**Evaluation of Fenton and modified Fenton oxidation
coupled with membrane distillation for produced water
treatment: Benefits, challenges, and effluent toxicity**

Giulio Farinelli,^{†,1} Marco Coha,^{†,1} Marco Minella,[§] Debora Fabbri,[§] Marco
Pazzi,[§] Davide Vione,[§] Alberto Tiraferri^{†,*}

[†]Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering (DIATI), Politecnico di
Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129, Turin (Italy)

[§]Department of Chemistry, Università di Torino, Via Pietro Giuria 5, 10125 Turin (Italy)

* Corresponding Author:

A. T. Email: alberto.tiraferri@polito.it; Phone: +39 (011) 090-7628

¹ These authors contributed equally

ABSTRACT

Membrane distillation is a promising technology to desalinate hypersaline produced waters. However, the organic content can foul and wet the membrane, while some fractions may pass into the distillate and impair its quality. In this study, the applicability of the traditional Fenton process was investigated and preliminarily optimized as a pre-treatment of a synthetic hypersaline produced water for the following step of membrane distillation. The Fenton process was also compared to a modified Fenton system, whereby safe iron ligands, i.e., ethylenediamine-N,N'-disuccinate and citrate, were used to overcome practical limitations of the traditional reaction. The oxidation pre-treatments achieved up to 55% removal of the dissolved organic carbon and almost complete degradation of the low molecular weight toxic organic contaminants. The pre-treatment steps did not improve the productivity of the membrane distillation process, but they allowed for obtaining a final effluent with significantly higher quality in terms of organic content and reduced *Vibrio fischeri* inhibition, with half maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) values up to 25 times those measured for the raw produced water. The addition of iron ligands during the oxidation step simplified the process, but resulted in an effluent of slightly lower quality in terms of toxicity compared to the use of traditional Fenton.

Keywords: membrane distillation; produced water; advanced oxidation; iron ligands; ecotoxicity

39 **HIGHLIGHTS**

- 40 • Thermal and modified Fenton degraded target contaminants in produced water.
- 41 • The oxidative pre-treatment reduced organics in the membrane distillation effluent.
- 42 • Coupled oxidation and membrane distillation reduced the toxicity of the final effluent.
- 43 • Traditional Fenton pre-treatment provided the best effluent in terms of toxicity.
- 44 • Modified Fenton nearly degraded all target contaminants in hypersaline solutions.

45

46 **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

47

48

1. Introduction

Despite the current transition to more sustainable sources of energy, oil and gas extraction still plays a significant role in the energy sector and wastewater treatment is quickly emerging as one of the most significant challenges of this industry. Indeed, the so-called produced water (PW) is the largest waste stream generated in oil and gas extraction activities (Ahmadun et al., 2009). Considering both onshore and offshore sites, the global PW production has increased from 150 million to around 300 million barrels per day from 1990 to 2015 (Ahmadun et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2021). The average water cut, namely, the amount of water volume produced per oil volume, is roughly 3:1 (Ahmadun et al., 2009; Jimenez et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2021; McCormack et al., 2001). As oilfields age during the current energy transition, the water cut will also increase, together with wastewater treatment difficulties (Igunnu and Chen, 2014). PW is a highly complex matrix, rich in organic and inorganic compounds, with widely diverse composition as a function of geological formation, age of the oilfield, and type of hydrocarbon product being extracted (Ahmadun et al., 2009; Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016). However, the major compounds are typically dispersed oils, dissolved organics (e.g., phenols, benzene, toluene, xylenes), dissolved minerals (e.g., sodium chloride, calcium and magnesium salts), and natural organic matter (NOM) (Ahmadun et al., 2009; Al-Ghouti et al., 2019; Cocha et al., 2021; Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016; Jimenez et al., 2018; Kabyl et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Neff et al., 1992).

The oil and gas industry faces increasing pressure to limit its environmental footprint (Mohammad-Pajooch et al., 2018). Expensive treatment trains for a multi-contaminated water, as well as water scarcity and increasing international attention to environmental issues, are the drivers pushing this industry to use water more sustainably, bringing along the concepts of water reuse and safe water discharge. As a result, innovative, environmentally focused, and reliable methods of meeting water treatment demands, capable of operating in this

specific application are being developed (Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016; Liu et al., 2021; Mohammad-Pajooch et al., 2018). These technologies should be versatile to meet the requirements of low cost and compactness, the latter characteristic being especially important in offshore activities (Kabył et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021). One of the target parameters that needs abatement to allow for water reuse or safe discharge is salinity, which presents average values of 50-100,000 ppm in PW (Coha et al., 2021; Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016). Such salt concentration can plug the reinjection well or may be toxic if PW is discharged in the environment without desalination (Aquiliina, 2012; Ariono et al., 2016; Canedo-Arguelles et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2016; Kleinitz et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2021). Membrane distillation (MD) is a promising emerging technology, capable to extract high-quality effluents from hypersaline solutions using low-grade energy and with relatively low capital cost, due to the absence of high pressure and high temperature components (Chen et al., 2017; Han et al., 2017; Howell, 2004; Lin et al., 2014; Shaffer et al., 2013). In recent studies, MD was successfully tested on hypersaline PW (Han et al., 2017; Li et al., 2014; Ricceri et al., 2019). However, since the MD membranes are highly hydrophobic (PTFE and PVDF membranes are generally used), this process may present important practical limitations in the presence of a large and broad content of organic compounds, such as for typical PW (Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016; Gonzalez et al., 2017). Organics may either induce wetting phenomena or freely pass through the hydrophobic membrane and end up in the final effluent (Chen et al., 2017; Franken et al., 1987; Kargbo et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2018). Wetting phenomena in MD occur when the transmembrane pressure (ΔP) exceeds the liquid entry pressure (LEP), according to eq. (1), thus allowing the contaminated feed water to pass undisturbed through the porous membrane (Franken et al., 1987; Horseman et al., 2021a; Ricceri et al., 2019).

$$\Delta P \geq LEP \quad (1)$$

LEP is defined according to eq. (2):

$$LEP = -\frac{2B\gamma\cos\theta}{r} \quad (2)$$

where γ is the feed water surface tension, θ is the intrinsic contact angle between the feed water and the solid membrane material, r is the equivalent pore radius, and B is a geometric factor accounting for the noncylindrical nature of the membrane pore geometry ($B = 1$ for perfectly cylindrical pores). A low surface tension γ reduces also $\cos\theta$, thus facilitating membrane pore wetting. The degradation of toxic organic compounds in the feed solution both increases its surface tension and thwart their interaction with the hydrophobic membrane, potentially allowing for a more efficient MD process and a more effective management of the PW.

