x, H_i^y, H_i^{xy}$ calculated using natural coordinates [58, 59, 60]:

$$H_{i} = \frac{1}{16} (\xi + \xi_{i})^{2} (\xi \xi_{i} - 2)(\eta + \eta_{i})^{2} (\eta \eta_{i} - 2)$$

$$H_{i}^{x} = -\frac{\ell_{x}}{32} \xi_{i} (\xi + \xi_{i})^{2} (\xi \xi_{i} - 1)(\eta + \eta_{i})^{2} (\eta \eta_{i} - 2)$$

$$H_{i}^{y} = -\frac{\ell_{y}}{32} (\xi + \xi_{i})^{2} (\xi \xi_{i} - 2)\eta_{i} (\eta + \eta_{i})^{2} (\eta \eta_{i} - 1)$$

$$H_{i}^{xy} = \frac{\ell_{x} \ell_{y}}{64} \xi_{i} (\xi + \xi_{i})^{2} (\xi \xi_{i} - 1)\eta_{i} (\eta + \eta_{i})^{2} (\eta \eta_{i} - 1)$$
(53)

where ℓ_x, ℓ_y are respectively the finite element dimensions along x, y. The values of ξ_i, η_i given in Eq. 54 were adopted for each of the four nodes.

$$Node \quad \xi_i \quad \eta_i \\ 1 \quad -1 \quad -1 \\ 2 \quad +1 \quad -1 \\ 3 \quad +1 \quad +1 \\ 4 \quad -1 \quad +1 \end{cases}$$
(54)

In the present study, only rectangular elements were used, such that the natural coordinates can be defined simply as: $\xi = 2x/\ell_x - 1$; and $\eta = 2y/\ell_y - 1$. All derivatives of $S_i^{u,v,w}$ required in the strain equations can then be calculated in terms of the natural coordinates using Eq. 55. All integrations over the finite element domains are performed numerically using standard Guass-quadrature and a mesh of 4×4 integration points per element, unless otherwise specified.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\ell_x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\ell_y}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$$
(55)

7. Implementation

The main challenge to implement Koiter's asymptotic approach is the calculation of the third- and fourth-order tensors $\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime}$ and ϕ_c^{iv} . A naive implementation can easily blow the memory of any modern computer. For example, a mesh with only 20 nodes, or 200 degrees of freedom using the BFSC element, would generate a complete ϕ_c^{iv} tensor with $200^4 = 1.6 \times 10^9$ elements to be stored, requiring 11.92 GB of memory if double precision is used. Moreover, calculating all 1.6×10^9 terms is computationally too expensive. For slightly larger problems found in any engineering application such naive approach becomes just impracticable. Many drawbacks experienced in the past with Koiter's asymptotic method are due to mistakes in implementation more than to intrinsic defects of the asymptotic approach itself, as stated by Casciaro [9]. The implementation herein proposed carefully takes into account all non-zero terms derived in Section 5.3 while calculating the higher-order tensors. The strategy adopted consists on evaluating the products involving highorder tensors $\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime}$ and ϕ_c^{iv} on-the-fly, already during the numerical integration of each element. For instance, ϕ_c^{iv} defined in Eq. 44 is never fully calculated. Instead, the tensor

product $\phi_c^{iv} u_a u_b u_c u_d$ is evaluated and stored during the finite element assembly, using the following rearrangement of Eq. 44:

$$\phi_{c}^{iv}\boldsymbol{u_{a}}\boldsymbol{u_{b}}\boldsymbol{u_{c}}\boldsymbol{u_{d}} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{e=1}^{k}\int_{\Omega^{e}} \left(u_{a}u_{b}N_{iab}^{\prime\prime}\varepsilon_{icd}^{\prime\prime}u_{c}u_{d} + u_{a}u_{c}N_{iac}^{\prime\prime}\varepsilon_{ibd}^{\prime\prime}u_{b}u_{d} + u_{a}u_{d}N_{iad}^{\prime\prime}\varepsilon_{ibc}^{\prime\prime}u_{b}u_{c} + u_{b}u_{c}N_{ibc}^{\prime\prime}\varepsilon_{iad}^{\prime\prime}u_{a}u_{d} + u_{b}u_{d}N_{ibd}^{\prime\prime}\varepsilon_{iac}^{\prime\prime}u_{a}u_{c} + u_{c}u_{d}N_{icd}^{\prime\prime}\varepsilon_{iab}^{\prime\prime}u_{a}u_{b}\right)d\Omega^{e}$$
(56)

where Ω^e represents the domain of one finite element. Note that only tensors up to second-order are fully calculated and stored, e.g. N_{iab} , ε_{ibd} . Moreover, for the implementation one should note that $N_{iab} = N_{iac} = N_{iad} = N_{ibc} =$ $N_{ibd} = N_{icd}$ and $\varepsilon_{iab} = \varepsilon_{iac} = \varepsilon_{iad} = \varepsilon_{ibc} = \varepsilon_{ibd} = \varepsilon_{icd}$, such that ε_{iab} is calculated with Eq. 28 and N_{iab} with Eq. 34. The implementation carried out for the present study is based on Python [61], NumPy [62] and Cython [63], where all tensor products are efficiently evaluated using NumPy [62], as illustrated in the following pseudo-code:

The authors could verify the benefit of the proposed formulation and notation while implementing the method, achieving a one-to-one correspondence between the method and implemented algorithms.

8. Results for single-mode Koiter's asymptotic expansion

8.1. Isotropic plates

Lanzo et al. [64] performed initial post-buckling analysis in isotropic plates with various aspect ratios, loading and boundary conditions, as illustrated in Figure 1. Table 1 compares the convergence of λ_c/λ_{ref} with

$$\lambda_{ref} = \frac{\pi^2}{b^2} \frac{Eh^3}{12\left(1 - \nu^2\right)}$$

Table 2 shows the convergence of b_I , often referred to in the literature dealing single-mode asymptotic expansion as the "*b*-factor". The results from Lanzo et al. [64] are compared against the BFSC implementation with progressively refined meshes. The mesh refinement is controlled by the number of elements along *y*, named n_y , calculating the number of elements along *x* using $n_x = a/b \times n_y$. The numerical integration was done using 4x4 integration points, which



Figure 1: Models for isotropic plates, adapted from Lanzo et al. [64]

provided a converged and stable integration scheme, i.e. we verified that adding more integration points did not change the results and using less integration points (3x3) resulted in unstable behaviour for models B1, B2, C1 and C2.

The first buckling mode u_1 and corresponding secondorder field u_{11} for each all models with a/b = 3 are given in Figures 3 - 4. Those results correspond to a mesh of $(n_x = 60) \times (n_y = 20)$ BFSC elements. The plots are created using a visualization mesh of 900 × 300 points along x and y, respectively; calculating the consistent displacement according to Eq. 52 at each plotting point. Note the complexity of the second-order displacement, which are in-plane for this case. The fast convergence for b_I shown in Table 2 is a direct consequence of the fast convergence of the second-order field u_{II} . The enrichment on u and v enabled by the BFSC element is the main reason for this fast-converging behavior.

8.2. Composite plates

A composite plate presented by Phan & Reddy [65] and Bilotta et al. [66] will be used as a reference for verification of the single-mode expansion for composite plates. The following material properties are used:

$$E_2 = 8 \ GPa, \qquad \frac{G_{12}}{E_2} = 0.6, \qquad v_{12} = 0.25$$

Using the load and boundary conditions of model A1 of Fig. 1, the plate geometry is defined based on a = b = 1 m, and thickness of h = 0.1 m. The laminate stacking sequence is [0/90/90/0], with the principal direction being the plate's x - axis. Table 3 shows the convergence of the critical linear buckling eigenvalue for the BFSC element. The results from Phan & Reddy [65] are exact solutions obtained with classical plate theory, whereas the results from [66] are based on

Table 1		
Convergence of BFSC element fo	r first buckling mode,	isotropic case

Madal	Casa	Lanzo e	et al. [64]				BFSC co	nvergence fo	or λ_c/λ_{ref}			
Model	Case	$n_x \times n_y$	$\lambda_c / \lambda_{ref}$	$n_y = 4$	$n_{y} = 6$	$n_y = 8$	$n_y = 10$	$n_y = 12$	$n_y = 14$	$n_y = 16$	$n_y = 18$	$n_y = 20$
	a/b = 1	25×25	4.00263	3.99358	3.99921	3.99983	3.99995	3.99998	3.99999	4.00000	4.00000	4.00000
A1	a/b = 2	49×21	4.00323	3.99419	3.99929	3.99985	3.99996	3.99998	3.99999	4.00000	4.00000	4.00000
	a/b = 3	49×15	4.00674	3.99439	3.99932	3.99985	3.99996	3.99998	3.99999	4.00000	4.00000	4.00000
	a/b = 1	33×33	7.71346	7.66910	7.69296	7.69263	7.69203	7.69171	7.69154	7.69145	7.69139	7.69136
A2	a/b = 2	49×21	7.01142	6.95834	6.97190	6.97210	6.97191	6.97178	6.97171	6.97167	6.97165	6.97163
	a/b = 3	-	-	7.03814	7.05577	7.05595	7.05565	7.05547	7.05537	7.05531	7.05528	7.05526
	a/b = 1	-	-	1.69586	1.69768	1.69806	1.69817	1.69821	1.69823	1.69824	1.69825	1.69825
A3	a/b = 2	33×17	1.38808	1.38371	1.38566	1.38602	1.38612	1.38616	1.38618	1.38619	1.38619	1.38619
	a/b = 3	-	-	1.33821	1.33894	1.33908	1.33912	1.33914	1.33914	1.33915	1.33915	1.33915
	a/b = 1	-	-	6.73286	6.74461	6.74418	6.74373	6.74350	6.74337	6.74331	6.74327	6.74324
A4	a/b = 2	49×21	4.85495	4.83580	4.84603	4.84698	4.84713	4.84715	4.84716	4.84716	4.84716	4.84715
	a/b = 3	-	-	4.39779	4.40549	4.40627	4.40642	4.40645	4.40646	4.40646	4.40646	4.40646
	a/b = 1	33×33	25.57659	24.19007	25.40526	25.50502	25.52282	25.52721	25.52846	25.52882	25.52889	25.52887
B1	a/b = 2	49×23	23.94518	23.30597	23.83356	23.87513	23.88171	23.88292	23.88303	23.88291	23.88275	23.88261
	a/b = 3	49×15	24.2542	23.51109	24.07096	24.10957	24.11329	24.11364	24.11343	24.11316	24.11292	24.11273
	a/b = 1	33×33	48.15739	50.37432	48.28268	47.93517	47.83308	47.79429	47.77688	47.76807	47.76321	47.76033
B2	a/b = 2	49×23	42.21176	42.88262	41.91507	41.73877	41.68699	41.66723	41.65827	41.65367	41.65109	41.64952
	a/b = 3	49×15	41.72252	41.53774	40.70907	40.55857	40.51447	40.49763	40.48996	40.48601	40.48377	40.48241
-	a/b = 1	25×25	9.35185	8.71909	9.24793	9.31349	9.32538	9.32769	9.32784	9.32749	9.32708	9.32670
C1	a/b = 2	45×23	6.56822	6.54374	6.55314	6.55058	6.54903	6.54813	6.54757	6.54720	6.54695	6.54678
	a/b = 3	45×15	5.88460	5.95400	5.89677	5.87237	5.86074	5.85441	5.85060	5.84813	5.84645	5.84525
	a/b = 1	25×25	14.78220	14.92525	14.74298	14.68146	14.66129	14.65341	14.64965	14.64755	14.64623	14.64535
C2	a/b = 2	45×23	10.34334	10.74294	10.44685	10.35511	10.31516	10.29405	10.28156	10.27355	10.26811	10.26424
	a/b = 3	45×15	9.74613	9.67930	9.56774	9.54651	9.54032	9.53787	9.53667	9.53599	9.53557	9.53530

Table 2Convergence of BFSC element for b_I (b-factor), isotropic case

Model	(250	Lanzo e	tal. [64]			В	FSC conve	rgence for i	b _I (b-facto	r)		
Wouer	Case	$n_x \times n_y$	$b_{I=1}$	$n_{y} = 4$	$n_{y} = 6$	$n_{y} = 8$	$n_{y} = 10$	$n_y = 12$	$n_y = 14$	$n_y = 16$	$n_y = 18$	$n_{y} = 20$
	a/b = 1	25×25	0.18244	0.18655	0.18373	0.18311	0.18289	0.18279	0.18273	0.18270	0.18267	0.18266
A1	a/b = 2	49×21	0.21177	0.21517	0.21277	0.21222	0.21202	0.21193	0.21188	0.21185	0.21183	0.21182
	a/b = 3	49×15	0.22167	0.22519	0.22302	0.22251	0.22232	0.22224	0.22219	0.22216	0.22214	0.22212
	a/b = 1	33 × 33	0.19576	0.21278	0.26446	0.19664	0.21681	0.19589	0.20598	0.19571	0.20176	0.19565
A2	a/b = 2	49×21	0.26541	0.27179	0.26607	0.26500	0.26467	0.26452	0.26447	0.26443	0.26441	0.26440
	a/b = 3	-	-	0.33573	0.33077	0.32955	0.32911	0.32904	0.32897	0.32893	0.32891	0.32889
	a/b = 1	-	-	0.00619	0.00529	0.00504	0.00493	0.00488	0.00485	0.00482	0.00481	0.00480
A3	a/b = 2	33×17	0.00881	0.00951	0.00912	0.00900	0.00895	0.00892	0.00891	0.00890	0.00889	0.00889
	a/b = 3	-	-	0.02572	0.02600	0.02507	0.02528	0.02497	0.02509	0.02494	0.02501	0.02492
-	a/b = 1	-	-	0.17106	0.17037	0.17023	0.17015	0.17011	0.17009	0.17007	0.17006	0.17005
A4	a/b = 2	49×21	0.26083	0.31749	0.27225	0.26075	0.26085	0.26367	0.26339	0.26047	0.25998	0.26069
	a/b = 3	-	-	0.18543	0.18392	0.18358	0.18346	0.18341	0.18337	0.18336	0.18334	0.18333
-	a/b = 1	33 × 33	0.21935	0.21531	0.33212	0.22298	0.24930	0.22145	0.23232	0.22108	0.22622	0.22094
B1	a/b = 2	49×23	0.21960	0.24769	0.23083	0.23598	0.22395	0.23024	0.22380	0.22462	0.22473	0.22321
	a/b = 3	49 × 15	0.21148	0.21735	0.26961	0.22659	0.21428	0.22178	0.21473	0.21194	0.21577	0.21416
	a/b = 1	33 × 33	0.29241	0.39369	0.34365	0.39354	0.30060	0.31165	0.30953	0.30172	0.29923	0.29945
B2	a/b = 2	49×23	0.28583	0.34901	0.33925	0.32215	0.28414	0.29305	0.28055	0.28671	0.28312	0.28326
	a/b = 3	49 × 15	0.27654	0.33651	0.34539	0.31122	0.28495	0.28404	0.27896	0.27751	0.27998	0.27734
	a/b = 1	25×25	0.11453	0.10765	0.11914	0.11926	0.11897	0.11877	0.11864	0.11855	0.11849	0.11845
C1	a/b = 2	45×23	0.07171	0.07680	0.07527	0.07465	0.07438	0.07424	0.07417	0.07412	0.07409	0.07407
	a/b = 3	45×15	0.07992	0.11259	0.09853	0.09254	0.08860	0.08632	0.08505	0.08429	0.08383	0.08353
	a/b = 1	25×25	0.11686	0.15020	0.12852	0.12391	0.12229	0.12159	0.12124	0.12104	0.12092	0.12084
C2	a/b = 2	45×23	0.13282	0.11883	0.17133	0.15872	0.15760	0.14789	0.14515	0.14331	0.14308	0.14044
	a/b = 3	45×15	0.08651	0.10179	0.09192	0.08984	0.08913	0.08883	0.08868	0.08860	0.08855	0.08851

bi-quadratic finite elements and a mesh of 25x25 elements. Note the good agreement between the BFSC element and the literature for all anisotropic ratios E_1/E_2 , already with a mesh of only 4x4 elements.