The list of available technologies and processes counts a plethora of options to remove or partially degrade organic compounds (Adewumi et al., 1992; Ahmadun et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2019a; Chang et al., 2019b; Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016; Liu et al., 2021; Shang et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2021). Activated carbon adsorption and sand filtration are low-cost treatment processes; however, they produce harmful waste since they do not degrade the toxic organic compounds. Biological treatment is not effective toward biorecalcitrant organic compounds, such as benzene, toluene, xylenes (BTX), and requires large plants with long retention times, hence not available for offshore platforms (Ayed et al., 2017). Electrochemical, photocatalytic, and ozone-based oxidations are growing rapidly, but they are still currently associated with high capital costs and with difficulties in practical implementation (Ahmadun et al., 2009; Bessa et al., 2001; Cocha et al., 2021; Dalmacija et al., 1996; Ma and Wang, 2006; Ricceri et al., 2019; Shokrollahzadeh et al., 2012). Among advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), the Fenton reaction involves the use of iron sulfate and hydrogen peroxide to generate highly reactive hydroxyl radicals able to oxidize almost

all the organic compounds (Coha et al., 2021; Haber et al., 1934; Miklos et al., 2018). The main reaction is as follows:

The Fenton process is a promising candidate to treat PW both onshore and offshore since it is versatile, characterized by high kinetics also at room temperature, and capable to remove organics from a multi-contaminated matrix (da Silva et al., 2015; Jiménez et al., 2019; Jiménez et al., 2017; Jin and Davarpanah, 2020; Ruiz-Aguirre et al., 2017; Zhai et al., 2018). This method has some limitations, mainly the need for acidic pH to avoid iron hydroxide precipitation, and the production of sludge once neutral pH is restored (Diya'uddeen et al., 2012). A modified Fenton process encompassing the addition of an iron ligand helps overcoming these precise challenges (Chahbane et al., 2007; Farinelli et al., 2020; Farinelli et al., 2019; Messele et al., 2019). However, the literature lacks reports about the application of Fenton processes carried out in presence of iron ligands to treat PW.

The first objective of this work is to evaluate a coupled system including Fenton (or modified Fenton) pre-oxidation and MD to desalinate PW and to allow for an easy management of the final effluent. This sequence is applied to treat a synthetic PW that mimics the effluent from primary treatment, which typically includes de-oiling and flotation or sedimentation. A thermal Fenton reaction is first applied as a potential PW oxidation step and as a pre-treatment for MD desalination. The study gives insight into the relationship between the content of organics and the performance of the membrane distillation step by comparing a raw feed stream with the feed subject to Fenton oxidation. Furthermore, the performance of traditional Fenton is compared with that of modified Fenton systems. To this purpose, non-toxic and biodegradable organic ligands, namely, citrate and EDDS, are added in PW at unadjusted pH to assess the ability of iron-ligand complexes to act as effective oxidation catalysts (Chen et al., 2019; Tandy et al., 2006; Van Devivere et al., 2001). The

safety of the final desalinated effluent from the coupled system is then fully evaluated through acute toxicity measurements.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals, membrane, and produced water preparation

All the organic contaminants, the iron ligands, i.e., sodium citrate and EDDS, ferrous sulfate (FeSO_4), hydrogen peroxide (30% w/w), HCl, and NaOH, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Sodium chloride, sodium sulfate, and sodium bicarbonate were acquired from Carlo Erba (Milan, Italy). All the solutions needed for the acute toxicity analysis, namely, the reconstitution, the diluent, and the osmotic solutions were purchased from Modern Water (London, UK). The freeze-dried *Vibrio fischeri* culture was purchased from Ecotox LDS (Cornaredo (MI), Italy). Type I ultrapure water was used for the experiments. A commercially available polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane (Aquistill, Sittard, Netherlands) was deployed in MD filtration tests.

The composition of the synthetic PW was based on published values of real wastewaters and is listed in **Table 1**, together with the resulting total organic carbon (TOC) and total dissolved solids (TDS) values (Coha et al., 2021; Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016; Olsson et al., 2013). Humic acids and a liquid petroleum jelly consisting of paraffins were used as representative compounds for natural dissolved organic matter and oil & grease, respectively (Lester et al., 2015). Xylenes, benzene, toluene, and methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) were selected as representative volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (Coha et al., 2021). Cyclohexane was added as representative of the $<\text{C}_{10}$ hydrocarbon fraction (Estrada and Bhamidimarri, 2016; Lester et al., 2015). Phenol was added as representative substance for the common phenols content in PW. The TDS included sodium, calcium, and magnesium

chlorides. All the components were added into water and the matrix was sonicated at room temperature for 1 h to enhance solubilization and mixing.

Table 1 Composition of the synthetic produced water, compared with the reference real streams. The matrix includes representative pollutants to mimic typical TOC and TDS values.

		Synthetic produced water		Real produced water
Parameter	Component	Concentration (ppm)	Equivalent TOC (ppm)	Concentration (ppm)
TOC	Paraffins	200.0	Not dissolved	
	Humic acids	200.0	60.0	
	Cyclohexane	2.0	1.8	
	Phenol	2.5	1.9	
	Xylenes	1.0	1.0	
	Benzene	12.0	11.3	
	Toluene	4.0	3.4	
	MTBE	260.0	178.0	
	TOT	681.5	257.4	Maximum ~500
TDS	Sodium chloride	100,000		Typically, 35,000 – 240,000
	Calcium chloride	2,500		
	Magnesium chloride	4,000		
	TOT	106,500		Average ~100,000
pH	5.5			

2.2. Oxidation conditions

All the oxidation reactions were performed at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C) under gentle stirring for a total duration of 1 h, using different ratios of hydrogen peroxide and catalyst, intended as iron(II) in the case of the traditional Fenton process and as the complex ligand-iron(II) in the case of modified Fenton. To promote organics oxidation, three additions of hydrogen peroxide (0, 20, 40 min) were carried out, each one of a 1/3 aliquot of the desired total amount. The dosages of iron sulfate and hydrogen peroxide are listed in **Table 2** for the traditional Fenton system; in these cases, the pH of the synthetic PW was adjusted to ~3 (HCl). At the end of the reaction, the pH was increased to ~10 by addition of NaOH and this

step caused the precipitation of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$. After the sedimentation of the precipitate at 4 °C overnight, the supernatant was collected and used for analysis and as a feed matrix for the following MD filtration tests.

Table 2 Traditional Fenton dosages in tests operated at different oxidation conditions.

Entry	FeSO_4 (mM)	H_2O_2 (mM)
MQ H_2O	-	-
Produced water	-	-
Ox 1	0.5	5
Ox 2	5.0	50
Ox 3	5.0	25
Ox 4	1.0	25
Ox 5	1.0	50
Ox 6	0.1	5
Ox 7	5.0	100

To perform the modified Fenton oxidations, the iron-ligand complexes, namely, Fe-EDDS and Fe-citrate were spiked in the synthetic PW from a stock solution of 0.1 M of iron(II) and 0.1 M of individual ligand. The pH was not adjusted and was equivalent to ~4 upon addition of Fe-EDDS and to ~5 upon addition of Fe-citrate. No precipitate formation was observed in these systems. The resulting samples were used for analysis and as feed for the following MD filtration tests without further processing.

2.3. Membrane distillation tests

The MD tests were performed in direct contact configuration using a lab-scale batch system (Ricceri et al., 2019). The feed and distillate streams were circulated counter-currently on their respective sides of the membrane. A constant crossflow rate of 1.66 L/min (0.278 m/s crossflow velocity) was maintained during the tests. The housing cell comprised a 250-mm long, 50-mm wide, and 2-mm deep rectangular channel for a total active membrane area of 125 cm². The flux across the membrane was computed by recording the change in weight

of the distillate tank in time through a computer-interfaced balance. Initial volumes of ~1.9 L and 1 L were used for the feed and distillate streams, respectively, unless otherwise stated. Water was used in the distillate side, with specific conductivity always below 20 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$. The specific conductivity in the distillate tank was measured continuously during each test by a conductivity meter (COND 7+, XS Instruments, Italy). The temperature of the feed and distillate tanks were maintained constant throughout the experiments, at respective values of 50 ± 2 and 25 ± 1 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, by means of a thermostatic water bath and a chiller.

2.4. Analytical methods

The TOC of the matrices was measured using a Shimadzu TOC-L analyzer (catalytic oxidation on Pt at 680 $^{\circ}\text{C}$). The calibration was performed using standards of potassium phthalate, $\text{NaHCO}_3/\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$. The headspace, solid phase microextraction technique (HS-SPME) was chosen as extraction method before carrying out the GC-MS analysis. Following each reaction experiment, the vials were left in a thermostatic bath at 50 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 10 min to promote the transfer of all the relevant compounds into the gas-phase headspace. Then, a SPME fiber (df 75 μm , fiber assembly carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane, Supelco) was inserted through the septum of the cap and was left in the headspace for 10 min, before withdrawing it for the subsequent GC-MS analysis. Samples were analyzed on an Agilent 6890 GC system coupled with an Agilent 5973 mass selective detector (MSD). For the chromatographic separation, a Zebron-5MS capillary column (30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm $\times$ 0.25 μm) was used. The injection port temperature was 270 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, and the oven temperature program was set as follows: 35 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 5 min, followed by an increase to 260 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ at a rate of 15 $^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ (total run time 25.33 min). Helium was used as carrier gas at a constant flow of 1 mL/min, and the injector was held in splitless mode. The interface temperature was 270 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the ionization energy was 70 eV. The molecular structures of the by-products were identified by means of mass spectrum library.

The determination of the residual iron in solution was evaluated by a spectrophotometric procedure adapted from previous literature (Goncalves et al., 2020; Harvey et al., 1955). The total iron was determined by reducing the Fe(III) to Fe(II) with ascorbic acid (4×10^{-4} M) and complexing the Fe(II) with o-phenanthroline (4×10^{-3} M) under acidic conditions (buffer pH = 3: H_3PO_4 1 mM, NaH_2PO_4 3 mM). The Fe(II) was determined without performing the reduction step, and Fe(III) was obtained as the difference between total iron and Fe(II). The calibration was obtained using a commercial standard solution of Fe(III) (1000 $\text{mg}^{\text{Fe}}/\text{L}$, Sigma-Aldrich). The spectrophotometric analyses were performed using a Varian CARY 100 Scan double-beam UV–vis spectrophotometer, using quartz cuvettes with 10 mm path length and working at a wavelength of 510 nm.

2.5. Toxicity analysis

All the toxicity experiments were performed with a Microtox Model 500 analyzer (Milan, Italy). The analysis was performed by evaluating the bioluminescence inhibition assay using the marine bacterium *Vibrio fischeri*. Samples were tested in a medium containing 2% sodium chloride, and the luminescence was recorded after 5, 15, and 30 min of incubation at 15 °C. The luminescence inhibition percentage was determined by comparison with a non-toxic control. The toxicity curves and the values of EC_{50} were obtained from the software (MicrotoxOmni). The pH of all the samples was adjusted in the range 6-8 before the analysis. The method used for the toxicity analysis is the method APAT-IRSA 8030 (APAT, 2003). All the samples obtained at the end of the oxidation experiments were quenched with catalase in order to avoid the detrimental effect of the residual hydrogen peroxide on the toxicity measurements; see Figure S1 of the Supplementary Material (SM). Moreover, the samples after the oxidation experiments were quantified for residual iron content and EDDS was added in EDDS:Fe molar ratio of 1:1, to prevent the toxic effect of the residual iron in solution (see Figure S2 in SM).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Efficacy of thermal Fenton oxidation on organics removal

Table 3 and **Figure 1a** summarize the results of the Fenton oxidations in terms of TOC removal and surface tension (ST) values, as a function of the relative addition of iron(II) and H_2O_2 . The highest TOC removal rates were obtained with Fe/ H_2O_2 ratios between 0.02 and 0.2. At low iron dosage (≤ 0.5 mM, Ox1, Ox6), insufficient catalyst was available in solution, while at high reagent concentrations (Ox7), the reaction was possibly self-inhibited. Previous studies highlighted that a Fe/ H_2O_2 molar ratio around 0.02 should avoid self-inhibition reactions while providing high efficiency of oxidation (De Laat and Gallard, 1999; Voelker and Sulzberger, 1996). Oxidation 3 (Ox3) reached the highest percentage of TOC removal, coinciding with the largest dosage of FeSO_4 (5 mM) and a Fe/ H_2O_2 ratio of 0.2. However, Ox4 also achieved a high percentage of TOC removal, but with a substantially lower amount of iron(II), namely, 1 mM, corresponding to a Fe/ H_2O_2 ratio of 0.04. These conditions also allowed reaching the highest value of ST (69 ± 3.1 dyn/cm), close to the ST measured for pure water (72 ± 1.8 dyn/cm) and substantially higher than that of the PW (50.8 ± 2.7 dyn/cm). Ox4 was thus identified as the most promising oxidation and further tests were conducted using the matrix oxidized under this condition.

Table 3 Resulting TOC removal rates, and surface tension values of the oxidized matrix in tests operated at different oxidation conditions.

Entry	TOC removal (%)	Surface tension (dyn/cm)
Type I H_2O	-	72.0 ± 1.8
Produced water	-	50.8 ± 2.7
Ox 1	47.6 ± 0.5	67.8 ± 1.6
Ox 2	55.3 ± 1.3	63.5 ± 1.8
Ox 3	58.5 ± 2.2	60.1 ± 2.3
Ox 4	52.2 ± 2.4	69.5 ± 3.1
Ox 5	50.9 ± 1.7	54.2 ± 2.1
Ox 6	34.7 ± 2.1	68.6 ± 2.0
Ox 7	33.3 ± 2.3	59.3 ± 1.7

Figure 1. (a) Graphical representation of the relationship between TOC removal and reagent dosage. The green color represents higher values of TOC removal. (b) Percentage of degradation of the parent organic contaminants in the synthetic produced water after Fenton reaction (Ox4, 60 min). The percentage of degradation was obtained by computing the target peaks area detected by GC-MS. (c) Chemical structures of the residual by-products preconcentrated onto the fiber during the SPME extraction and detected by GC-MS at the end of the Fenton reaction (Ox4, 60 min).

The solution obtained after Fenton oxidation Ox4 was further characterized through GC-MS analysis. Figure S3 in the SM presents the chromatograms and the profile of target substance degradation observed after 20, 40, and 60 min of oxidation. **Figure 1b** summarizes the degradation efficiency after 60 min toward various organic contaminants. A near total degradation of phenol, xylenes, and cyclohexane was observed. The oxidation process degraded benzene and toluene with a yield around 75%. However, only a portion of MTBE was degraded, and this result may also explain the residual TOC after Ox4 (see **Table 3**). Indeed, MTBE contributes to a large part of the total TOC of the synthetic PW (see **Table 1**). The major challenge for the high efficacy of the Fenton reaction in a hypersaline PW is arguably the ability of humic acids and chloride to scavenge the hydroxyl radical (Goldstone et al., 2002; Kiwi et al., 2000), although humic acids may also favor the Fe(III)-Fe(II) recycling (Vione et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the observed TOC removal rates and the yield of degradation of target parent substances suggest the high potential of the Fenton reaction to reach suitable levels of decontamination, also in the presence of a significant amount of scavengers.

The GC-MS also allowed detection of the main volatile by-products of the Fenton process (**Figure 1c**). Based on the molecular structure, the compounds labeled as 1, 2 and 3 in Figure S3 reasonably derived from MTBE, while 4 and 5 likely derived from toluene and o-xylene, respectively. Note that the identified by-products were more hydrophilic than the starting contaminants, thus explaining the observed increase in ST. The relatively high percentage of TOC removal together with the formation of more hydrophilic by-products are promising conditions to obtain an improved feed solution of an MD step.