The convergence of the b_I coefficient is shown in Table 4. The second-order displacement field u_{11} was solved with Eq. 13 and orthogonalized with Eq. 14. Note in Figure 6 how the $u, v, \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ displacement components of u_{11} change with the anisotropic ratio E_1/E_2 , clearly showing that in the initial post-buckling the displacements are progressively more focused with the increase of E_1/E_2 , and that these focused post-buckling displacements are aligned with the 0° and 90°



Figure 2: u_I for isotropic plates with a/b = 3; each row corresponds to one model; columns from left to right are linear buckling modes for: I = 1, 2, 3, 4

Table 3 Convergence of BFSC element for first buckling mode and model A1 with a/b = 1, anisotropic case

F / F	Phan & Reddy [65]	Bilotta et al. [66]		BFSC convergence for λ_c/λ_{ref}									
L_1/L_2	$\lambda_c/$	λ_{ref}	$n_{y} = 4$	$n_{y} = 6$	$n_y = 8$	$n_y = 10$	$n_y = 12$	$n_y = 14$	$n_y = 16$	$n_y = 18$	$n_y = 20$		
1	-	-	4.1596	4.1662	4.1670	4.1671	4.1671	4.1672	4.1671	4.1671	4.1671		
3	5.7538	5.7561	5.7501	5.7534	5.7537	5.7538	5.7537	5.7537	5.7538	5.7540	5.7540		
10	11.492	11.4976	11.4923	11.4922	11.4919	11.4919	11.4921	11.4919	11.4914	11.4916	11.4910		
20	19.712	19.7148	19.7167	19.7135	19.7127	19.7127	19.7119	19.7124	19.7122	19.7144	19.7036		

directions of the laminate stacking sequence.

9. Results for multi-mode Koiter's asymptotic expansion

9.1. Isotropic plates

Tiso [10] presented multi-modal results for model A1 using a geometry of: a = 0.14 m, b = 0.10 m and thickness of 1 mm; with isotropic material properties E = 70 GPaand v = 0.3. With this configuration, the first two buckling loads are close and respectively equal to 2828.15 N and 2866.50 N. Tiso's definition of coefficients $b_{ijk\ell}$ can be translated to the notation herein presented as given in Eq. 57, which has a different index ordering when compared to Eq. 19. Despite the different index ordering, Eq. 19 and Eq. 57 are equivalent in cases where $a_{iik} = 0$.

$$b_{ijk\ell}^{\text{Tiso}} = -\frac{\frac{1}{6}\phi_c^{i\nu}\boldsymbol{u}_i\boldsymbol{u}_j\boldsymbol{u}_k\boldsymbol{u}_\ell + \frac{1}{2}\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{u}_k\boldsymbol{u}_\ell\boldsymbol{u}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_c^{\prime\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{u}_\ell\boldsymbol{u}_{ijk}}{\lambda_i\dot{\phi}_c^{\prime\prime\prime}\boldsymbol{u}_\ell\boldsymbol{u}_\ell}$$
(57)

Table 5 show the verification of the formulation herein presented against the results from Tiso [10] for a multi-modal expansion using 2 modes.

Jansen & Rahman [67] used the general purpose finite element code DIANA [68] to investigate the dynamic response of plates and shells using reduced-order models based on



Figure 3: u_{11} for isotropic plate with a/b = 3; each row corresponds to one model; columns from left to right are: $u, v, \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$

Table 4 Convergence of BFSC element for b_I (*b*-factor), model A1 with a/b = 1, anisotropic case

$\frac{E_1/E_2}{1}$	Bilotta et al. [66]			В	FSC conve	rgence for i	b _I (b-facto	r)		
	$b_{I=1}$ (<i>b</i> -factor)	$n_{y} = 4$	$n_{y} = 6$	$n_{y} = 8$	$n_{y} = 10$	$n_{y} = 12$	$n_{y} = 14$	$n_y = 16$	$n_y = 18$	$n_y = 20$
1	-	0.17403	0.17138	0.17078	0.17056	0.17046	0.17041	0.17038	0.17036	0.17034
3	0.19865	0.20384	0.20011	0.19933	0.19906	0.19894	0.19887	0.19883	0.19880	0.19878
10	0.17075	0.17792	0.17277	0.17172	0.17135	0.17117	0.17108	0.17103	0.17100	0.17098
20	0.12595	0.13393	0.12809	0.12689	0.12646	0.12627	0.12616	0.12609	0.12604	0.12607

Koiter's theory. The plate therein investigated has a geometry of a = 0.6 m, b = 0.2 m, total thickness of 1 mm. The same plate was used to verify the formulation and implementation herein presented for the multi-modal asymptotic expansion of a composite plate. Using the loading and boundary condition of model A1 (Figure 1, the analyses in DIANA are carried out using two element types: 1) The eight-node quadrilateral shell element CQ40L, based on first-order shear deformation kinematics (FSDT), mesh with 16x48 elements; 2) Allman-type triangular element [69, 70], with kinematics based on the classical laminated plate the-

ory (CLPT). The Allman-type element triangular mesh has 24x72x2 elements. Table 6 presents the linear buckling eigenvalues and Table 7 presents the results for the $b_{ijk\ell}$ coefficients using 5 modes, calculated per Eq. 19 for a plate with isotropic properties E = 70 GPa and v = 0.3. The relative error is calculated about the results using DIANA's Allman-type element. The BFSC mesh has 16x48 elements, and the authors verified that all models are converged. There is clearly a close agreement between DIANA's implementation and the present formulation, with a maximum difference of 9%, attributed to differences verified for the linear buck-



Figure 4: u_{22} for isotropic plate with a/b = 3; each row corresponds to one model; columns from left to right are: $u, v, \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$

Table 5Multi-modal expansion coefficients $b_{ijk\ell}^{\mathsf{Tiso}}$ for isotropic plate

$b_{1jk\ell}^{Tiso}$	Tiso [10]	BFSC	$b^{Tiso}_{2jk\ell}$	Tiso [10]	BFSC
<i>b</i> ₁₁₁₁	0.1353	0.1347	b ₂₁₁₁	0	0
<i>b</i> ₁₁₁₂	0	0	<i>b</i> ₂₁₁₂	0.0663	0.0682
b_{1121}	0	0	<i>b</i> ₂₁₂₁	0.2796	0.2543
b_{1122}	0.0663	0.0692	b ₂₁₂₂	0	0
<i>b</i> ₁₂₁₁	0	0	b ₂₂₁₁	0.2747	0.2729
<i>b</i> ₁₂₁₂	0.0675	0.0644	<i>b</i> ₂₂₁₂	0	0
b_{1221}	0.2747	0.2766	<i>b</i> ₂₂₂₁	0	0
b_{1222}	0	0	<i>b</i> ₂₂₂₂	0.2221	0.2176

Table 6

Eigenvalues λ_i for isotropic plate used in multi-modal analysis, units in [N/m]

Eigenvalue	DIANA CQ40L	DIANA Allman	BFSC
λ_1	6307	6337	6328
λ_2	6844	6885	6866
λ_3	7405	7432	7426
λ_4	8105	8165	8127
λ_5	9863	9955	9886
λ_6	12040	12182	12066
λ_7	14606	14822	14635
λ_8	17542	17584	17575

ling eigenvalues λ_i , shown in Table 6. For many coefficients, there is a sign switch because u_i are symmetric eigenmodes about the plate mid-plane, providing interchangeably positive and negative solution for some of the $b_{ijk\ell}$ coefficients.

Figure 7 illustrates the second-order field modes u_{ij} for the isotropic plate used in the multi-modal asymptotic ex-

9.2. Composite plates

pansion.

Table 8 presents the linear buckling eigenvalues and Table 9 present results for a composite plate with layup of $[+45/-45/90/0]_{sym}$ and material properties $E_{11} =$

Table 7		
Multi-modal expansion	coefficients $b_{ijk\ell}$ for isotropic plate using 5 modes	