3.2. Evaluation of thermal Fenton oxidation as a pre-treatment for membrane distillation

Organic compounds in the feed matrix may affect the MD step by fouling and by wetting the membrane, hence lowering the desalination efficiency, or by freely passing through the hydrophobic membrane material (PTFE) (Pasternak and Kolwzan, 2013; Vesterkvist et al., 2012). Wetting is theoretically described by eq. 1 and experimentally observed with an increase of conductivity in the distillate stream of the MD step (Donaldson et al., 1969; Rezaei et al., 2017). The water flux values presented in **Figure 2a** suggest that the Fenton pre-treatment did not have an effect on the productivity or on the achievable recovery of the MD step under laboratory filtration conditions: the recovery was roughly 75%, upon which the water flux went to zero due to scaling (namely, the deposition of crystals on the membrane or within its pores) and pore blockage. Note that similar productivity was also observed when synthetic PW matrices containing solely salts or solely organics were used as the feed solutions. In the latter case the flux did not go to zero, due to the absence of salt precipitation, but it steadily decreased during the test possibly due to fouling phenomena. The fouling phenomena in MD are mostly governed by hydrophobic interactions between organic compounds and the MD membrane material (PTFE). These interactions cause the deposition and attachment of macromolecules on the membrane surface, thus impairing the MD process by influencing the behavior of water at the membrane/solution interface and by partly covering the surface pores (Horseman et al., 2021b). Increased conductivity of the distillate solution was measured beginning roughly at 50% recovery for the various feed streams, except that containing only organic compounds; see Figure S4 of the SM. This result suggests that in our study salt passage and possibly wetting were mostly imputable to high salt concentrations, with organics only associated with fouling mechanisms. Salts can crystallize within the pores of the membrane, enlarging them, hence lowering the LEP. Since wetting is caused when the transmembrane pressure overcome the LEP, the lower is the LEP, the lower

329 is the transmembrane pressure needed to let unpurified liquid water freely pass across the
 330 membrane.

331
 332 **Figure 2** (a) Results of MD filtration tests with different feed solutions: (red circles) synthetic
 333 produced water, (blue squares) only the organic content of the synthetic produced water,
 334 (orange triangles) only the TDS content of the synthetic produced water, and (green
 335 diamonds) the resulting feed after the thermal Fenton oxidation. (b) TOC concentration in the
 336 distillate tank (initial volume 1 L) as a function of cumulative permeated volume; the lines
 337 connecting the data points are only intended as guides for the eye.

The only organic compounds in the synthetic PW which may induce wetting were humic acids (HA) and the water-miscible compounds (WMC), namely, BTX, MTBE, phenol, and cyclohexane. HA are amphiphilic and may partly act as surfactants, which tend to create liquid water channels through the pores of the membrane (Horseman et al., 2021b); however, not presenting a clear separation between the hydrophobic and hydrophilic portion of the molecule, they can virtually maintain a repulsive behavior for liquid water after the interaction with the hydrophobic membrane, thus resulting in fouling, but not necessarily in wetting (Horseman et al., 2021a; Klavins and Purmalis, 2010; Wang et al., 2018). This observation has been rationalized with the generally high aromatic fraction of traditional HA molecules, with low-density hydrophilic functional groups. On the other hand, WMC may induce wetting by lowering the ST of the solution. A solution containing hydrophobic WMC may be characterized by a lower ST than pure water (see Table 3). As LEP is correlated to the ST of the solution (see Eq. 2), it decreases as ST decreases, with higher chances of wetting. However, since no wetting from organic compounds was detected in this study, it is reasonable to assume that the ST threshold needed to observe wetting under the condition of this study was lower than the ST value of the synthetic PW (50.8 ± 2.7 dyn/cm). The fact that organic fouling did not cause wetting may be rationalized with the short duration of the lab experiments, which were run for approximately 8 h before the observed drop in water flux due to scaling. The slow kinetics of fouling phenomena in such a system may require longer filtration times to show wetting effects and should become important at real scale during operation. Note that paraffins form a different phase, hence they cannot lower the ST of the solution or create water bridges within the membrane pores, but only freely pass through the PTFE membrane by virtue of their hydrophobic nature.

While the Fenton pre-treatment did not provide specific advantages in terms of productivity, which was governed by salt concentration and partly affected by fouling, or of

prevention of wetting, which was not observed even for the use of untreated PW as feed stream, oxidation had beneficial effect in terms of MD effluent quality and toxicity. The results in **Figure 2b** suggest a clear reduction of the TOC in the distillate when desalinating the feed matrix subject to Fenton reaction; see Figure S5 of the SM for the chromatograms of the final effluent treated only in MD and by the coupled Fenton-MD system. As expected, the MD process did not separate water from volatile WMC or non-aqueous oils. The first data points in **Figure 2b** showed a high TOC passage, justifiable considering an instantaneous passage of a fraction of the organic content, specifically, paraffins and WMC. Subsequently, the TOC in the distillate tank decreased steadily by dilution with the nearly pure water vapor permeating the membrane. The lower amount of TOC measured upon oxidation of the feed stream with Fenton is imputable to both the mineralization of a fraction of toxic compounds (~52% of mineralization, **Table 3**) and the transformation of organic substances to more hydrophilic compounds, which are less prone to pass through the hydrophobic membrane. Fenton oxidation may also provide beneficial effects in terms of MD performance at real scale by thwarting fouling phenomena that would occur at longer time scales, but this effect could not be observed in this study (Abdel-Karim et al., 2021; Siyal et al., 2017).

3.3.Effect of the coupled system on the toxicity of the final effluent

A general index of the safety of an effluent is its toxicity. Toxicity is also a legislated parameter, allowing or denying the discharge of an effluent in the sewage system. According to the Italian regulations (D.Lgs. 152/2006), the acute toxicity limit to discharge an effluent in the sewage system is 80% of the inhibition of the target microorganism (in this case, *Vibrio fischeri*). The synthetic PW of this study presented an acute toxicity around 100% (Figure S6a of the SM), a value also expected for most of the real PW due to the wide variety and large concentrations of contaminants typically present. Both organics and concentrated salts may present large toxic effects, hence they both require a specific treatment. **Figure 3**

presents the residual acute toxicity of the effluent treated with only MD and with the coupled Fenton-MD system expressed in term of dilution factor of the original samples. The EC_{50} value was used as a comparative parameter of the quality of different effluents, and it is defined as the half maximal effective concentration, namely, the concentration required to obtain 50% of microorganism inhibition. This parameter increased significantly (from a dilution factor of 0.094 ± 0.009 to 0.25 ± 0.03) when the effluent was oxidized and then desalinated, compared to a stream that was not pre-treated, i.e., the coupled system gave lower toxicity. However, when tested as is, the residual toxicity of the effluent was still high and over the limit of 80% after 30 min of contact time with the bacteria.

Figure 3. Residual toxicity of the effluent expresses in term of dilution factor of the original sample (a) after MD treatment only, and (b) upon treatment by the coupled Fenton-MD system (solid data points) with and (empty data points) without Fe sequestration. The toxicity was measured after 5, 15, and 30 minutes of contact (in red, blue, and orange, respectively) with the *Vibrio fischeri* culture. The dash line indicates the point where 50% of acute toxicity is reached.

To understand the relative contribution to toxicity of the different contaminants, toxicity experiments were carried out with individual components (Figures S6 and S7 of the SM). Low toxicity was associated with HA and paraffins, while higher toxic effects were related to parent WMC, which however were degraded effectively by the Fenton oxidation. Calcium and magnesium chloride also showed negligible toxicity, while sodium chloride showed some toxicity only at concentrations >50 g/L, that is, far above the concentration measured after the MD desalination. On the other hand, iron (II) sulfate showed a significant toxicity: residual iron may thus be responsible for the toxicity observed for the effluent from Fenton and MD treatments. Indeed, by addition of EDDS in molar ratio 1:1 to the residual iron (0.26 mM), the acute toxicity of iron was shut down (see Figure S2 and S7 in SM for the toxicity of Fe-EDDS and iron, respectively) and that of the effluent markedly decreased (from ~80% to ~40%), accompanied with a substantial increase in EC₅₀ (from a dilution factor of 0.25 ± 0.03 to ~2.5). The remaining toxicity after this post-treatment step may be reasonably attributed to the residual WMC and to the by-products of Fenton oxidation. Note that in this study EDDS was added to mask the toxic behavior of the residual iron, which confirms the fact that residual metal concentration causes toxicity in the effluent. However, different strategies to remove the residual iron may be implemented in real plants, for example, its precipitation under basic pH. Cleaner and more novel steps may involve enhanced ion exchange resins and the use of adsorbents, such as magnetic nanoparticles (Khatri et al., 2017).

3.4. Comparison between traditional Fenton and Fenton process in the presence of iron ligands

Iron ligands keep iron in solution without the need for the pH adjustment to 3 and limit the production of sludge, thus potentially allowing for a more streamlined operation compared to the traditional Fenton process. Citrate and EDDS were chosen as non-toxic (Figure S2 of the SM) ligands and the Fe-citrate and Fe-EDDS systems were applied as

catalysts (Zhang et al., 2016). The optimized condition in terms of Fe-ligand to H_2O_2 molar ratio corresponded to the dosages relative to Ox7 in **Table 2**; each ligand was dosed equimolarly with iron. The results summarized in **Figure 4a** suggest higher degradation efficiency of the modified Fenton systems toward WMC with respect to the traditional thermal Fenton. Specifically, the modified Fenton processes achieved near complete degradation of all WMC, including MTBE that was instead not removed by the classic Fenton reaction; see also the chromatograms and percentage of substrates removal at different oxidation times in Figures S8, S9, and S10 (SM).

Figure 4 (a) Comparison of the organics removal rate by traditional Fenton and modified Fenton reactions with citrate and EDDS as iron ligands. Residual toxicity of the final effluent

treated by the coupled system comprising modified-Fenton and MD using (b) Fe-citrate and (c) Fe-EDDS as catalyst. The open symbols are related to the effluent upon iron sequestration by EDDS. The toxicity was measured after 5, 15, and 30 minutes of contact with the *Vibrio fischeri* culture. The dash horizontal line indicates the point where 50% of acute toxicity is reached; the lines connecting the data points are only intended as guides for the eye.

Despite the higher percentage of degradation of the target contaminants, the modified Fenton process did not show further beneficial effect on the MD process in terms of productivity and effluent quality compared to traditional Fenton; see Figure S11 of the SM. With regard to EC_{50} , the quality of the effluent treated with the coupled system including modified Fenton and MD clearly increased compared to the PW treated only with MD, specifically, from a dilution factor of 0.094 ± 0.009 to 0.13 ± 0.02 and to 0.14 ± 0.04 in the case of Fe-citrate and Fe-EDDS, respectively (see **Figure 4b and c**). However, the EC_{50} was lower compared with the residual toxicity observed in the system that included the traditional Fenton oxidation (0.25 ± 0.03), possibly due to the formation of more toxic by-products, e.g., N-(2-aminoethyl) aspartic acid (Zhang et al., 2016). When the contribution to toxicity of the residual iron (residual iron was 0.27 and 1.30 mM for Fe-citrate and Fe-EDDS, respectively) was prevented by EDDS addition, the acute toxicity of the effluent clearly decreased (from ~90% to ~70% in both cases) and the EC_{50} further increased (to 0.4 and 0.5 for Fe-citrate and Fe-EDDS, respectively). At the end of the process, the effluent treated with the Fe-EDDS-based Fenton system presented a slightly better quality in terms of toxicity than that treated with the Fe-citrate system, consistently with the slightly higher degradation efficiency of the former compared to the latter.

Figure 5 offers a final evaluation of the toxicity parameters from the various treatments. The traditional Fenton coupled with the MD desalination was the best in terms of effluent

toxicity. However, the modified Fenton-MD coupled systems were able to overcome some of the practical limitations of the traditional Fenton while still providing an effluent with suitable quality for safe discharge as sewage (toxicity <80%). The modified Fenton oxidation may be more advisable for applications whereby easier operational tasks and lower sludge production are important, such as offshore.

Figure 5 Summary of the residual toxicity and EC₅₀ values of the various effluents treated with both MD and oxidation processes after 30 minutes of contact with the *Vibrio fischeri* culture. The dash line is relative to the value of 80% of acute toxicity, namely, the regulated legislative limit for a safe discharge in the sewage system in Italy.

4. Concluding remarks, challenges, and implications

This work evaluated the impact of traditional and modified Fenton oxidations for the abatement of highly toxic organic contaminants and as pre-treatment options for the subsequent desalination of hypersaline produced waters by membrane distillation. Fe-citrate and Fe-EDDS were used as inexpensive, easy to handle, environmentally friendly and biodegradable systems in the modified Fenton processes. All the oxidative processes provided relatively high degradation efficiency toward target contaminants also in the

presence of typical scavengers of the Fenton reaction, namely, chloride and humic acids. The observable beneficial effects of an oxidative pre-treatment were not evident in terms of MD productivity, fouling, or wetting, but directly translated into lower permeation of organics during distillation and in a significantly lower toxicity of the desalinated effluent. Specifically, the EC_{50} and the acute toxicity (inhibition % of target organisms) were used as indexes for the evaluation of the quality of the final effluent.

In conclusion, the coupled oxidation-MD systems to treat PW allow a less toxic effluent compared to the initial PW toxicity. All the final effluents obtained in this study may be safely discharged in the sewage system and treated within the civil wastewater treatment trains, according to the Italian legislation. The oxidation processes are promising for PW treatments since they are able to degrade the toxic initial target contaminants almost completely. Moreover, the modified Fenton process is able to effectively treat PW while overcoming the practical limitations of traditional Fenton (sludge production and acidic pH). The Fenton processes add iron in the effluent environment, this metal being associated with intrinsic toxicity; thus, the toxicity associated with the residual iron needs to be properly addressed and managed in real plants. A wide range of options are available to remove iron and the best-fitting one should be selected case-by-case. Moreover, the oxidations struggle in achieving the complete mineralization of all the organics in PW, thus some potentially toxic by-products may be formed. Therefore, an accurate monitoring of the by-products may be necessary, possibly also enforcing some control on the reaction pathway, to evaluate in each case the possibility to safely discharge the final effluent to a civil wastewater treatment plant and in case to specifically target the most troublesome by-products in a tertiary treatment step.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Giulio Farinelli: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft. **Marco Coha:** Data Curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. **Marco Minella:** Data curation, Validation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. **Debora Fabbri:** Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. **Marco Pazzi:** Formal analysis, Methodology. **Davide Vione:** Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. **Alberto Tiraferri:** Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was performed with the support of Politecnico di Torino (58_RBA17TIRALB).