b _{ijk}	DIANA CQ40L	DIA NA A Ilman	B FS C	Err	b _{ijkℓ}	DIANA CQ40L	DIA NA A Ilman	BFSC	Err	$b_{ijk\ell}$	DIANA CQ40L	D IAN A A llman	BFSC	Err	$b_{ijk\ell}$	DIANA CQ40L	DIA NA A Ilman	B FS C	Err	$b_{ijk\ell}$	DIANA CQ40L	DIA NA A Ilman	BFSC	Err
b ₁₁ b ₁₁	0.21531	0.21756 0	0.21564 0	1%	b ₂₁₁₁ b ₂₁₁₂	0 0.11844	0 0.11830	0 0.11971	1%	b ₃₁₁₁ b ₃₁₁₂	0 -0.11414	0 0.11583	0 0.10853	6%	b ₄₁₁₁ b ₄₁₁₂	0.01072	0.01079 0	0.01084	0%	b ₅₁₁₁ b ₅₁₁₂	0 -0.01003	0 0.01004	0 -0.01012	1%
b ₁₁ b ₁₁	3 0 4 0.03791	0 0.03852	0 0.03868	0%	b ₂₁₁₃ b ₂₁₁₄	-0.03165 0	0.03132 0	0.02935 0	6%	b ₃₁₁₃ b ₃₁₁₄	0.15488 0	0.15732 0	0.16034 0	2 %	$b_{4113} \\ b_{4114}$	0 0.09640	0 0.09693	0 0.09810	1%	b ₅₁₁₃ b ₅₁₁₄	0.00353 0	0.00349 0	-0.00352 0	1%
b ₁₁ b ₁₁	5 O	0 0	0 0		b ₂₁₁₅ b ₂₁₂₁	-0.03296 0.10201	0.03256 0.10181	-0.03278 0.09619	1% 6%	b ₃₁₁₅ b ₃₁₂₁	0.04183 -0.13375	0.04190 0.13454	-0.04218 0.14553	1% 8%	b ₄₁₁₅ b ₄₁₂₁	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₅₁₁₅ b ₅₁₂₁	0.07800 -0.01008	0.07834 0.01006	0.07940 -0.01014	1% 1%
b ₁₁ b ₁₁	0.22357 -0.05975	0.22823	0.23092 0.05661	1% 6%	b ₂₁₂₂ b ₂₁₂₃	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₃₁₂₂ b ₃₁₂₃	0	0 0	0		b ₄₁₂₂ b ₄₁₂₃	-0.02560 0.02485	-0.02558 -0.02539	-0.02360 -0.02385	8% 6%	b ₅₁₂₂ b ₅₁₂₃	0	0 0	0	
b ₁₁₂ b ₁₁₂	94 0 15 -0.06220	0 0.06282	0 -0.06322	1%	b ₂₁₂₄ b ₂₁₂₅	-0.09139 0	-0.09116 0	-0.09643 0	6%	b ₃₁₂₄ b ₃₁₂₅	0.14851 0	-0.15510 0	-0.14599 0	6%	b ₄₁₂₄ b ₄₁₂₅	0 -0.07448	0 0.07506	0 -0.07873	5%	b ₅₁₂₄ b ₅₁₂₅	-0.01931 0	0.01934 0	-0.01798 0	7%
b ₁₁₃ b ₁₁₃	u 0 12 -0.05975	0 0.06042	0 0.05661	6%	b ₂₁₃₁ b ₂₁₃₂	-0.03709 0	0.03637 0	0.03935 0	8%	b ₃₁₃₁ b ₃₁₃₂	0.17117 0	0.17440 0	0.16386 0	6%	b ₄₁₃₁ b ₄₁₃₂	0 0.03334	0 -0.03378	0 -0.03594	6%	b ₅₁₃₁ b ₅₁₃₂	0.00333 0	0.00331 0	-0.00333 0	1%
b ₁₁ b ₁₁	в 0.08108 4 0	0.08206 0	0.08364 0	2%	b ₂₁₃₃ b ₂₁₃₄	0 0.04119	0 -0.04193	0 -0.03948	6%	b ₃₁₃₃ b ₃₁₃₄	0 0.02392	0 0.02267	0 0.02277	0%	b ₄₁₃₃ b ₄₁₃₄	0.00267 0	0.00238 0	0.00239 0	0%	b ₅₁₃₃ b ₅₁₃₄	0 0.02974	0 0.02990	0 -0.03152	5%
b ₁₁ b ₁₁	6 0.02190 1 0.03791	0.02185 0.03852	-0.02200	1% 0%	b ₂₁₃₅ b ₂₁₄₁	0	0	0		b ₃₁₃₅ b ₃₁₄₁	0	0	0		b ₄₁₃₅ b ₄₁₄₁	0.02999	0.03073	-0.02920	5% 5%	b ₅₁₃₅ b ₅₁₄₁	0	0	0	
b ₁₁ , b ₁₁ ,	12 U 13 O	0	0		b ₂₁₄₂ b ₂₁₄₃	0.04796	-0.04733	-0.04364	8% 6%	b ₃₁₄₂ b ₃₁₄₃	0.22521	0.01631	0.01636	6% 0%	b ₄₁₄₂ b ₄₁₄₃	0	0	0		b ₅₁₄₂ b ₅₁₄₃	0.02136	0.02158	-0.02012	7% 5%
b ₁₁ , b ₁₁ ,	4 U.34088 15 0	0.34603	0.35002	1%	b ₂₁₄₄ b ₂₁₄₅	-0.13952	0.13888	-0.14561	5%	b ₃₁₄₄ b ₃₁₄₅	0.20255	0.21029	-0.19972	5%	b ₄₁₄₄ b ₄₁₄₅	0.04186	0.04112	0.04142	1%	b ₅₁₄₄ b ₅₁₄₅	0.03251	0.03196	0.03228	1%
b ₁₁	-0.06222	0.06282	-0.06322	1%	b ₂₁₅₁ b ₂₁₅₂	-0.05514	0.03201	-0.03280	176	b ₃₁₅₁ b ₃₁₅₂	0.05940	0.03905	-0.03969	1 76	b ₄₁₅₁ b ₄₁₅₂	-0.03748	0.03783	-0.03525	7%	b ₅₁₅₁ b ₅₁₅₂	0.00400	0.00500	0.06222	4 70
b ₁₁ b ₁₁	1 0.02190 14 0 1 48408	0.02105	0 49626	1%	b ₂₁₅₃ b ₂₁₅₄	-0.06348	0.06273	-0.05827	7%	b ₃₁₅₃ b ₃₁₅₄	0.35252	0.35857	-0.37768	5%	b ₄₁₅₃ b ₄₁₅₄ b	0.05705	0.05705	0.05654	1%	b ₅₁₅₃ b ₅₁₅₄ b	0.02982	0.02916	0.02949	1%
b ₁₂ b	0 19257	0 19643	0 18555	6%	b ₂₁₅₅ b ₂₂₁₁ b	0.11844	0.11830	0.11971	1%	b ₃₁₅₅ b ₃₂₁₁	-0.11414	0.11583	0.10853	6%	b ₄₁₅₅ b ₄₂₁₁	0	0	0	6%	b ₅₁₅₅ b ₅₂₁₁	-0.01003	0.01004	-0.01012	1%
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	-0.07002	0.07018	0.07591	8%	b ₂₂₁₂ b ₂₂₁₃ b ₂₂₁₃	0 -0.04796	0 -0 04733	0 -0.04364	8%	b ₃₂₁₂ b ₃₂₁₃ b ₃₂₁₃	0 16784	0 -0 17373	0 -0 16313	6%	b ₄₂₁₂ b ₄₂₁₃	0.02199	-0.02266	-0.02134	6%	b ₅₂₁₂ b ₅₂₁₃	0 -0 04244	0 0.04283	0	5%
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	-0.06255 0.22357	0.06291	-0.06338 0.23092	1% 1%	b2215 b22215	0 0	0	0 0		b3215 b3221	0	0	0 0		b ₄₂₁₅ b ₄₂₂₁	-0.03388 -0.02560	0.03390 -0.02558	-0.03150 -0.02360	7% 8%	b ₅₂₁₅ b ₅₂₂₁	0	0 0	0 0	
b ₁₂ b ₁₂		0 0	0 0		b ₂₂₂₂ b ₂₂₂₃	0.26634 0.01850	0.27587 -0.02129	0.27403 -0.02139	1% 0%	b3222 b3223	0.06669 0.26692	-0.07876 0.27435	-0.07909 0.27908	0 % 2 %	b4222 b4223	0 0	0 0	0 0		b5222 b5223	-0.02111 -0.01844	0.02278 -0.01904	-0.02296 0.01800	1% 5%
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	, -0.09054 5 0	-0.09132 0	-0.08418 0	8%	b ₂₂₂₄ b ₂₂₂₅	0 -0.06939	0 0.07388	0 -0.07438	1%	b3224 b3225	0 -0.21859	0 -0.22834	0 0.21563	6%	b4224 b4225	0.14102 0	0.14590 0	0.14725 0	1%	b ₅₂₂₄ b ₅₂₂₅	0 0.11457	0 0.11821	0 0.11945	1%
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	-0.05975	0.06042 0	0.05661 0	6%	b ₂₂₃₁ b ₂₂₃₂	0 0.01850	0 -0.02129	0 -0.02139	0%	b ₃₂₃₁ b ₃₂₃₂	0 0.29211	0 0.30076	0 0.28465	5%	b ₄₂₃₁ b ₄₂₃₂	0.03334 0	-0.03378 0	-0.03594 0	6%	b ₅₂₃₁ b ₅₂₃₂	0 -0.02975	0 -0.03041	0 0.03205	5%
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	13 0 14 0.08787	0 -0.09062	0 -0.08509	6%	b ₂₂₃₃ b ₂₂₃₄	0.07402 0	0.07417 0	0.07547 0	2%	b ₃₂₃₃ b ₃₂₃₄	0.00700 0	-0.00521 0	-0.00520 0	0%	$b_{4233} \\ b_{4234}$	0 0.01855	0 -0.01893	0 -0.01906	1%	b ₅₂₃₃ b ₅₂₃₄	-0.00283 0	0.00235 0	-0.00237 0	1%
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	15 O 11 O	0 0	0 0		b ₂₂₃₅ b ₂₂₄₁	-0.06062 -0.04796	-0.06173 -0.04733	0.05831 -0.04364	6% 8%	b ₃₂₃₅ b ₃₂₄₁	-0.04564 0.22521	0.04096 -0.23119	-0.04134 -0.24585	1% 6%	b ₄₂₃₅ b ₄₂₄₁	0 0	0 0	0		b ₅₂₃₅ b ₅₂₄₁	0.01383 -0.02136	-0.01407 0.02158	-0.01422 -0.02012	1% 7%
b ₁₂ , b ₁₂ ,	2 -0.17252 3 0.07775	-0.17588 -0.08090	-0.18602 -0.07615	6% 6%	b ₂₂₄₂ b ₂₂₄₃	0 0	0	0		b ₃₂₄₂ b ₃₂₄₃	0	0	0		b ₄₂₄₂ b ₄₂₄₃	0.09860	0.10277 -0.01853	0.09780	5% 1%	b ₅₂₄₂ b ₅₂₄₃	0	0	0	
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	н U 15 -0.11982	0.12102	-0.11240	7%	b ₂₂₄₄ b ₂₂₄₅	0.26417	0.26994	0.27235	1%	b ₃₂₄₄ b ₃₂₄₅	0.12529	-0.12952	-0.13036	1%	b ₄₂₄₄ b ₄₂₄₅	0.10346	U -0.10630	U 0.11089	4%	b ₅₂₄₄ b ₅₂₄₅	0.01864	-0.01991	0.01807	9%
b ₁₂ b ₁₂	a -0.06225	0.06282	-0.06322	1%	b ₂₂₅₁ b ₂₂₅₂	U -0.06939	0.07388	-0.07438	1%	b ₃₂₅₁ b ₃₂₅₂	-0.35269	0.36471	0.38396	5%	b ₄₂₅₁ b ₄₂₅₂	-0.03748	0.03783	-0.03525	1%	b ₅₂₅₁ b ₅₂₅₂	0.07955	0.08253	0.07916	4%
b ₁₂	a 0.26338	0.26794	-0.28089	5%	b ₂₂₅₃ b ₂₂₅₄	0.00002	-0.00175 0 0.38331	0.05051 0 0.38701	1%	b ₃₂₅₃ b ₃₂₅₄	-0.05551 0 0.16308	0.02025	0.02044	1 %	D ₄₂₅₃ D ₄₂₅₄	0.03271	-0.03489	0.03165	9%	b ₅₂₅₃ b ₅₂₅₄	0.01144	-0.01180	0.01201	1%
b ₁₂ b ₁₃		0	0 07501	8%	b ₂₂₅₅ b ₂₃₁₁	-0.03165	0.03132	0.02935	6%	b ₃₂₅₅ b ₃₃₁₁	0.15488	0.15732	0.16034	2%	b ₄₂₅₅ b ₄₃₁₁	0	0	0	6%	b ₅₂₅₅ b ₅₃₁₁	0.00353	0.00349	-0.00352	1%
b ₁₃ b ₁₃	3 0.08961	0.09097	0.08547	6%	b ₂₃₁₂ b ₂₃₁₃ b ₂₃₁₃	006246	0-0.06251	0	6%	b ₃₃₁₂ b ₃₃₁₃ b ₃₃₁₃	0	0	001636	0%	b ₄₃₁₂ b ₄₃₁₃ b ₄₄₃₁₃	0.00354	0.00331	0.00333	1%	b ₅₃₁₂ b ₅₃₁₃ b ₅₃₁₃	0	0 0 0 1 7 5 3	0	5%
b ₁₃	0.02066	0.02068	-0.02081 0.05661	1% 6%	b ₂₃₁₅ b ₂₃₂₁	0	0	0		b ₃₃₁₅ b ₃₃₁₅	0	0	0		b ₄₃₁₅ b ₁₃₃₁	0.05219	0.05239	-0.05522	5% 6%	b ₅₃₁₅	0	0	0	
b ₁₃ b ₁₃	. 0 . 0	0	0 0		b ₂₃₂₂ b ₂₃₂₂	0.01850 0.08101	-0.02129 0.08131	-0.02139 0.07697	0% 5%	b3322 b3322	0.26692 0.00700	0.27435 -0.00521	0.27908 -0.00520	2 % 0 %	b4322 b4322	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₅₃₂₂ b ₅₃₂₂	-0.01844 -0.00385	-0.01904 0.00342	0.01800 -0.00345	5% 1%
b ₁₃ b ₁₃	9 0.11790 5 0	-0.12059 0	-0.12824 0	6%	b ₂₃₂₄ b ₂₃₂₅	0 -0.09781	0 -0.09860	0 0.10382	5%	b3324 b3325	0 -0.03351	0 0.02823	0 -0.02844	1%	b4324 b4325	0.01855 0	-0.01893 0	-0.01906 0	1%	b ₅₃₂₄ b ₅₃₂₅	0 0.01383	0 -0.01407	0 -0.01422	1%
b ₁₃ b ₁₃	0.08108	0.08206 0	0.08364 0	2 %	b ₂₃₃₁ b ₂₃₃₂	0 0.07402	0 0.07417	0 0.07547	2%	b ₃₃₃₁ b ₃₃₃₂	0 0.00700	0 -0.00521	0 -0.00520	0%	b ₄₃₃₁ b ₄₃₃₂	0.00267 0	0.00238 0	0.00239 0	0%	b ₅₃₃₁ b ₅₃₃₂	0 -0.00283	0 0.00235	0 -0.00237	1%
b ₁₃ b ₁₃	- 0 3 0.00944	0 0.00851	0 0.00854	0%	b ₂₃₃₃ b ₂₃₃₄	0.00194 0	-0.00141 0	-0.00141 0	0%	b ₃₃₃₃ b ₃₃₃₄	0.12149 0	0.12257 0	0.12130 0	1%	b ₄₃₃₃ b ₄₃₃₄	0 0.06196	0 0.06203	0 0.06314	2%	b ₅₃₃₃ b ₅₃₃₄	0.01391 0	0.01388 0	-0.01386 0	0%
b ₁₃ b ₁₃	15 O 11 O	0	0		b ₂₃₃₅ b ₂₃₄₁	-0.00929 0.04655	0.00763 -0.04697	-0.00769 -0.04411	1% 6%	b ₃₃₃₅ b ₃₃₄₁	0.16495 0.01803	0.16646 0.01631	-0.16606 0.01636	0% 0%	$b_{4335} \\ b_{4341}$	0	0	0		b ₅₃₃₅ b ₅₃₄₁	0.04960 0.02118	0.04936 0.02148	0.05034 -0.02031	2% 5%
b ₁₃ , b ₁₃ ,	0.07775	-0.08090 0.01182	-0.07615 0.01188	6% 0%	b ₂₃₄₂ b ₂₃₄₃	0 0	0	0		b ₃₃₄₂ b ₃₃₄₃	0	0	0 0		b ₄₃₄₂ b ₄₃₄₃	0.01781 0.06741	-0.01853 0.06758	-0.01868 0.06429	1% 5%	b ₅₃₄₂ b ₅₃₄₃	0	0	0	
b ₁₃ , b ₁₃ ,	н 0 в 0.18455	0 0.18703	0 -0.19700	5%	b ₂₃₄₄ b ₂₃₄₅	0.03475	-0.03502	-0.03525	1%	b ₃₃₄₄ b ₃₃₄₅	0.41852	0.42450	0.43188	2 %	b ₄₃₄₄ b ₄₃₄₅	0 0.02995	0 0.02878	0 -0.02905	1%	b ₅₃₄₄ b ₅₃₄₅	0.01553	0.01522	-0.01538	1%
b ₁₃ b ₁₃	1 0.02190 12 0	0.02185	-0.02200	1%	b ₂₃₅₁ b ₂₃₅₂	U -0.06062	U -0.06173	0.05831	6%	b ₃₃₅₁ b ₃₃₅₂	-0.03351	0.02823	0 -0.02844	1%	b ₄₃₅₁ b ₄₃₅₂	0.03/16	0.03765	-0.03557	6%	b ₅₃₅₁ b ₅₃₅₂	0.01144	0 -0.01186	-0.01201	1%
b ₁₃	a 0.10603	0.10969	-0.10418	5%	b ₂₃₅₃ b ₂₃₅₄	0.01200	0.01107	0.01110	1%	b ₃₃₅₃ b ₃₃₅₄	0.10495	0.10040	-0.10000	0%	b ₄₃₅₃ b ₄₃₅₄	0.02725	0.02667	-0.02694	1%	b ₅₃₅₃ b ₅₃₅₄	0.05255	0.05240	0.04992	J %
b ₁₃ b ₁₄	0.03791	0.03852	0.03868	0%	b2355 b2411 b	0	0	0	8%	b ₃₃₅₅ b ₃₄₁₁ b	0 16784	0 17373	0 .0 16313	5%	b4355 b4411 b	0.09640	0.09693	0.09810	1%	b ₅₃₅₅ b ₅₄₁₁ b	0	0 04283	0	5%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	2 0 3 0 . 0.28640	0 0.29139	0.27692	5%	b ₂₄₁₂ b ₂₄₁₃ b ₂₄₁₃	0.06246	-0.06251	-0.06648 0	6%	b ₃₄₁₂ b ₃₄₁₃ b ₃₄₁₃	0.01803	0.01631	0.01636	0%	b4412 b4413 b	0 0.04186	0 0.04112	0 0.04142	1%	b ₅₄₁₂ b ₅₄₁₃ b ₆₄₁₄	0.01709	0.01753	-0.01667	5%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	s 0	0	0		b ₂₄₁₅ b ₂₄₂₁	-0.07022 -0.09139	0.06999	-0.06519 -0.09643	7% 6%	b ₃₄₁₅ b ₃₄₁₅	0.25104	0.25769	-0.24333 -0.14599	6% 6%	b4415 b4415	0	0	0		b ₅₄₁₅ b ₆₄₃₃	0.03251	0.03196 0.01934	0.03228	1% 7%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	-0.09054 -0.11790	-0.09132 -0.12059	-0.08418 -0.12824	8% 6%	b2422 b2422	0 0	0 0	0 0		b3422 b3423	0 0	0 0	0 0		b4422 b4423	0.14102 0.01855	0.14590 -0.01893	0.14725 -0.01906	1% 1%	b5422 b5423	0 0	0 0	0 0	
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	0 5 -0.13255	0 0.13504	0 -0.12575	7%	b ₂₄₂₄ b ₂₄₂₅	0.18470 0	0.19014 0	0.18089 0	5%	b ₃₄₂₄ b ₃₄₂₅	0.12031 0	-0.12684 0	-0.12780 0	1%	b ₄₄₂₄ b ₄₄₂₅	0 0.03271	0 -0.03489	0 0.03165	9%	b ₅₄₂₄ b ₅₄₂₅	0.05896 0	-0.06065 0	0.06330 0	4%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	11 0 12 0.08787	0 -0.09062	0 -0.08509	6%	b ₂₄₃₁ b ₂₄₃₂	0.04119 0	-0.04193 0	-0.03948 0	6%	b ₃₄₃₁ b ₃₄₃₂	0.02392 0	0.02267 0	0.02277 0	0%	b ₄₄₃₁ b ₄₄₃₂	0 0.01855	0 -0.01893	0 -0.01906	1%	b ₅₄₃₁ b ₅₄₃₂	0.02974 0	0.02990 0	-0.03152 0	5%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	B 0.00944	0.00851	0.00854	0%	b ₂₄₃₃ b ₂₄₃₄	0.03337	0 -0.03429	0 -0.03456	1%	b ₃₄₃₃ b ₃₄₃₄	0.45534	0.46249	0.43973	5%	b ₄₄₃₃ b ₄₄₃₄	0.06196	0.06203	0.06314	2%	b ₅₄₃₃ b ₅₄₃₄	0	0.01642	0 -0.01658	1%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	0.13142 0.34088	0.13441	0.35002	6% 1%	b ₂₄₃₅ b ₂₄₄₁	0	0	0		b ₃₄₃₅ b ₃₄₄₁	0	0	0		b ₄₄₃₅ b ₄₄₄₁	0.02725	0.02667	0.02694	1%	b ₅₄₃₅ b ₅₄₄₁	0	0	0	
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	12 U 13 Û 14804	0	0	1 %	b ₂₄₄₂ b ₂₄₄₃	0.20417 0.03475	-0.03502	-0.03525	1%	b ₃₄₄₂ b ₃₄₄₃	0.41852	0.12952	0.43188	2%	D ₄₄₄₂ b ₄₄₄₃	0 3 15 3 2	0	0 3 1 8 4 2	0.%	b ₅₄₄₂ b ₅₄₄₃	0.01553	0.01522	-0.01538	9% 1%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	H 0.14804	0.14000	0.14777	1 /0	b ₂₄₄₄ b ₂₄₄₅	0.06128	-0.06455	0.05853	9% 7%	b ₃₄₄₄ b ₃₄₄₅	0.18407	0.18253	-0.18424	1%	b ₄₄₄₄ b ₄₄₄₅	0.51552	0.51959	0.51042	0 //	b ₅₄₄₄ b ₅₄₄₅	0.16826	0.17003	0.17164	1%
b ₁₄ b ₁₄	-0.26338 - 0.10603	0.26794	-0.28089	5% 5%	b2451 b2452 base	0	0	0.03021	170	b ₃₄₅₁ b ₃₄₅₂ b ₃₄₅₂	0	0	0	570	b ₄₄₅₁ b ₄₄₅₂ b ₁₁₁₂	0.03271	-0.03489 0.02667	0.03165	9% 1%	b ₅₄₅₁ b ₅₄₅₂ b ₅₄₅₂	0	0	0	170
b ₁₄	0 0 0.20173	0	0	1%	b2453 b2454 b2455	0.19382	-0.19668 0	0.20510	4%	b ₃₄₅₄ b ₃₄₅₄	0.20229	0.19694	-0.19871 0	1%	b4454 b4454	0	0	0.30066	1%	b ₅₄₅₄ b ₅₄₅₄	0.10377	0.10517	0.10118	4%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	0, -0.06255	0 0.06291	0 -0.06338	1%	b ₂₅₁₁ b ₂₅₁₂	-0.03296 0	0.03256 0	-0.03278 0	1%	b ₃₅₁₁ b ₃₅₁₂	0.04183 0	0.04190 0	-0.04218 0	1%	b4511 b4512	0 -0.03388	0 0.03390	0 -0.03150	7%	b ₅₅₁₁ b ₅₅₁₂	0.07800 0	0.07834 0	0.07940 0	1%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	0.02066 0	0.02068 0	-0.02081 0	1%	b ₂₅₁₃ b ₂₅₁₄	0 -0.07022	0 0.06999	0 -0.06519	7%	b ₃₅₁₃ b ₃₅₁₄	0 0.25104	0 0.25769	0 -0.24333	6%	b4513 b4514	0.05219 0	0.05239 0	-0.05522 0	5%	b ₅₅₁₃ b ₅₅₁₄	0 0.03251	0 0.03196	0 0.03228	1%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	5 0.40139 1 -0.06223	0.40706 0.06282	0.38885 -0.06322	4 % 1 %	b ₂₅₁₅ b ₂₅₂₁	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₃₅₁₅ b ₃₅₂₁	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₄₅₁₅ b ₄₅₂₁	0.05234 -0.07448	0.05111 0.07506	0.05166 -0.07873	1% 5%	b ₅₅₁₅ b ₅₅₂₁	0 0	0 0	0 0	
b ₁₅₅ b ₁₅₅	2 0 3 0	0 0	0 0		b ₂₅₂₂ b ₂₅₂₃	-0.06939 -0.09781	0.07388 -0.09860	-0.07438 0.10382	1% 5%	b ₃₅₂₂ b ₃₅₂₃	-0.21859 -0.03351	-0.22834 0.02823	0.21563 -0.02844	6% 1%	b ₄₅₂₂ b ₄₅₂₃	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₅₅₂₂ b ₅₅₂₃	0.11457 0.01383	0.11821 -0.01407	0.11945 -0.01422	1% 1%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	94 -0.13255 15 0	0.13504	-0.12575	7%	b ₂₅₂₄ b ₂₅₂₅	0 0.26154	0 0.26762	0 0.25647	4%	b ₃₅₂₄ b ₃₅₂₅	0 0.13559	0 -0.14228	0 -0.14394	1%	b ₄₅₂₄ b ₄₅₂₅	0.03271	-0.03489 0	0.03165	9%	b ₅₅₂₄ b ₅₅₂₅	0 -0.05715	0 0.05705	0 -0.05765	1%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	11 0.02190 12 0	0.02185	-0.02200	1%	b ₂₅₃₁ b ₂₅₃₂	0	0	0	6%	b ₃₅₃₁ b ₃₅₃₂	0	0.04096	0	1%	b ₄₅₃₁ b ₄₅₃₂	0.02999	0.03073	-0.02920	5%	b ₅₅₃₁ b ₅₅₃₂	0	0	0	1%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	0 0 0.13142	U 0.13441	U -0.12692	6%	b ₂₅₃₃ b ₂₅₃₄	-0.00929 0	0.00/63 0	-0.00769 0	1%	b ₃₅₃₃ b ₃₅₃₄	U.16495 0	U.10046 0	-U.16606 0	U%	b ₄₅₃₃ b ₄₅₃₄	U 0.02725	U 0.02667	U -0.02694	1%	b ₅₅₃₃ b ₅₅₃₄	0.04960 0	0.04936 0	0.05034	2%
b153 b154	15 U 11 O	U 0	U 0	7 0/	D2535 D2541	0.03760 -0.13952	-0.03847 0.13888	-0.03892 -0.14561	1% 5%	b ₃₅₃₅ b ₃₅₄₁	0.02291 0.20255	0.02848	0.59811 -0.19972 0	о% 5%	D ₄₅₃₅ D ₄₅₄₁	U 0 0 10246	U 0 0 10620	U 0 0 11000	1.0/	D ₅₅₃₅ D ₅₅₄₁	0.02128	0.02076 0.03196	-0.02102 0.03228	1% 1%
D ₁₅ D ₁₅	0.11981 0.18455	0.12102 0.18703	-0.11240 -0.19700 0	, % 5%	b ₂₅₄₂ b ₂₅₄₃ b	0 0 0.06128	0 -0.06/55	0 0 0 05853	0%	b ₃₅₄₂ b ₃₅₄₃ b	0 0 0 18407	0 0 0 18253	0 -0 18404	1 %	b ₄₅₄₂ b ₄₅₄₃ b	0.10340 0.02995 0	-0.10030 0.02878 0	-0.02905 0	4 % 1%	b ₅₅₄₂ b ₅₅₄₃ h	0 0 0 16826	0 0 0 17003	0 0 0 17164	1%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	6 0.18507 0 48408	0.18245	0.18430	1%	b2544 b2545 b.	0.00120	-0.00400 0 0	0.03033 0 0	<i>9 /</i> 0	b ₃₅₄₄ b ₃₅₄₅ b ₂	0.10407	0.10200 0	-0.10424 0 0	1 /0	b ₄₅₄₅ b.	0.18211	0.18432	0.17724	4%	b ₅₅₄₅ b ₅₅₄₅	0.10020	0.17003	0	1 /0
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	2 0 2 0	0	0	. /0	b2552 b2552	0.37666	0.38331	0.38701	1% 1%	b3552 b3552	0.16398 0.58796	-0.16879 0.59206	-0.17032 0.60319	1% 2%	b4551 b4552	0	0	0	. /0	b ₅₅₅₂	-0.05715 0.02128	0.05705	-0.05765 -0.02102	1% 1%
b ₁₅ b ₁₅	0.20173 5 0	0.19992 0	0.20174 0	1%	b ₂₅₅₄ b ₂₅₅₅	0 -0.18789	0 0.18501	0 -0.18679	1%	b ₃₅₅₄ b ₃₅₅₅	0 0.25230	0 0.24895	0 -0.25181	1%	b ₄₅₅₄ b ₄₅₅₅	0.29529 0	0.29799 0	0.30066 0	1%	b ₅₅₅₄ b ₅₅₅₅	0 0.35181	0 0.35393	0 0.35447	0%