Appendix A. Supplementary data.

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version.

530 **References**

- 531 Abdel-Karim, A., Leaper S., Skuse C., Zaragoza G., Gryta M., Gorgojo P., 2021. Membrane cleaning
532 and pretreatments in membrane distillation – a review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 422.
533 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.129696>
- 534 Adewumi, M. A., Erb J. E., Watson R. W. Initial Design Considerations for a Cost-Effective
535 Treatment of Stripper Oil-Well Produced Water. In: P. RJ, R. EF, editors. *Produced Water*.
536 46. Springer, Botsom, MA, 1992, pp. 511-522.
- 537 Ahmadun, F. R., Pendashteh A., Abdullah L. C., Biak D. R. A., Madaeni S. S., Abidin Z. Z., 2009.
538 Review of technologies for oil and gas produced water treatment. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 170, 530-
539 551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.05.044>
- 540 Al-Ghouti, M. A., Al-Kaabi M. A., Ashfaq M. Y., Da'na D. A., 2019. Produced water characteristics,
541 treatment and reuse: A review. *J. Water Process Eng.* 28, 222-239.
542 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.02.001>
- 543 APAT, I., CNR. *Metodi Ecotossicologici. Manuali e linee guida* 29/2003 - Serie 8000, 2003.
- 544 Aquilina, P. Impairment of Gas Well Productivity by Salt Plugging: A Review of Mechanisms,
545 Modeling, Monitoring Methods, and Remediation Techniques. *SPE Annual Technical*
546 *Conference and Exhibition*, San Antonio, Texas, USA, 2012.
- 547 Ariono, D., Purwasmita M., Wenten I. G., 2016. Brine Effluents: Characteristics, Environmental
548 Impacts, and Their Handling. *J. Eng. Technol. Sci.* 48, 367-387.
549 <https://doi.org/10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2016.48.4.1>
- 550 Ayed, L., Asses N., Chammem N., Ben Othman N., Hamdi M., 2017. Advanced oxidation process
551 and biological treatments for table olive processing wastewaters: constraints and a novel
552 approach to integrated recycling process: a review. *Biodegradation* 28, 125-138.
553 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-017-9782-0>
- 554 Bessa, E., Sant Anna G. L., Dezotti M., 2001. Photocatalytic/H₂O₂ treatment of oil field produced
555 waters. *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 29, 125-134. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-3373\(00\)00199-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-3373(00)00199-5)
- 556 Canedo-Arguelles, M., Kefford B., Schafer R., 2019. Salt in freshwaters: causes, effects and prospects
557 - introduction to the theme issue. *Philos. T. R. Soc. B* 374.
558 <https://doi.org/10.1098/Rstb.2018.0002>
- 559 Chahbane, N., Popescu D. L., Mitchell D. A., Chanda A., Lenoir D., Ryabov A. D., et al., 2007. Fe-
560 III-TAML-catalyzed green oxidative degradation of the azo dye Orange II by H₂O₂ and
561 organic peroxides: products, toxicity, kinetics, and mechanisms. *Green Chem.* 9, 49-57.
562 <https://doi.org/10.1039/b604990g>
- 563 Chang, H. Q., Li T., Liu B. C., Vidic R. D., Elimelech M., Crittenden J. C., 2019a. Potential and
564 implemented membrane-based technologies for the treatment and reuse of flowback and
565 produced water from shale gas and oil plays: A review. *Desalination* 455, 34-57.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2019.01.001>
- 567 Chang, H. Q., Liu B. C., Wang H. Z., Zhang S. Y., Chen S., Tiraferri A., et al., 2019b. Evaluating the
568 performance of gravity-driven membrane filtration as desalination pretreatment of shale gas
569 flowback and produced water. *J. Membr. Sci.* 587.
570 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Memsci.2019.117187>
- 571 Chen, L., Wang D., Long C., Cui Z. X., 2019. Effect of biodegradable chelators on induced
572 phytoextraction of uranium- and cadmium-contaminated soil by *Zebrina pendula* Schnizl. *Sci.*
573 *Rep.* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-019-56262-9>
- 574 Chen, W., Zhang F. F., Hong J. L., Shi W. X., Feng S. T., Tan X. F., et al., 2016. Life cycle toxicity
575 assessment on deep-brine well drilling. *J. Clean. Prod.* 112, 326-332.
576 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.062>
- 577 Chen, Y. M. L., Wang Z. X., Jennings G. K., Lin S. H., 2017. Probing Pore Wetting in Membrane
578 Distillation Using Impedance: Early Detection and Mechanism of Surfactant-Induced
579 Wetting. *Environ. Sci. Tech. Let.* 4, 505-510. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00372>
- 580 Coha, M., Farinelli G., Tiraferri A., Minella M., Vione D., 2021. Advanced oxidation processes in the
581 removal of organic substances from produced water: Potential, configurations, and research
582 needs. *Chem. Eng. J.* 414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.128668>

- da Silva, S. S., Chiavone-Filho O., de Barros Neto E. L., Foletto E. L., 2015. Oil removal from produced water by conjugation of flotation and photo-Fenton processes. *J. Environ. Manage.* 147, 257-263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.08.021>
- Dalmacija, B., Karlovic E., Tamas Z., Miskovic D., 1996. Purification of high-salinity wastewater by activated sludge process. *Water Res.* 30, 295-298. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354\(95\)00170-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(95)00170-0)
- De Laat, J., Gallard H., 1999. Catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by Fe(III) in homogeneous aqueous solution: Mechanism and kinetic modeling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33, 2726-2732. <https://doi.org/10.1021/Es981171v>
- Diya'uddeen, B. H., Aziz A. R. A., Daud W. M. A. W., 2012. On the Limitation of Fenton Oxidation Operational Parameters: A Review. *Int. J. Chem. React. Eng.* 10. <https://doi.org/10.1515/1542-6580.R2>
- Donaldson, E. C., Thomas R. D., Lorenz P. B., 1969. Wettability Determination and Its Effect on Recovery Efficiency. *Soc. Pet. Eng. J.* 9, 13-20. <https://doi.org/10.2118/2338-PA>
- Estrada, J. M., Bhamidimarri R., 2016. A review of the issues and treatment options for wastewater from shale gas extraction by hydraulic fracturing. *Fuel* 182, 292-303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.05.051>
- Farinelli, G., Minella M., Pazzi M., Giannakis S., Pulgarin C., Vione D., et al., 2020. Natural iron ligands promote a metal-based oxidation mechanism for the Fenton reaction in water environments. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122413>
- Farinelli, G., Minella M., Sordello F., Vione D., Tiraferri A., 2019. Metabisulfite as an Unconventional Reagent for Green Oxidation of Emerging Contaminants Using an Iron-Based Catalyst. *ACS Omega* 4, 20732-20741. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b03088>
- Franken, A. C. M., Nolten J. A. M., Mulder M. H. V., Bargeman D., Smolders C. A., 1987. Wetting Criteria for the Applicability of Membrane Distillation. *J. Membr. Sci.* 33, 315-328. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388\(00\)80288-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(00)80288-4)
- Goldstone, J. V., Pullin M. J., Bertilsson S., Voelker B. M., 2002. Reactions of hydroxyl radical with humic substances: Bleaching, mineralization, and production of bioavailable carbon substrates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36, 364-372. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0109646>
- Goncalves, N. P. F., Minella M., Fabbri D., Calza P., Malitesta C., Mazzotta E., et al., 2020. Humic acid coated magnetic particles as highly efficient heterogeneous photo-Fenton materials for wastewater treatments. *Chem. Eng. J.* 390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Cej.2020.124619>
- Gonzalez, D., Amigo J., Suarez F., 2017. Membrane distillation: Perspectives for sustainable and improved desalination. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 80, 238-259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.078>
- Haber, F., Weiss J., Pope W. J., 1934. The catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by iron salts. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A - Math. Phys. Sci.* 147, 332-351. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1934.0221>
- Han, L., Tan Y. Z., Netke T., Fane A. G., Chew J. W., 2017. Understanding oily wastewater treatment via membrane distillation. *J. Membr. Sci.* 539, 284-294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.06.012>
- Harvey, A. E., Smart J. A., Amis E. S., 1955. Simultaneous Spectrophotometric Determination of Iron(II) and Total Iron with 1,10-Phenanthroline. *Anal. Chem.* 27, 26-29. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60097a009>
- Horseman, T., Yin Y., Christie K. S., Wang Z., Tong T., Lin S., 2021a. Wetting, Scaling, and Fouling in Membrane Distillation: State-of-the-Art Insights on Fundamental Mechanisms and Mitigation Strategies. *ACS ES&T Eng.* 1, 117-140. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.0c00025>
- Horseman, T., Yin Y., Christie K. S., Wang Z., Tong T., Lin S., 2021b. Wetting, Scaling, and Fouling in Membrane Distillation: State-of-the-Art Insights on Fundamental Mechanisms and Mitigation Strategies. *ACS ES&T Eng.* 1, 117-140. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.0c00025>
- Howell, J. A., 2004. Future of membranes and membrane reactors in green technologies and for water reuse. *Desalination* 162, 1-11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164\(04\)00021-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(04)00021-9)