SGP Castro, EL Jansen: Preprint submitted to Elsevier



Figure 5: u_{33} for isotropic plate with a/b = 3; each row corresponds to one model; columns from left to right are: u, v, $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$

127.62900 GPa, $E_{22} = 11.30740$ GPa, $G_{12} = G_{23} =$ $G_{13} = 6.00257 \ GPa$ and $v_{12} = 0.300235$. Results using DIANA's CQ40L and Allman-type elements are present together with the BFSC results. The error of the BFSC implementation is again calculated about the results obtained with the Allman-type element. The same mesh, load and boundary conditions of the previous isotropic case are used. Again, a maximum error of 9% is verified for the $b_{iik\ell}$ coefficients, attributed to the differences in λ_i values between the Allman-type and BFSC elements.

Figure 8 illustrates the second-order field modes u_{ii} for the composite plate used in the multi-modal asymptotic expansion.

10. Conclusion

A complete displacement-based formulation for the Koiter's multi-modal asymptotic expansion was presented. The formulation and notation adopted enabled a close correspondence between the formulation and implemented algorithms, facilitating further developments on the field of initial post-

Table 8

Eigenvalues	λ_i for composite	plate used in	n multi-modal	analy-
sis, units in	[N/m]			

Eigenvalue	DIANA CQ40L	DIANA A∥man	BFSC
λ_1	5257	5312	5303
λ_2	5420	5483	5467
λ_3	6011	6088	6060
λ_4	6132	6185	6178
λ_5	6877	6976	6928
λ_6	7967	8100	8021
λ_7	9258	9441	9317
λ_8	10740	10993	10804

buckling analysis and reduced-order models based on Koiter's method. The present work used state-of-the-art collaborative tools to implement the developed methods: Python [61], NumPy [62] and Cython [63].