- Igunnu, E. T., Chen G. Z., 2014. Produced water treatment technologies. *Int. J. Low-Carbon Technol.* 9, 157-177. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijlct/cts049>
- Jiménez, S., Andreozzi M., Micó M. M., Álvarez M. G., Contreras S., 2019. Produced water treatment by advanced oxidation processes. *Chemosphere* 666, 12-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.128>
- Jiménez, S., Micó M. M., Arnaldos M., Ferrero E., Malfeito J. J., Medina F., et al., 2017. Integrated processes for produced water polishing: Enhanced flotation/sedimentation combined with advanced oxidation processes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 168, 309-317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.10.055>
- Jimenez, S., Mico M. M., Arnaldos M., Medina F., Contreras S., 2018. State of the art of produced water treatment. *Chemosphere* 192, 186-208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.139>
- Jin, Y., Davarpanah A., 2020. Using Photo-Fenton and Floatation Techniques for the Sustainable Management of Flow-Back Produced Water Reuse in Shale Reservoirs Exploration. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-020-04812-7>
- Kabyl, A., Yang M., Abbassi R., Li S. H., 2020. A risk -based approach to produced water management in offshore oil and gas operations. *Process Saf. Environ.* 139, 341-361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.04.021>
- Kargbo, D. M., Wilhelm R. G., Campbell D. J., 2010. Natural Gas Plays in the Marcellus Shale: Challenges and Potential Opportunities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 5679-5684. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es903811p>
- Khatri, N., Tyagi S., Rawtani D., 2017. Recent strategies for the removal of iron from water: A review. *J. Water. Process Eng.* 19, 291-304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2017.08.015>
- Kiwi, J., Lopez A., Nadochenko V., 2000. Mechanism and kinetics of the OH-radical intervention during fenton oxidation in the presence of a significant amount of radical scavenger (Cl⁻). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34, 2162-2168. <https://doi.org/10.1021/Es991406i>
- Klavins, M., Purmalis O., 2010. Humic substances as surfactants. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 8, 349-354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-009-0232-z>
- Kleinitz, W., Koehler M., Dietzsch G. The Precipitation of Salt in Gas Producing Wells. SPE European Formation Damage Conference, The Hague, Netherlands, 2001.
- Lester, Y., Ferrer I., Thurman E. M., Sitterley K. A., Korak J. A., Aiken G., et al., 2015. Characterization of hydraulic fracturing flowback water in Colorado: Implications for water treatment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 512, 637-644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.043>
- Li, X. M., Zhao B., Wang Z., Xie M., Song J., Nghiem L. D., et al., 2014. Water reclamation from shale gas drilling flow-back fluid using a novel forward osmosis-vacuum membrane distillation hybrid system. *Water Sci. Technol.* 69, 1036-1044. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2014.003>
- Lin, S. H., Nejati S., Boo C., Hu Y. X., Osuji C. O., Ehmelech M., 2014. Omniphobic Membrane for Robust Membrane Distillation. *Environ. Sci. Tech. Let.* 1, 443-447. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ez500267p>
- Liu, Y., Lu H., Li Y., Xu H., Pan Z., Dai P., et al., 2021. A review of treatment technologies for produced water in offshore oil and gas fields. *Sci. Total Environ.* 775. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145485>
- Ma, H. Z., Wang B., 2006. Electrochemical pilot-scale plant for oil field produced wastewater by M/C/Fe electrodes for injection. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 132, 237-243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.09.043>
- McCormack, P., Jones P., Hetheridge M. J., Rowland S. J., 2001. Analysis of oilfield produced waters and production chemicals by electrospray ionisation multi-stage mass spectrometry (ESI-MSn). *Water Res.* 35, 3567-3578. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(01\)00070-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(01)00070-7)
- Messele, S. A., Bengoa C., Stuber F. E., Giralt J., Fortuny A., Fabregat A., et al., 2019. Enhanced Degradation of Phenol by a Fenton-Like System (Fe/EDTA/H₂O₂) at Circumneutral pH. *Catalysts* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/Catal9050474>
- Miklos, D. B., Remy C., Jekel M., Linden K. G., Drewes J. E., Hubner U., 2018. Evaluation of advanced oxidation processes for water and wastewater treatment - A critical review. *Water Res* 139, 118-131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.042>