A modified Bogner-Fox-Schmit (BFS) finite element

Table 9									
Multi-modal	expansion	coefficients	$b_{ijk\ell}$ f	or	composite	plate	using	5	modes

b _{ijkl}	DIA NA CQ40L	D IANA A Il man	BFSC	Err	b_{ijkl}	DIANA CQ40L	DIA NA A Ilma n	BFSC	Err	b_{ijkl}	DIA NA C Q40L	DIA NA A Ilma n	BFSC	Err	b _{ijkl}	DIANA CQ40L	DIA NA A Ilma n	B FS C	Err	b_{ijkl}	DIANA CQ40L	DIA NA A Ilma n	BFSC	Err
b ₁₁₁₁ b ₁₁₁₂	0.18993 0	0.18806 0	0.18646 0	1%	b ₂₁₁₁ b ₂₁₁₂	0 0.10871	0 0.10467	0 0.10615	1%	b ₃₁₁₁ b ₃₁₁₂	-0.01064 0	-0.01116 0	0.01117 0	0%	b ₄₁₁₁ b ₄₁₁₂	0 0.10064	0 0.09356	0 0.08835	6%	b ₅₁₁₁ b ₅₁₁₂	0 -0.01046	0 •0.01025	0 0.01036	1%
b ₁₁₁₃ b ₁₁₁₄	-0.03384 0	-0.03531	0.03531	0%	b ₂₁₁₃ b ₂₁₁₄	0.02851	0.02771	0.02604	6%	b ₃₁₁₃ b ₃₁₁₄	0.09543	0.09339	0.09447	1%	b ₄₁₁₃ b ₄₁₁₄	0	0.13851	0.14054	1%	b ₅₁₁₃ b ₅₁₁₄	0	0	0.00359	0%
b ₁₁₁₅ b ₁₁₂₁	0	U 0 0 18587	U 0 0 180 16	2%	b ₂₁₁₅ b ₂₁₂₁	0.02944	0.02877	0.02901 0.08345	1% 5%	b ₃₁₁₅ b ₃₁₂₁	0	0 0 0 2 2 1 4	0	7 %	b ₄₁₁₅ b ₄₁₂₁	0.11881	0.10997	0.03409	1 % 8 %	b ₅₁₁₅ b ₅₁₂₁	-0.01053	-0.08050 -0.01010	0.08152	1% 0%
b ₁₁₂₂ b ₁₁₂₃ b ₁₁₂₃	0 05216	0.10507	0.10910	2 % 6 %	b ₂₁₂₂ b ₂₁₂₃	0.08446	0.08087	-0.08539	6%	b ₃₁₂₂ b ₃₁₂₃	0 02454	0.02214	0 022003	6%	b ₄₁₂₂ b ₄₁₂₃	0.13451	0.13344	-0.12660	5%	b ₅₁₂₂ b ₅₁₂₃ b	0.02016	0.01891	0.01763	7%
b ₁₁₂₅ b ₁₁₃₁	-0.05386 -0.03384	-0.05109 -0.03531	0.05169 0.03531	1% 0%	b ₂₁₂₅ b ₂₁₃₁	0	0	0		b ₃₁₂₅ b ₃₁₃₁	0.07375 0.07951	0.06968	0.07309 0.07424	5% 5%	b4124 b4125 b4131	0	0	0		b ₅₁₂₅ b ₅₁₂₁	0	0	0	
b ₁₁₃₂ b ₁₁₃₃	0 0.30355	0 0.29547	0 0.29851	1%	b ₂₁₃₂ b ₂₁₃₃	0.04284 0	0.03944 0	-0.03659 0	7%	b ₃₁₃₂ b ₃₁₃₃	0 -0.04021	0 • 0.03494	0 0.03563	2%	b4132 b4133	0.20449 0	0.19737 0	-0.20872 0	6%	b ₅₁₃₂ b ₅₁₃₃	0.02261 0	0.02198 0	0.02072 0	6%
b ₁₁₃₄ b ₁₁₃₅	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₂₁₃₄ b ₂₁₃₅	0.04266 0.12820	0.04314 0.12414	-0.04045 0.12960	6 % 4 %	b ₃₁₃₄ b ₃₁₃₅	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₄₁₃₄ b ₄₁₃₅	-0.01420 0.18158	-0.01106 0.18143	0.01132 0.17310	2 % 5 %	b ₅₁₃₄ b ₅₁₃₅	0.02246 -0.03298	0.02297 -0.02875	0.02172 0.02942	5 % 2 %
b ₁₁₄₁ b ₁₁₄₂	0.05216	0.04922	0.04641	6%	b ₂₁₄₁ b ₂₁₄₂	0.03365	0.03258	0.03515	8%	b ₃₁₄₁ b ₃₁₄₂	0.03332	0.03282	0.03470	6%	b ₄₁₄₁ b ₄₁₄₂	0.15212	0.15288	0.14439	0%	b ₅₁₄₁ b ₅₁₄₂	-0.00338	-0.00331	0.00337	2%
b ₁₁₄₃ b ₁₁₄₄ b	0.07169	0.07286	0.07382	1%	b ₂₁₄₃ b ₂₁₄₄	0.03810	0.03955	0.03732	0 %	b ₃₁₄₃ b ₃₁₄₄	-0.00231 0.02959	-0.00184 0.03017	0.00188	2%	b ₄₁₄₃ b ₄₁₄₄	0.02012	-0.01827 0	0.01900	4 70	b ₅₁₄₃ b ₅₁₄₄	0.031/9	0.03211	0.05555	4 70
b ₁₁₄₃ b ₁₁₅₁ b ₁₁₅₂	0	0	0.05169	1%	b2145 b2151 b2152	-0.02964 0	-0.02832 0	0.02838	0%	b ₃₁₄₅ b ₃₁₅₁ b ₃₁₅₂	0.03659	0.03461	0.03273	5%	b4145 b4151 b4152	-0.03360 0	-0.03137 0	0.03199 0	2 %	b ₅₁₅₁ b ₅₁₅₂	0.06765 0	0.06635	0.06365	4%
b ₁₁₅₃ b ₁₁₅₄	0 -0.01888	0 -0.01784	0 0.01790	0%	b ₂₁₅₃ b ₂₁₅₄	0.05671 0	0.05304 0	0.04936 0	7%	b ₃₁₅₃ b ₃₁₅₄	0 0.03635	0 0.03617	0 0.03431	5%	b4153 b4154	0.31573 0	0.30416 0	0.31875 0	5%	b ₅₁₅₃ b ₅₁₅₄	-0.02991 0	-0.02495 0	0.02547 0	2 %
b ₁₁₅₅ b ₁₂₁₁	0.42279	0.40110	0.40683 0	1%	b ₂₁₅₅ b ₂₂₁₁	0 0.10871	0 0.10467	0 0.10615	1%	b ₃₁₅₅ b ₃₂₁₁	-0.05338 0	-0.04528 0	0.04646 0	3%	b ₄₁₅₅ b ₄₂₁₁	0 0.10064	0.09356	0 0.08835	6%	b ₅₁₅₅ b ₅₂₁₁	0 -0.01046	0.01025	0 0.01036	1%
b ₁₂₁₂ b ₁₂₁₃	0.16924 0	0.15040	0.14871 0 0.06364	5%	b ₂₂₁₂ b ₂₂₁₃	0.04284	0.03944	0 -0.03659	7%	b ₃₂₁₂ b ₃₂₁₃	0.04859	0.04539	-0.04815	6% 5%	b ₄₂₁₂ b ₄₂₁₃	0.15060	0.14565	-0.13722	6%	b ₅₂₁₂ b ₅₂₁₃	0.04557	0.04424	0.04627	5%
b ₁₂₁₄ b ₁₂₁₅	-0.05423	-0.05030	0.05057	1%	b ₂₂₁₄ b ₂₂₁₅ b ₂₂₁₅	0	0	0		b ₃₂₁₄ b ₃₂₁₅ b	0.03262	0.02219	0.02784	5 % 6 % 7 %	b ₄₂₁₄ b ₄₂₁₅	0	0	0		b ₅₂₁₄ b ₅₂₁₅ b ₅₂₁₅	0	0	0	
b ₁₂₂₂ b ₁₂₂₃	0 0.07839	0 0.07004	0	7%	b ₂₂₂₂ b ₂₂₂₃	0.25341 0	0.24575	0.24462	0%	b ₃₂₂₂ b ₃₂₂₃	0 0.14389	0 0.13969	0 0.14099	1%	b4222 b4222 b4223	-0.06973 0	-0.08089 0	-0.07988 0	1%	b ₅₂₂₂ b ₅₂₂₃	-0.02405 0	-0.02558 0	0.02557	0%
b ₁₂₂₄ b ₁₂₂₅	0	0 0	0 0		b ₂₂₂₄ b ₂₂₂₅	-0.01975 -0.06766	-0.02396 -0.07177	-0.02355 0.07160	2 % 0 %	b ₃₂₂₄ b ₃₂₂₅	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₄₂₂₄ b ₄₂₂₅	0.23989 0.19737	0.22601 0.18845	0.23077 -0.17989	2 % 5 %	b ₅₂₂₄ b ₅₂₂₅	0.01987 0.12450	0.01990 0.12107	-0.01893 0.12234	5% 1%
b ₁₂₃₁ b ₁₂₃₂	0.15454	U 0.14361	0 -0.15216	6%	b ₂₂₃₁ b ₂₂₃₂	0.04284	0.03944	-0.03659	1%	b ₃₂₃₁ b ₃₂₃₂	0.10056	U 0.09946	U 0.09525	4%	b ₄₂₃₁ b ₄₂₃₂	0.20449	0.19737	-0.20872	0%	b ₅₂₃₁ b ₅₂₃₂	0.02261	0.02198	0.02072	6%
b ₁₂₃₃ b ₁₂₃₄	0.06971	0.07019	0.06650	5% 7%	b ₂₂₃₃ b ₂₂₃₄	0.25015	0.24887	0.25000	0%	b ₃₂₃₃ b ₃₂₃₄	-0.01857 0.10470	0.01900 0.10162	-0.01911 0.10542	1%	b ₄₂₃₃ b ₄₂₃₄	-0.11401	-0.10795 0	-0.10874 0	1%	b ₅₂₃₃ b ₅₂₃₄	0.02115	0.02293	-0.02096	9%
b1233 b1241 b1242	0.05216	0.04922	0.04641	6%	b2235 b2241 b2242	0	0	0	2%	b ₃₂₃₅ b ₃₂₄₁ b ₃₂₄₂	0.03332	0.03282	-0.03470	6%	b4235 b4241 b4242	0	0.24556	0.23420	5%	b ₅₂₃₅ b ₅₂₄₁ b ₅₂₄₂	0 0.03241	0 0.03214	0	5%
b ₁₂₄₃ b ₁₂₄₄	0.07805 0	0.07661 0	-0.07208 0	6%	b ₂₂₄₃ b ₂₂₄₄	0 0.06795	0 0.06695	0 0.06802	2%	b ₃₂₄₃ b ₃₂₄₄	-0.01867 0	-0.01795 0	-0.01808 0	1%	b ₄₂₄₃ b ₄₂₄₄	0 -0.00373	0 0.00075	0 0.00030		b ₅₂₄₃ b ₅₂₄₄	0 -0.00238	0 -0.00116	0 0.00129	11%
b ₁₂₄₅ b ₁₂₅₁	0	0-0.05109	0 0.05169	1%	b ₂₂₄₅ b ₂₂₅₁	0.05590 0	0.05582	-0.05302 0	5%	b ₃₂₄₅ b ₃₂₅₁	0 0.03659	0 0.03461	0 0.03273	5%	b ₄₂₄₅ b ₄₂₅₁	-0.03551 0	-0.02317 0	0.02485 0	7%	b ₅₂₄₅ b ₅₂₅₁	-0.01483 0	-0.01425 0	-0.01444 0	1%
b ₁₂₅₂ b ₁₂₅₃	0.23458	0.22045	0.23094	5%	b ₂₂₅₂ b ₂₂₅₃	-0.00700	-0.07177	0.07160	0%	b ₃₂₅₂ b ₃₂₅₃	0.03422	0.03612	-0.03311	8%	b ₄₂₅₂ b ₄₂₅₃	0.32190	0.00001	-0.31968	5%	b ₅₂₅₂ b ₅₂₅₃	0.08671 0 0.01274	0.08617	0.08325	3% 1%
b ₁₂₅₄ b ₁₂₅₅	0	0	0 03531	0%	b ₂₂₅₄ b ₂₂₅₅ b	0.35028	0.33969	0.34263	1%	b ₃₂₅₄ b ₃₂₅₅ b	0 09543	0	0 0 0 4 4 7	1%	b ₄₂₅₄ b ₄₂₅₅	-0.14729	-0.13501	-0.13719	2%	b ₅₂₅₄ b ₅₂₅₅ b	-0.05897	-0.05460	0.05557	2%
b ₁₃₁₂ b ₁₃₁₃	0 0.25292	0 0.24617	0 0.23460	5%	b ₂₃₁₂ b ₂₃₁₃	0.04284	0.03944	-0.03659 0	7%	b ₃₃₁₂ b ₃₃₁₃	0.04021	0	0 0.03563	2%	b ₄₃₁₂ b ₄₃₁₃	0.15060	0.14565	-0.13722 0	6%	b ₅₃₁₂ b ₅₃₁₃	0.04557	0.04424	0.04627	5%
b ₁₃₁₄ b ₁₃₁₅	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₂₃₁₄ b ₂₃₁₅	0.05792 0.06360	0.05846 0.06167	-0.06152 0.05803	5% 6%	b ₃₃₁₄ b ₃₃₁₅	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₄₃₁₄ b ₄₃₁₅	-0.01420 0.22313	-0.01106 0.21757	0.01132 0.20638	2 % 5 %	b ₅₃₁₄ b ₅₃₁₅	0.01828 -0.03298	0.01915 -0.02875	0.01822 0.02942	5 % 2 %
b ₁₃₂₁ b ₁₃₂₂	0 0.07839	0.07004	0	7%	b ₂₃₂₁ b ₂₃₂₂	0.08446	0.08087	-0.08539	6%	b ₃₃₂₁ b ₃₃₂₂	0.14389	0.13969	0 0.14099	1%	b ₄₃₂₁ b ₄₃₂₂	0.13451	0.13344	-0.12660	5%	b ₅₃₂₁ b ₅₃₂₂	0.02016	0.01891	0.01763	7%
b ₁₃₂₃ b ₁₃₂₄	U 0.10598 0.11628	0.00001 0.10382	U -0.10963 0.10243	6%	b ₂₃₂₃ b ₂₃₂₄	0.17482	0.17720	0.16891	5%	b ₃₃₂₃ b ₃₃₂₄	0.01867	0 -0.01795 0.02610	0 -0.01808	1%	b ₄₃₂₃ b ₄₃₂₄	-0.11396	-0.11429 0	-0.11493	1%	b ₅₃₂₃ b ₅₃₂₄	0.06470	0.06452	-0.06675	3%
b ₁₃₂₅ b ₁₃₃₁	0.30355	0.29547	0.29851	1%	b ₂₃₂₅ b ₂₃₃₁ b	0 25015	0 24887	0 25000	0%	b ₃₃₂₅ b ₃₃₃₁ b	-0.04021	-0.03494	0.03563	2%	b ₄₃₂₅ b ₄₃₃₁ b ₄₃₃₁	0	0	0	1%	b ₅₃₂₅ b ₅₃₃₁ b	0 02115	0 02293	0	9%
b ₁₃₃₃ b ₁₃₃₄	-0.12792 0	-0.11056 0	0.11258	2 %	b ₂₃₃₃ b ₂₃₃₄	0 -0.03246	0 -0.03198	0	0%	b ₃₃₃₃ b ₃₃₃₄	0.31619	0.30635	0.30539	0%	b4332 b4333 b4334	0 0.37384	0.00003 0.36459	0 0.37020	2 %	b ₅₃₃₃ b ₅₃₃₄	0 -0.01611	0 -0.01421	0 0.01451	2%
b ₁₃₃₅ b ₁₃₄₁	0 0	0.00001 0	0 0		b ₂₃₃₅ b ₂₃₄₁	0.05950 0.03810	0.06434 0.03953	-0.05871 -0.03732	9% 6%	b ₃₃₃₅ b ₃₃₄₁	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₄₃₃₅ b ₄₃₄₁	-0.15999 -0.02012	-0.13457 -0.01827	0.13791 0.01900	2 % 4 %	b ₅₃₃₅ b ₅₃₄₁	0.17925 0.03179	0.17269 0.03211	0.17412 0.03355	1% 4%
b ₁₃₄₂ b ₁₃₄₃	0.07805	0.07661	-0.07208	6%	b ₂₃₄₂ b ₂₃₄₃	0	0	0	0%	b ₃₃₄₂ b ₃₃₄₃	-0.01867	-0.01795	-0.01808	1%	b ₄₃₄₂ b ₄₃₄₃	0.40462	0 0.39410	0 0.37601	5 %	b ₅₃₄₂ b ₅₃₄₃	0.01705	0	0 0.01403	3%
b ₁₃₄₄ b ₁₃₄₅ b	0.11564	0.11445	0.10841	2 % 5 %	b ₂₃₄₄ b ₂₃₄₅	0	0	0	7%	b ₃₃₄₄ b ₃₃₄₅	-0.02607	-0.02237	0.02292	2%	b ₄₃₄₄ b ₄₃₄₅	0 31573	0 30416	0 31875	5%	b ₅₃₄₄ b ₅₃₄₅ b	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2%
b ₁₃₅₂ b ₁₃₅₃	0.23458	0.22045	0.23094 0	5%	b ₂₃₅₂ b ₂₃₅₃	0 0.18202	0 0.18104	0 -0.18693	3%	b ₃₃₅₂ b ₃₃₅₃	0.03422	0.03612	-0.03311 0	8%	b ₄₃₅₂ b ₄₃₅₃	0 -0.16932	-0.00001 -0.12937	0 0.13328	3%	b ₅₃₅₂ b ₅₃₅₃	0 0.11015	0 0.10635	0 0.10249	4%
b ₁₃₅₄ b ₁₃₅₅	0.09411 -0.16978	0.09544 0.14324	0.09092 0.14682	5% 2%	b ₂₃₅₄ b ₂₃₅₅	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₃₃₅₄ b ₃₃₅₅	-0.02607 0.29010	-0.02237 0.27197	0.02292 0.27501	2% 1%	b ₄₃₅₄ b ₄₃₅₅	0 0	0 0.00001	0 0		b ₅₃₅₄ b ₅₃₅₅	0 0	0 0	0 0	
b ₁₄₁₁ b ₁₄₁₂	0 0.06157	0 0.05785	0.06264	8%	b ₂₄₁₁ b ₂₄₁₂	0.02851	0.02771	0.02604	6%	b ₃₄₁₁ b ₃₄₁₂	0.02192	0.02219	0	5%	b ₄₄₁₁ b ₄₄₁₂	0.13833	0.13851	0.14054	1%	b ₅₄₁₁ b ₅₄₁₂	-0.00367	-0.00358	0.00359	0%
b ₁₄₁₃ b ₁₄₁₄	0.07884	0.08042	0.07584	6% 2%	b ₂₄₁₃ b ₂₄₁₄	0.05792	0.05040	0.00152	3 76	b ₃₄₁₃ b ₃₄₁₄	-0.00328	-0.00304	0.00316	4 % 5 %	b ₄₄₁₃ b ₄₄₁₄	-0.01420 0	-0.01108 0	0.01132	2 70	b ₅₄₁₃ b ₅₄₁₄	0.01626	0.01915	0.01822	0 76
b ₁₄₁₅ b ₁₄₂₁ b ₁₄₂₂	0.05216	0.04922	0.04641	6%	b ₂₄₁₅ b ₂₄₂₁ b ₂₄₂₂	0 -0.01975	0 -0.02396	0 -0.02355	2%	b ₃₄₁₅ b ₃₄₂₁ b ₃₄₂₂	0.02454	0.02422	-0.02281 0	6%	b4415 b4421 b4422	0 0.23989	0 0.22601	0 0.23077	2%	b ₅₄₂₁ b ₅₄₂₂	0 0.01987	0 0.01990	0 -0.01893	5%
b ₁₄₂₃ b ₁₄₂₄	0.10598 0	0.10382 0	-0.10963 0	6%	b ₂₄₂₃ b ₂₄₂₄	0 0.07394	0 0.07274	0 0.06903	5%	b ₃₄₂₃ b ₃₄₂₄	-0.01867 0	-0.01795 0	-0.01808 0	1%	b ₄₄₂₃ b ₄₄₂₄	0 -0.00373	0 0.00075	0 0.00030		b ₅₄₂₃ b ₅₄₂₄	0 -0.00357	0 -0.00245	0 0.00262	7%
b ₁₄₂₅ b ₁₄₃₁	0	0	0	5.0/	b ₂₄₂₅ b ₂₄₃₁	0.09119	0.09017	-0.09423	4% 6%	b ₃₄₂₅ b ₃₄₃₁	0	0	0	1.0/	b4425 b4431	-0.02367	-0.01102 -0.01106	0.01226	11% 2%	b ₅₄₂₅ b ₅₄₃₁	0.01483	-0.01425 0.02297	-0.01444 0.02172	1% 5%
b ₁₄₃₂ b ₁₄₃₃ b	0.00971	0.07019	0.00050	3%	b ₂₄₃₂ b ₂₄₃₃	-0.03246	-0.03198	-0.03205	0%	b ₃₄₃₂ b ₃₄₃₃	0.01007	0.01900	0.06251	5%	b ₄₄₃₂ b ₄₄₃₃	0.37384	0.36459	0.37020	2 %	b ₅₄₃₂ b ₅₄₃₃ b	-0.01611	-0.01421	0.01451	2%
b ₁₄₃₄ b ₁₄₃₅ b ₁₄₄₁	0.16363 0.07169	0.16000 0.07286	0.16743 0.07382	5% 1%	b ₂₄₃₅ b ₂₄₄₁	0	0	0		b ₃₄₃₅ b ₃₄₄₁	0.02759	-0.02151 -0.00184	0.02216 0.00188	3% 2%	b ₄₄₃₄ b ₄₄₃₅ b ₄₄₄₁	0	0	0		b ₅₄₃₅ b ₅₄₄₁	0	0	0	
b ₁₄₄₂ b ₁₄₄₃	0 •0.00736	0 -0.00582	0 0.00594	2%	b ₂₄₄₂ b ₂₄₄₃	0.06795 0	0.06695 0	0.06802 0	2 %	b ₃₄₄₂ b ₃₄₄₃	0 0.06091	0 0.06062	0 0.06154	2%	b ₄₄₄₂ b ₄₄₄₃	-0.00373 0	0.00075 0	0.00030 0		b ₅₄₄₂ b ₅₄₄₃	-0.00238 0	-0.00116 0	0.00129 0	11%
b ₁₄₄₄ b ₁₄₄₅	0	0	0		b ₂₄₄₄ b ₂₄₄₅	-0.00106 -0.00671	0.00022	0.00009	11%	b ₃₄₄₄ b ₃₄₄₅	0	0	0	5.04	b ₄₄₄₄ b ₄₄₄₅	0.10759	0.11005	0.10873	1% 0%	b ₅₄₄₄ b ₅₄₄₅	-0.01463 0.05128	-0.01509 0.04950	0.01503	0 % 2 %
b ₁₄₅₁ b ₁₄₅₂	-0.01888	-0.01784 0 0.00544	0.01790	U%	b ₂₄₅₁ b ₂₄₅₂	0.05590	0.05582	-0.05302	5%	b ₃₄₅₁ b ₃₄₅₂	0.03035	0.03017	0.03431	5% 2%	b ₄₄₅₁ b ₄₄₅₂	-0.02367	-0.01102	0.01226	11%	b ₅₄₅₁ b ₅₄₅₂	-0.01274	-0.01274	-0.01283	1%
b1453 b1454 b1455	0	0	0	0.70	b ₂₄₅₃ b ₂₄₅₄ b ₂₄₅₅	-0.01006 -0.04172	-0.00686 -0.03999	0.00733	7% 1%	b ₃₄₅₃ b ₃₄₅₄ b ₃₄₅₅	0	0	0	2.70	b4453 b4454 b4455	-0.14533 0.50937	-0.14292 0.46891	0.14283 0.47971	0 % 2 %	b ₅₄₅₄ b ₅₄₅₄	0.05417	0.05240	0.05007 0.01611	4 % 4 %
b ₁₅₁₁ b ₁₅₁₂	0 -0.05423	0 -0.05030	0 0.05057	1%	b ₂₅₁₁ b ₂₅₁₂	-0.02944 0	-0.02877 0	0.02901 0	1%	b ₃₅₁₁ b ₃₅₁₂	0 0.03262	0 0.02977	0 0.02784	6%	b4511 b4512	-0.03644 0	-0.03392 0	0.03409 0	1%	b ₅₅₁₁ b ₅₅₁₂	0.08213 0	0.08050 0	0.08152 0	1%
b ₁₅₁₃ b ₁₅₁₄	0 -0.01741	0 -0.01650	0 0.01680	2%	b ₂₅₁₃ b ₂₅₁₄	0.06360	0.06167	0.05803	6%	b ₃₅₁₃ b ₃₅₁₄	0 0.05144	0 0.05057	0 0.05299	5%	b ₄₅₁₃ b ₄₅₁₄	0.22313	0.21757	0.20638	5%	b ₅₅₁₃ b ₅₅₁₄	-0.03298 0	-0.02875 0	0.02942	2%
b ₁₅₁₅ b ₁₅₂₁	0.34824	0.33057	0.31766	4% 1%	b ₂₅₁₅ b ₂₅₂₁	U 0 0.06766	0 07177	U 0 0.07160	0.9/	b ₃₅₁₅ b ₃₅₂₁	0.04840	0.03930	0.04023	2% 5%	b4515 b4521	0	0 19945	0	E 9/	b ₅₅₁₅ b ₅₅₂₁	0	0	0 10024	1.9/
b ₁₅₂₂ b ₁₅₂₃	0.11638	0.10951	0.10342	6%	b ₂₅₂₂ b ₂₅₂₃	0.00700	0.07177	0.07100	4%	b ₃₅₂₂ b ₃₅₂₃	0.03422	0.03612	-0.03311	8%	b ₄₅₂₂ b ₄₅₂₃	0.19757	0.10040	0 01226	5 %	b ₅₅₂₂ b ₅₅₂₃ b	0.12450	0.12107	0.12234 0	1%
- 1524 b ₁₅₂₅ b ₁₅₃₁	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₂₅₂₅ b ₂₅₃₁	0.24395 0.12820	0.24177 0.12414	0.23317 0.12960	4 % 4 %	-3524 b3525 b3531	0 0	0 0	0 0		b ₄₅₂₅ b ₄₅₃₁	-0.12652 0.18158	-0.12069 0.18143	0.12194	1% 5%	b ₅₅₂₅ b ₅₅₃₁	-0.05897 -0.03298	-0.05460 -0.02875	0.05557 0.02942	2 % 2 %
b ₁₅₃₂ b ₁₅₃₃	0.10376 0	0.09420 0.00001	0.08797 0	7%	b ₂₅₃₂ b ₂₅₃₃	0 0.05950	0 0.06434	0 -0.05871	9%	b ₃₅₃₂ b ₃₅₃₃	0.10470 0	0.10162 0	-0.10542 0	4%	b4532 b4533	0 -0.15999	-0.00001 -0.13457	0 0.13791	2 %	b ₅₅₃₂ b ₅₅₃₃	0 0.17925	0 0.17269	0 0.17412	1%
b ₁₅₃₄ b ₁₅₃₅	0.16363	0.16000	0.16743	5% 2%	b ₂₅₃₄ b ₂₅₃₅	0	0	0		b ₃₅₃₄ b ₃₅₃₅	0.02759	0.02151	0.02216	3% 3%	b ₄₅₃₄ b ₄₅₃₅	0	0.00001	0		b ₅₅₃₄ b ₅₅₃₅	0	0	0	
b ₁₅₄₁ b ₁₅₄₂	-0.01888 0 0.11564	-0.01/84 0 0.1144E	0.01790 0 0.10841	U%	b ₂₅₄₁ b ₂₅₄₂	U 0.05590 0	U 0.05582 0	0.05302	5%	b ₃₅₄₁ b ₃₅₄₂	0.02959 0	0.0301/ 0	0.02878 0 0.02202	5% 2%	b ₄₅₄₁ b ₄₅₄₂	0 -0.03551	0 -0.02317 0	U 0.02485 0	7%	b ₅₅₄₁ b ₅₅₄₂ b ₅	U -0.01483 0	0.01425	0 -0.01444 0	1%
b ₁₅₄₃ b ₁₅₄₄	0.11304	0.11440 0 0	0.10041 0 0	J 70	b ₂₅₄₃ b ₂₅₄₄ b ₂₅₄₄	-0.00671 -0.03584	-0.00326 -0.03575	0.00361	11% 1%	b ₃₅₄₃ b ₃₅₄₄ b ₃₅₄₄	0	0	0.02292 0	∠ /0	b4543 b4544 b	-0.14533 0.53806	-0.14292 0.49633	0.14283 0.47575	0 % 4 %	b ₅₅₄₃ b ₅₅₄₄ b	0.05128 -0.02072	0.04950	0.05049 0.01611	2 % 4 %
b ₁₅₅₁ b ₁₅₅₂	0.42279 0	0.40110 0	0.40683 0	1%	b ₂₅₅₁ b ₂₅₅₂	0 0.35028	0 0.33969	0 0.34263	1%	b ₃₅₅₁ b ₃₅₅₂	0.05338	-0.04528 0	0.04646 0	3%	b4551 b4552	0	0 -0.13501	0 -0.13719	2 %	b ₅₅₅₁ b ₅₅₅₂	0 -0.05897	0-0.05460	0 0.05557	2 %
b ₁₅₅₃ b ₁₅₅₄	-0.16978 0	-0.14324 0	0.14682 0	2%	b ₂₅₅₃ b ₂₅₅₄	0 -0.04172	0	0	1%	b ₃₅₅₃ b ₃₅₅₄	0.29010	0.27197	0.27501 0	1%	b ₄₅₅₃ b ₄₅₅₄	0 0.50937	0.00001 0.46891	0 0.47971	2%	b ₅₅₅₃ b ₅₅₅₄	0 -0.02072	0 -0.01554	0 0.01611	4%
b ₁₅₅₅	0	0	0		b ₂₅₅₅	-0.16590	-0.15320	0.15563	2%	b ₃₅₅₅	0	0	0		b ₄₅₅₅	-0.20584	-0.14718	0.15310	4%	b ₅₅₅₅	0.36636	0.34222	0.34399	1%