- Mohammad-Pajooch, E., Weichgrebe D., Cuff G., Tosarkani B. M., Rosenwinkel K. H., 2018. On-site treatment of flowback and produced water from shale gas hydraulic fracturing: A review and economic evaluation. *Chemosphere* 212, 898-914. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.145>
- Neff, J. M., Sauer T. C., Maciolek N. Composition, Fate and Effects of Produced Water Discharges to Nearshore Marine Waters. In: P. RJ, R. EF, editors. *Produced Water*. 46. Springer, Boston, MA, 1992, pp. 371-385.
- Olsson, O., Weichgrebe D., Rosenwinkel K. H., 2013. Hydraulic fracturing wastewater in Germany: composition, treatment, concerns. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 70, 3895-3906. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-2535-4>
- Pasternak, G., Kolwzan B., 2013. Surface tension and toxicity changes during biodegradation of carbazole by newly isolated methylotrophic strain *Methylobacterium* sp GPE1. *Int. Biodeter. Biodegr.* 84, 143-149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2012.07.021>
- Rezaei, M., Warsinger D. M., Lienhard V. J. H., Samhaber W. M., 2017. Wetting prevention in membrane distillation through superhydrophobicity and recharging an air layer on the membrane surface. *J. Membr. Sci.* 530, 42-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.02.013>
- Ricceri, F., Giagnorio M., Farinelli G., Blandini G., Minella M., Vione D., et al., 2019. Desalination of Produced Water by Membrane Distillation: Effect of the Feed Components and of a Pre-treatment by Fenton Oxidation. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 14964. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51167-z>
- Ruiz-Aguirre, A., Polo-López M. I., Fernández-Ibáñez P., Zaragoza G., 2017. Integration of Membrane Distillation with solar photo-Fenton for purification of water contaminated with *Bacillus* sp. and *Clostridium* sp. spores. *Sci. Total Environ.* 595, 110-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.238>
- Shaffer, D. L., Chavez L. H. A., Ben-Sasson M., Castrillon S. R. V., Yip N. Y., Elimelech M., 2013. Desalination and Reuse of High-Salinity Shale Gas Produced Water: Drivers, Technologies, and Future Directions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 9569-9583. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es401966e>
- Shang, W., Tiraferri A., He Q. P., Li N. W., Chang H. Q., Liu C., et al., 2019. Reuse of shale gas flowback and produced water: Effects of coagulation and adsorption on ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis combined process. *Sci. Total Environ.* 689, 47-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.365>
- Shokrollahzadeh, S., Golmohammad F., Naseri N., Shokouhi H., Arman-mehr M., 2012. Chemical oxidation for removal of hydrocarbons from gas-field produced water. *Procedia Engineer* 42, 942-947. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.07.487>
- Siyal, M. I., Lee C., Kim J. O., 2017. Degradation of humic acid by ZVI based sono-Fenton as a pretreatment for reducing organic fouling likely in membrane distillation. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 99, 167-171. <https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2017.21652>
- Tandy, S., Ammann A., Schulin R., Nowack B., 2006. Biodegradation and speciation of residual SS-ethylenediaminedisuccinic acid (EDDS) in soil solution left after soil washing. *Environ. Pollut.* 142, 191-199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2005.10.013>
- Tang, P., Li J. L., Li T., Tian L., Sun Y., Xie W. C., et al., 2021. Efficient integrated module of gravity driven membrane filtration, solar aeration and GAC adsorption for pretreatment of shale gas wastewater. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124166>
- Van Devivere, P. C., Saveyn H., Verstraete W., Feijtel T. C. J., Schowanek D. R., 2001. Biodegradation of metal-[S,S]-EDDS complexes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35, 1765-1770. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0001153>
- Vesterkvist, P. S. M., Misiorek J. O., Spoof L. E. M., Toivola D. M., Meriluoto J. A. O., 2012. Comparative Cellular Toxicity of Hydrophilic and Hydrophobic Microcystins on Caco-2 Cells. *Toxins* 4, 1008-1023. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins4111008>
- Vione, D., Merlo F., Maurino V., Minero C., 2004. Effect of humic acids on the Fenton degradation of phenol. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2, 129-133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-004-0086-3>
- Voelker, B. M., Sulzberger B., 1996. Effects of fulvic acid on Fe(II) oxidation by hydrogen peroxide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 30, 1106-1114. <https://doi.org/10.1021/Es9502132>

- Wang, Z. X., Chen Y. M. L., Sun X. M., Duddu R., Lin S. H., 2018. Mechanism of pore wetting in membrane distillation with alcohol vs. surfactant. J Membrane Sci 559, 183-195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2018.04.045>
- Zhai, J., Ma H., Liao J., Rahaman M. H., Yang Z., Chen Z., 2018. Comparison of Fenton, ultraviolet–Fenton and ultrasonic–Fenton processes on organics and colour removal from pre-treated natural gas produced water. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 15, 2411-2422. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-017-1604-8>
- Zhang, Y., Klammerth N., Chelme-Ayala P., Gamal El-Din M., 2016. Comparison of Nitrilotriacetic Acid and [S,S]-Ethylenediamine-N,N'-disuccinic Acid in UV–Fenton for the Treatment of Oil Sands Process-Affected Water at Natural pH. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 10535-10544. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03050>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL for

Evaluation of Fenton and modified Fenton oxidation coupled with membrane distillation for produced water treatment: Benefits, challenges, and effluent toxicity

Figure S1 (a) Effect of hydrogen peroxide on bioluminescence . (b) Effect of hydrogen peroxide quenched with catalase. The acute toxicity was measured after 5, 15, and 30 minutes of contact (in red, blue, and orange, respectively) with the *Vibrio Fischeri* culture.

Figure S2 Toxicity curves of (a) citrate, (b) Fe-citrate system, (c) EDDS, and (d) the Fe-EDDS system as a function of concentration. The toxicity was measured after 5, 15, and 30 minutes of contact (in red, blue, and orange, respectively) with the *Vibrio Fischeri* culture.

Figure S3 Chromatograms of the solutions upon Fenton oxidation carried out for (a) 20, (b) 40, and (c) 60 minutes. The numbers are related to the by-products illustrated in Figure 1 of the main manuscript. All the non-targeted are column related compounds. (d) Degradation rate of each organic compound in the synthetic produced water after 20, 40, and 60 minutes of Fenton treatment.

Figure S4 Water flux and conductivity of the distillate tank during the membrane distillation of (a) the synthetic produced water, (b) the synthetic produced water pre-treated with Fenton oxidation, (c) the salts of the synthetic produced water and (d) the organics of the synthetic produced water.

Figure S5 (a) Chromatogram of the final effluent after treatment with membrane distillation.
 (b) Chromatogram of the final effluent after treatment with both Fenton and membrane distillation.

Figure S6 Toxicity curves of the (a) non-treated synthetic produced water, (b) humic acids, (c) paraffins, and (d) dissolved organic compounds as a function of concentration. The toxicity was measured after 5, 15, and 30 minutes of contact (in red, blue, and orange, respectively) with the *Vibrio Fischeri* culture.

Figure S7 Toxicity curves of (a) calcium and magnesium, (b) sodium chloride, and (c) iron sulfate as a function of concentration. The toxicity was measured after 5, 15, and 30 minutes of contact (in red, blue, and orange, respectively) with the *Vibrio Fischeri* culture.

Figure S8 Chromatograms of the solution after treatment by the Fe-citrate oxidation system after (a) 20, (b) 40, and (c) 60 minutes. The numbers are related to the by-products detected and illustrated in (d). All the non-targeted are column related compounds. (d) Chemical structures of the residual by-products adsorbed onto the fiber during the SPME extraction and detected by GC-MS at the end of the reaction with the Fe-citrate system as catalyst.

Figure S9 Chromatograms of the solution after treatment by the Fe-EDDS oxidation system after (a) 20, (b) 40, and (c) 60 minutes. The numbers are related to the by-products detected and illustrated in (d). All the non-targeted are column related compounds. (d) Chemical structures of the residual by-products adsorbed onto the fiber during the SPME extraction and detected by GC-MS at the end of the reaction with Fe-EDDS system as catalyst.

Figure S10 (a) Degradation rate of various organic compounds after 20, 40, and 60 minutes of modified Fenton treatment with (a) the Fe-citrate system and the (b) Fe-EDDS system.

Figure S11 (a) Results of the MD filtration tests at high water recovery with different feed solutions: feed solution pre-treated by (red circles) traditional Fenton process, (blue squares) Fe-citrate system, and (orange triangles) Fe-EDDS system. Chromatograms of the final effluent after treatment with both modified-Fenton and membrane distillation for the (b) Fe-citrate oxidation pre-treatment and (c) Fe-EDDS oxidation pre-treatment. Please note that the lower recovery in the case of Fe-citrate and Fe-EDDS systems is imputable to the lower initial volume of feed, namely ~1.7 L rather than ~1.9 L as in Fenton system. A lower volume stopped the process before since the pump was not able anymore to take feed solution.