SGP Castro, EL Jansen: Preprint submitted to Elsevier



Figure 6: u_{11} for anisotropic plate A1 with a/b = 1; rows from top to bottom are: $E_1/E_2 = 1, 3, 10, 20$; columns from left to right are: $u, v, \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$

was proposed and referred to as Bogner-Fox-Schimt-Castro (BFSC), which has enriched in-place displacements when compared to the conventional BFS element to enable an accurate representation of the second-order displacement fields. This element has only 4 nodes per element and 10 degrees-of-freedom per node, which are: $u, u_{x,x}, u_{y,y}, v, v_{x,x}, v_{y,y}, w, w_{x,x}, w_{y,y}$ and w_{xy} . The results herein presented showed that the third-order interpolation of w provided led to an ultra-fast convergence of the linear buckling eigenvalues, whereas the third-order interpolation of u and v led to a ultra-fast convergence of the second-order displacement fields and consequently of the $b_{ijk\ell}$ factors obtained in Koiter's analysis.

Future studies should investigate the same strategy herein adopted to enrich the in-plane interpolation of the BFS element to create modified versions of the TUBA3 family [57]. Other approaches for enriching the membrane displacement field could also be investigated, such as the interpolation covers suggested by Jun et al. [71] for triangular shell elements. Another alternative is to use fifth-order Hermite polynomials enhancing the BFS element to also interpolate the rotational strains $w_{,xx}$ and $w_{,yy}$, keeping C^1 continuity even with distorted meshes, thus keeping the high convergence rates herein observed for non-rectangular meshes [72].

In the present study, Von Kármán nonlinear kinematics were used to derive the n^{th} -order tensors obtained from the total potential energy of the system. The effect of using more complete nonlinear kinematics such as Sanders [49, 52] and Timoshenko & Gere [52] should also be investigated, especially for shells with single and double curvature.

The displacement-based notation herein presented simplifies formulating and implementing the compatibility be-



Figure 7: u_{ij} for isotropic plate used in multi-modal asymptotic expansion analysis; columns from left to right are: u, v, $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$

tween different semi-analytical domains [73, 74, 75, 76], even for Koiter's asymptotic analysis. Such possibilities would allow one to apply semi-analytical methods for stiffened panels and shells using efficient displacement representations such as those provided by Legendre hierarchical polynomials [77, 78, 79, 80].

Finally, the effect of initial imperfections should be included in the presented formulation to enable asymptotic perturbation analyses, especially important in imperfection sensitive shells.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

S. G. P. Castro: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, validation, software, data curation, writing - original draft preparation, writing - editing. **E. L. Jansen:** Validation, writing - review.



Figure 8: u_{ij} for composite plate used in multi-modal asymptotic expansion analysis; columns from left to right are: u, v, $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$

A. Linear Buckling Analysis

In Eq. 5 it is known from the equilibrium condition that $\phi'[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_c] = 0$. Dividing the remaining terms by $\|\boldsymbol{v}\|$:

$$\phi'[\boldsymbol{u}, \lambda_c] \delta \boldsymbol{u} = \phi''[\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \lambda_c] \frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\|} \delta \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{2} \phi'''[\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \lambda_c] \boldsymbol{v}^2 \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\|} \delta \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{6} \phi^{iv}[\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \lambda_c] \boldsymbol{v}^3 \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{v}\|} \delta \boldsymbol{u} + O(4) = 0 \quad (58)$$

It becomes convenient to define a normalized eigenvector u_i at $\lambda \to \lambda_i$, such that $\langle u_i, u_i \rangle = 1$:

$$u_i = \frac{v}{\|v\|} \tag{59}$$

Thus, one can change Eq. 58 using the definition of u_i to obtain:

$$\phi'[\boldsymbol{u},\lambda_i]\delta\boldsymbol{u} = \phi''[\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}},\lambda_i]\boldsymbol{u}_i\delta\boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{2}\phi'''[\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}},\lambda_i]\boldsymbol{u}_i\boldsymbol{v}\delta\boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{6}\phi^{iv}[\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{c}},\lambda_i]\boldsymbol{u}_i\boldsymbol{v}^2\delta\boldsymbol{u} + O(4) = 0 \quad (60)$$

From Eq. 60, the following relation is obtained when $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_i$, i.e. $\boldsymbol{v} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{0}$:

$$\phi''[\boldsymbol{u_c}, \lambda_i]\boldsymbol{u_i}\delta\boldsymbol{u} = \phi''[\lambda_i\boldsymbol{u_0}, \lambda_i]\boldsymbol{u_i}\delta\boldsymbol{u} = 0$$
(61)

Equation 61 represents the Neutral Equilibrium Criterion [29], which can be used to compute λ_i and u_i , i.e. the linear buckling eigenvalues and eigenmodes, based on a prebuckling state $[u_0, \lambda_0]$. For a system with N degrees-of-freedom there are N pairs λ_i, u_i , so that one should select the mode u_i that corresponds to the lowest positive λ_i , named λ_c in the previous discussions for single-mode asymptotic expansion. In most commonly used eigenvalue solvers, the eigenvalues can be computed and sorted in ascendant order, such that only a few first pairs of eigenvalues and eigenvectors need to be calculated.

References

- W. T. Koiter, The Stability of Elastic Equilibrium, Ph.D. thesis, Translation by Eduard Riks, Technical Report AFFDL-TR-70-25, February 1970. Delft University of Technology (1945).
- [2] G. A. Cohen, Effect of a nonlinear prebuckling state on the postbuckling behaviorand imperfect on sensitivity of elastic structures., AIAA Journal 6 (8) (1968) 1616–1619. doi:10.2514/3.4832. URL https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/3.4832
- [3] J. Arbocz, J. Hol, Koiter's stability theory in a computeraided engineering (CAE) environment, International Journal of Solids and Structures 26 (9-10) (1990) 945–973. doi:10.1016/0020-7683(90)90011-J. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ 0020768390900111
- [4] J. F. Olesen, E. Byskov, Accurate determination of asymptotic postbuckling stresses by the finite element method, Computers & Structures 15 (2) (1982) 157–163. doi:10.1016/0045-7949(82)90063-3. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ 0045794982900633
- [5] R. Peek, M. Kheyrkhahan, Postbuckling behavior and imperfection sensitivity of elastic structures by the Lyapunov-Schmidt-Koiter approach, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 108 (3-4) (1993) 261–279. doi:10.1016/0045-7825(93)90005-I.
 URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ 004578259390005I
- [6] C. Menken, G. Schreppers, W. Groot, R. Petterson, Analyzing buckling mode interactions in elastic structures using an asymptotic approach; theory and experiments, Computers & Structures 64 (1-4) (1997) 473–480. doi:10.1016/S0045-7949(96)00139-3.
 URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0045794996001393
- [7] R. Casciaro, G. Garcea, G. Attanasio, F. Giordano, Perturbation approach to elastic post-buckling analysis, Computers & Structures 66 (5) (1998) 585–595. doi:10.1016/S0045-7949(97)00112-0. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0045794997001120
- [8] M. Kheyrkhahan, R. Peek, Postbuckling analysis and imperfection sensitivity of general shells by the finite element method, International Journal of Solids and Structures 36 (18) (1999) 2641–2681.

doi:10.1016/S0020-7683(98)00129-2. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0020768398001292

- [9] R. Casciaro, Computational asymptotic post-buckling analysis of slender elastic structures, in: Phenomenological and Mathematical Modelling of Structural Instabilities, Vol. 470, Springer Vienna, Vienna, 2005, pp. 195–276. doi:10.1007/3-211-38028-0_4. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/3-211-38028-0_4
- [10] P. Tiso, M. M. Abdalla, E. L. Jansen, Koiter's post-buckling analysis of general shell structures using the finite element method, in: ICAS-Secretariat - 25th Congress of the International Council of the Aeronautical Sciences 2006, 2006.
- [11] P. Tiso, Finite element based reduction methods for static and dynamic analysis of thin-walled structures, Doctoral thesis, Delft University of Technology (2006). URL https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid% 3A3affd&eb-8fbd-40da-aefc-48a96efe&afb?collection=research
- [12] T. Rahman, E. Jansen, Finite element based coupled mode initial post-buckling analysis of a composite cylindrical shell, Thin-Walled Structures 48 (1) (2010) 25–32. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2009.08.003. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0263823109001608https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ pii/S0263823109001608
- [13] T. Rahman, E. L. Jansen, Finite element based coupled mode initial post-buckling analysis of a composite cylindrical shell, Thin-Walled Structures 48 (1) (2010) 25–32. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2009.08.003. URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0263823109001608
- [14] T. Rahman, S. T. Ijsselmuiden, M. M. Abdalla, E. L. Jansen, Postbuckling analysis of variable stiffness composite plates using a finite element-based perturbation method, International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics 11 (04) (2011) 735–753. doi:10.1142/S0219455411004324. URL https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/

URL https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/ \$0219455411004324

[15] S. R. Henrichsen, P. M. Weaver, E. Lindgaard, E. Lund, Post-buckling optimization of composite structures using Koiter's method, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 108 (8) (2016) 902–940. doi:10.1002/nme.5239. UBL http://doi.org/10.1002/nme.5230.

URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/nme.5239

- [16] A. Madeo, R. M. Groh, G. Zucco, P. M. Weaver, G. Zagari, R. Zinno, Post-buckling analysis of variable-angle tow composite plates using Koiter's approach and the finite element method, Thin-Walled Structures 110 (2017) 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2016.10.012.
- [17] S. White, G. Raju, P. Weaver, Initial post-buckling of variablestiffness curved panels, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 71 (1) (2014) 132–155. doi:10.1016/j.jmps.2014.07.003. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0022509614001410
- [18] G. Raju, S. White, Z. Wu, P. Weaver, Optimal Postbuckling Design of Variable Angle Tow Composites using Lamination Parameters, in: 56th AIAA/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference, 2015. doi:10.2514/6.2015-0451. URL http://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/6.2015-0451
- [19] F. S. Liguori, G. Zucco, A. Madeo, D. Magisano, L. Leonetti, G. Garcea, P. M. Weaver, Postbuckling optimisation of a variable angle tow composite wingbox using a multi-modal Koiter approach, Thin-Walled Structures 138 (2019) 183–198. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2019.01.035. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/

UKL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0263823118316331

- [20] F. S. Liguori, G. Zucco, A. Madeo, D. Magisano, L. Leonetti, G. Garcea, P. M. Weaver, Koiter method and solid shell finite elements for postbuckling optimisation of variable angle tow composite structures, in: A. Carcaterra, A. Paolone, G. Graziani (Eds.), Proceedings of XXIV AIMETA Conference 2019, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020, pp. 1731–1742.
- [21] E. J. Barbero, A. Madeo, G. Zagari, R. Zinno, G. Zucco, Imperfec-

tion sensitivity analysis of composite cylindrical shells using Koiter's method, International Journal for Computational Methods in Engineering Science and Mechanics 18 (1) (2017) 105–111. doi: 10.1080/15502287.2016.1276359.

URL https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15502287.2016. 1276359

- [22] E. Jansen, T. Rahman, R. Rolfes, Finite element integrated fast buckling analysis tools using a perturbation approach, in: Buckling and Postbuckling Structures II: Experimental, Analytical and Numerical Studies, 2018. doi:10.1142/9781786344335_0005.
- [23] Y. Sun, K. Tian, R. Li, B. Wang, Accelerated Koiter method for post-buckling analysis of thin-walled shells under axial compression, Thin-Walled Structures 155 (2020) 106962. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2020.106962. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0263823120308405
- [24] W. Rissardo, Uma metodologia de pre-dimensionamento para estabilidade estrutural de asas, Dissertacao de Mestrado, Ph.D. thesis, Instituto Tecnologico de Aeronautica, Sao Jose dos Campos, Brasil (2006).
- [25] G. C. Bufeli, A. Teixeira Neto, F. L. d. S. Bussamra, A routine to generate a simplified dynamic model of wing main box, in: Brazilian Symposium on Aerospace Engineering & Applications, Sao Jose dos Campos, Brazil, 2009.
- [26] A. Teixeira Neto, F. L. d. S. Bussamra, H. A. d. C. e. Silva, A new metamodel for reinforced panels under compressive loads and its application to the fuselage conception, Latin American Journal of Solids and Structures 11 (2) (2014) 223–244.
- [27] S. G. Castro, R. Zimmermann, M. A. Arbelo, R. Degenhardt, Exploring the constancy of the global buckling load after a critical geometric imperfection level in thin-walled cylindrical shells for less conservative knock-down factors, Thin-Walled Structures 72 (2013) 76–87. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2013.06.016.
- [28] S. G. Castro, R. Zimmermann, M. A. Arbelo, R. Khakimova, M. W. Hilburger, R. Degenhardt, Geometric imperfections and lower-bound methods used to calculate knock-down factors for axially compressed composite cylindrical shells, Thin-Walled Structures 74 (2014) 118– 132. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2013.08.011.
- [29] S. G. Castro, C. Mittelstedt, F. A. Monteiro, M. A. Arbelo, G. Ziegmann, R. Degenhardt, Linear buckling predictions of unstiffened laminated composite cylinders and cones under various loading and boundary conditions using semi-analytical models, Composite Structures 118 (1) (2014) 303–315. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.07.037. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.07.037
- [30] S. G. Castro, C. Mittelstedt, F. A. Monteiro, M. A. Arbelo, R. Degenhardt, G. Ziegmann, A semi-analytical approach for linear and non-linear analysis of unstiffened laminated composite cylinders and cones under axial, torsion and pressure loads, Thin-Walled Structures 90 (2015) 61–73. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2015.01.002. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2015.01.002
- [31] S. G. P. Castro, C. Mittelstedt, F. A. C. Monteiro, R. Degenhardt, G. Ziegmann, Evaluation of non-linear buckling loads of geometrically imperfect composite cylinders and cones with the Ritz method, Composite Structures 122 (2015) 284–299. doi:10.1016/j. compstruct.2014.11.050.

URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.11.050
[32] E. J. Barbero, A. Madeo, G. Zagari, R. Zinno, G. Zucco, Imperfection sensitivity analysis of laminated folded plates, Thin-Walled Structures 90 (2015) 128–139. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2015.01.017.

URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2015.01.017https: //linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263823115000208

- [33] R. M. Groh, A. Pirrera, Spatial chaos as a governing factor for imperfection sensitivity in shell buckling, Physical Review E 100 (3) (sep 2019). doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.100.032205.
- [34] J. Xia, P. E. Farrell, S. G. Castro, Nonlinear bifurcation analysis of stiffener profiles via deflation techniques, Thin-Walled Structures 149 (2020) 106662. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2020.106662. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/

S0263823119316404

[35] E. Barbero, A. Madeo, G. Zagari, R. Zinno, G. Zucco, A mixed isostatic 24 dof element for static and buckling analysis of laminated folded plates, Composite Structures 116 (2014) 223–234. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.05.003. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/

S0263822314002037

- [36] A. Madeo, G. Zagari, R. Casciaro, S. De Miranda, A mixed 4-node 3D plate element based on self-equilibrated isostatic stresses, International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics 15 (4) (may 2015). doi:10.1142/S0219455414500667.
- [37] G. Zucco, R. M. Groh, A. Madeo, P. M. Weaver, Mixed shell element for static and buckling analysis of variable angle tow composite plates, Composite Structures 152 (2016) 324–338. doi:10.1016/j. compstruct.2016.05.030.
- [38] B. Budiansky, Theory of Buckling and Post-Buckling Behavior of Elastic Structures, Advances in Applied Mechanics 14 (1974) 1–65. doi:10.1016/S0065-2156(08)70030-9. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/

S0065215608700309

[39] F. K. Bogner, R. L. Fox, L. A. Schmit Jr., The generation of interelement-compatible stiffness and mass matrices by the use of interpolation formulas, in: Matrix Methods in Structural Mechanics, Air-Force Inst. of Tech., Wright Patterson AF Base, Cleveland, Ohio, 1966, pp. 395–444.

URL http://contrails.iit.edu/reports/8569

- [40] O. C. Zienkiewicz, R. L. Taylor, The Finite Element Method Volume 2: Solid Mechanics, fifth edit Edition, 2000.
- [41] K. Liang, M. Abdalla, G. Zafer, Q. Sun, A Koiter-Newton Approach for Nonlinear Structural Analysis, in: 3rd Aircraft Structural Design Conference, 2012, p. 9.
- [42] K. Liang, M. Ruess, M. Abdalla, The Koiter-Newton approach using von Ka'rma'n kinematics for buckling analyses of imperfection sensitive structures, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 279 (2014) 440–468. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2014.07.008.
- [43] K. Liang, M. Ruess, M. Abdalla, An eigenanalysis-based bifurcation indicator proposed in the framework of a reduced-order modeling technique for non-linear structural analysis, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 81 (2016) 129–138. doi:10.1016/j. ijnonlinmec.2016.01.013.
- [44] K. Liang, Koiter–Newton analysis of thick and thin laminated composite plates using a robust shell element, Composite Structures 161 (2017) 530–539. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.10.071.
- [45] G. B. Arfken, H. J. Weber, D. Spector, Mathematical Methods for Physicists, 4th ed., American Journal of Physics 67 (2) (1999) 165– 169. doi:10.1119/1.19217.

URL http://aapt.scitation.org/doi/10.1119/1.19217

 [46] P. Tiso, E. Jansen, M. Abdalla, Reduction Method for Finite Element Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis of Shells, AIAA Journal 49 (10) (2011) 2295–2304. doi:10.2514/1.J051003. URL http://arc.aiaa.org/tdp://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/1.

J051003

[47] R. V. Southwell, On the General Theory of Elastic Stability, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 213 (497-508) (1914) 187–244. doi:10.1098/rsta.1914.0005. URL http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/doi/10.1098/

rsta.1914.0005

- [48] C. Hühne, R. Zimmermann, R. Rolfes, B. M. Geier, Sensitivities to geometrical and loading imperfections on buckling of composite cylindrical shells, in: Proceedings European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Materials and Mechanical Testing, Toulouse, France, 2002, p. 12.
- [49] S. G. Castro, C. Mittelstedt, F. A. Monteiro, M. A. Arbelo, G. Ziegmann, R. Degenhardt, Linear buckling predictions of unstiffened laminated composite cylinders and cones under various loading and boundary conditions using semi-analytical models, Composite Structures 118 (1) (2014) 303–315. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.07.037.

URL http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2. 0-84919711488&partnerID=MN&TOARShttps://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S0263822314003602http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. compstruct.2014.07.037

- [50] S. G. P. Castro, Ritz method for the analysis of unstiffened laminated composite cylinders and cones under axial compression, in: 54th Israel Annual Conference on Aerospace Sciences 2014, Vol. 2, 2014, pp. 1285–1302.
- [51] T. Rahman, E. Jansen, Finite Element Based Multi-Mode Initial Post-Buckling Analysis of Composite Cylindrical Shells, in: 50th AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference, no. May, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Palm Springs, California, 2009, pp. 4–7. doi:10.2514/6.2009-2557.

URL http://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/6.2009-2557 [52] S. G. P. Castro, Semi-analytical tools for the analysis of laminated

- [52] S. G. P. Castro, Semi-analytical tools for the analysis of faminated composite cylindrical and conical imperfect shells under various loading and boundary conditions, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Clausthal (2014).
- [53] L. Donnell, Stability of Thin-walled Tubes under Torsion, Tech. Rep. 479, NACA Report No. 479 (1933).
- [54] L. H. Donnell, A new theory for the buckling of thin cylinders under axial compression and bending, Trans. ASME 56 (11) (1934) 795– 806.
- [55] A. N. Guz', G. V. Guz', Mechanics of composite materials with largescale curving of filler (1983). doi:10.1007/BF00611782.
- [56] E. Burman, M. G. Larson, P. Hansbo, Cut Bogner-Fox-Schmit Elements for Plates (nov 2019). arXiv:1911.00239. URL http://arXiv.org/abs/1911.00239
- [57] J. H. Argyris, I. Fried, D. W. Scharpf, The TUBA Family of Plate Elements for the Matrix Displacement Method, The Aeronautical Journal 72 (692) (1968) 701-709. doi:10.1017/S000192400008489X. URL https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/ S000192400008489X/type/journal{_}article
- [58] O. O. Ochoa, J. N. Reddy, Finite Element Analysis of Composite Laminates, Springer, Dordrecht, 1992, pp. 37–109. doi:10.1007/ 978-94-015-7995-7_3. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-015-7995-7{_}3
- [59] D. Q. Tsunematsu, The aeroelastic behavior of laminated composite panels undergoing progressive damage in supersonic flow, Thesis of doctor of science, Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica (2019).
- [60] D. Q. Tsunematsu, M. V. Donadon, Aeroelastic behavior of composite panels undergoing progressive damage, Composite Structures 210 (2019) 458–472. doi:10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2018.11.065. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0263822318314508{#}b0110
- [61] G. Van Rossum, F. L. Drake, Python 3 Reference Manual, CreateSpace, Scotts Valley, CA, 2009.
- [62] S. van der Walt, S. C. Colbert, G. Varoquaux, The NumPy Array: A Structure for Efficient Numerical Computation, Computing in Science & Engineering 13 (2) (2011) 22–30. arXiv:1102.1523, doi:10.1109/ MCSE.2011.37.

URL http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5725236/

- [63] S. Behnel, R. Bradshaw, C. Citro, L. Dalcin, D. S. Seljebotn, K. Smith, Cython: The best of both worlds, Computing in Science and Engineering (2011). doi:10.1109/MCSE.2010.118.
- [64] A. D. Lanzo, G. Garcea, R. Casciaro, Asymptotic post-buckling analysis of rectangular plates by HC finite elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering (1995). doi:10.1002/nme. 1620381403.
- [65] N. D. Phan, J. N. Reddy, Analysis of laminated composite plates using a higher-order shear deformation theory, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 21 (12) (1985) 2201–2219. doi:10.1002/nme.1620211207.
- [66] A. Bilotta, A. D. Lanzo, R. Casciaro, A finite element for the koiter nonlinear analysis of composite thin-walled structures, in: European Congress on Computational Methods in Applied Sciences and Engineering, ECCOMAS, Barcelona, Espana, 2000.

URL https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289009692_A_
finite_element_for_the_koiter_nonlinear_analysis_of_composite_
thin-walled_structures

- [67] E. L. Jansen, T. Rahman, Low-dimensional finite element based models for post-buckling and snap-through analysis of composite panels under dynamic in-plane loading, in: 6th Aircraft Structural Design Conference, Royal Aeronautical Society, Bristol, 2018.
- [68] J. Manie, DIANA 10.2 User's Manual (2017).
- [69] D. Allman, A compatible triangular element including vertex rotations for plane elasticity analysis, Computers & Structures 19 (1-2) (1984) 1–8. doi:10.1016/0045-7949(84)90197-4.
 URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0045794984901974
- [70] D. J. Allman, Evaluation of the constant strain triangle with drilling rotations, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 26 (12) (1988) 2645–2655. doi:10.1002/nme.1620261205. URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/nme.1620261205
- H. Jun, K. Yoon, P.-S. Lee, K.-J. Bathe, The MITC3+ shell element enriched in membrane displacements by interpolation covers, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 337 (2018) 458–480. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2018.04.007.
 URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/

UKL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0045782518301774

[72] L. J. F. Ferreira, M. L. Bittencourt, Hierarchical high-order conforming C 1 bases for quadrangular and triangular finite elements, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 109 (7) (2017) 936–964. doi:10.1002/nme.5308.

URL http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/nme.5308

- [73] S. G. Castro, T. A. Guimarães, D. A. Rade, M. V. Donadon, Flutter of stiffened composite panels considering the stiffener's base as a structural element, Composite Structures 140 (2016) 36–43. doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2015.12.056.
- URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2015.12.056
 [74] S. G. P. Castro, Computational mechanics tools (2016). doi:10.5281/
 zenodo.2541512.

URL https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2541512

[75] S. G. Castro, M. V. Donadon, Assembly of semi-analytical models to address linear buckling and vibration of stiffened composite panels with debonding defect, Composite Structures 160 (2017) 232–247. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.10.026. URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/

URL http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S026382231631008X

[76] S. G. P. Castro, Semi-analytical models for panels (jan 2020). doi: 10.5281/ZENOD0.2541522.

URL https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2541522

[77] H. Abramovich (Ed.), Stability and Vibrations of Thin Walled Composite Structures, 1st Edition, Woodhead Publishing, Haifa, Israel, 2017.

URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/book/9780081004104/ stability-and-vibrations-of-thin-walled-composite-structures# book-description

- [78] O. D. de Matos Junior, M. V. Donadon, S. G. Castro, Aeroelastic behavior of stiffened composite laminated panel with embedded SMA wire using the hierarchical Rayleigh–Ritz method, Composite Structures 181 (2017) 26–45. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.08.060. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0263822317311807
- [79] R. Vescovini, L. Dozio, M. D'Ottavio, O. Polit, On the application of the Ritz method to free vibration and buckling analysis of highly anisotropic plates, Composite Structures 192 (2018) 460–474. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.03.017.

URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0263822318305233#f0030https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ pii/S0263822318305233

[80] R. Vescovini, E. Spigarolo, E. Jansen, L. Dozio, Efficient postbuckling analysis of variable-stiffness plates using a perturbation approach, Thin-Walled Structures 143 (2019) 106211. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2019.106211. URL https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0263823119303179

View publication stats