

**Powered Air-Purifying Respirator (PAPR) and  
High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) Buggies to Improve  
COVID-19 Safety for the Youngest Children: Case Prototypes**

**Michael Hoerger, PhD, MSCR**

Tulane University

Department of Psychology, School of Science and Engineering

Departments of Psychiatry and Medicine, School of Medicine

Tulane Cancer Center

A.B. Freeman School of Business

University Medical Center of New Orleans

Department of Palliative and Supportive Medicine

## Abstract

**Background:** Young children are susceptible to COVID-19 infection because they cannot begin vaccination until at least 6 months old and cannot mask safely until at least 2 years old. During essential activities, parents have attempted to protect children in strollers using rain covers, but these provide limited protection against airborne transmission. The investigator examined various models of rain-covered strollers that used either PAPR or HEPA air cleaning devices to provide safer air, so-called “PAPR buggies” and “HEPA buggies.”

**Method:** The investigation examined six models that varied based on the type of air filter, (PAPR, small/large HEPA), stroller, and rain cover, and the number of children (single or twin). Key outcomes were a qualitative assessment of strengths and limitations, air flow metrics, and sound intensity. Although all models used safe commercially-sold rain covers, the smallest model was also tested for CO<sub>2</sub> levels to allay asphyxiation concerns.

**Results:** The investigator evaluated each model as acceptable. The PAPR buggies are discrete, whereas the HEPA buggies offer greater flexibility in price and air flow rates. Models had a median of 185.26 air changes per hour, ACH (range of 57.22-951.33), equivalent to 12.35 times the standard for operating rooms (range of 3.81-63.42). Sound intensity was equivalent to a conversation or office setting (55.5-64.6 dB). CO<sub>2</sub> testing revealed no safety concerns. Costs are reasonable for many families.

**Discussion:** PAPR and HEPA buggies are additional tools families can use as a part of a multifaceted strategy to improve safety during the COVID-19 pandemic. The models evaluated were reasonable, provided excellent air flow, and had tolerable sound levels. There were no safety concerns, though parents are cautioned to only use commercially-sold, safe, and reputable stroller rain covers. The investigator offers suggestions for disseminating the widespread use of PAPR and HEPA buggies, while minimizing the burden of online trolling.

Although COVID-19 has been among the top 5 leading causes of death in children under 5 years of age throughout the pandemic (1), parents have had limited options for protecting young children against infection from COVID-19. Children under 5 years old have been among the last to become eligible for vaccines against COVID-19, still ineligible in many nations (2-4). Children under 6 months of age remain ineligible for vaccines, and account for approximately half of COVID-19 hospitalizations among those under 5 years old (1). Although vaccines diminish risk of hospitalization and death, their efficacy against infection is more limited over the longer term, particularly as new variants emerge (5-7). Moreover, the long-term sequelae of post-acute COVID-19 or “long COVID,” particularly in response to cumulative reinfections, are emerging, uncertain, and potentially disabling, especially given immune escape (7-11). Precaution remains warranted (12). Unfortunately, children under 2 years old cannot mask safely (13, 14). Families can protect young children through isolation, but children need to attend medical wellness visits and other essential care. Single parents and working-class families also have reduced flexibility to keep children home while completing errands. Parents have attempted no shortage of creative solutions, with many covering car seats and strollers with commercially-sold rain covers to function like makeshift masks in crowded public indoors areas. These rain covers provide droplet protection but during prolonged outings may be less effective at mitigating aerosol transmission, the primary route by which the virus spreads (15-22). Thus, children under 2 years of age, and especially younger than 6 months old, have limited protection against a novel virus with uncertain and potentially serious long-term consequences from cumulative lifetime infections. Building on the simple idea of using strollers with rain covers to protect young children, the investigator evaluated several prototypes for pumping filtered clean air into these units, using either Powered Air-Purifying Respirator (PAPR) devices or High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filters.

First, consider PAPR devices (23-26), which could be used to pump clean air into a rain-covered stroller, a so-called PAPR buggy (see Figure 1). Traditionally, PAPR equipment sucks

ambient air through a high-efficiency particulate filter (e.g., a P100 filter, a stronger level filtration than that of the well-known N95 masks), and pushes the filtered air through a tube into fully-covered headgear. PAPR devices can hold a charge for an extended time (e.g., 4-8 hours) so are highly portable. They provide high-level protection against COVID-19 transmission (23-25). The main downside is that PAPR equipment is cost prohibitive for most consumers, with full kits typically in the range of \$500 to \$3,000 USD. A few options for children and babies are available, but these are also cost prohibitive for many families at \$400-550. Moreover, strapping a PAPR device around a baby or toddler's waist is impractical, and families may not want the unwanted attention from having a young child in full PAPR headgear. Since many families are already using strollers with rain covers to try to protect children, the investigator examined whether a subset of PAPR equipment could be combined with covered strollers to yield a prototype of a PAPR buggy as a reasonable low-cost option to help protect young children.

Building on the idea of a PAPR buggy, also consider the potential for a HEPA filters (27-32) to provide clean air within a so-called HEPA buggy. The PAPR buggy functions as a larger version of traditional PAPR head gear – it expands upon the traditional space. The HEPA buggy tackles the problem from the opposite direction of shrinking its traditional space. Namely, HEPA filters are used to clean the air in rooms, and a rain-covered stroller could be thought of as an exceptionally small room. All else equal, air filters clean air faster the smaller the room, so putting a HEPA filter within a very small stroller-sized “room” could clean the air rather quickly, even using low-cost HEPA filters.

This prototype evaluation examined the viability of six PAPR and HEPA buggies. The central outcomes were qualitative strengths and limitations, the air cleaning rate, the sound intensity, and safety. The investigator focused on evaluating models relevant to his twin newborns as well as the needs of other families with young children. As one additional tool in a multifaceted mitigation strategy, these examples may help families to identify solutions that can help them to protect their young children during essential activities.

## Method

The investigation involved evaluating six prototypes of PAPR and HEPA buggies. The prototypes ranged from including 1-2 children, using PAPR devices or two different sized HEPA filters, and different types of strollers and rain covers. The goal was to showcase different combinations that may be of interest to families. The investigator aimed to summarize strengths and weaknesses, air flow metrics, sound intensity, and safety.

### Air Flow

The evaluation assessed three metrics of air flow. The first metric was the clean air delivery rate (CADR) for each device, the rate at which it pumps clean air. The PAPR manufacturer did not supply a CADR estimate, so the investigator measured it using a Testo 405i hot-wire anemometer, achieving values similar to those manufacturers of other PAPRs report. The HEPA manufacturers did provide CADR estimates, which the investigator confirmed via anemometer. Second, the investigator estimated the number of air changes per hour (ACH) within the enclosed area of each model, which can be thought of as the speed of cleaning the air within a given sized volume. These estimates required measuring the interior dimensions of each model, often irregular, and using trigonometry to estimate area and compute volume. Rounded corners were treated as larger polygons to err toward overestimating volume and, thus, underestimating ACH. ACH was computed using the standard formula (33) of CADR in  $\text{ft}^3/\text{min} \times 60 \text{ minutes in an hour} \div \text{the volume of the enclosed area in } \text{ft}^3$  (i.e.,  $\text{CADR} \times 60 \div \text{spatial volume}$ ). Appendix Tables A1-A2 will allow parents to estimate ACH for their own unique covered strollers or rooms and air cleaners. Given that CADR and ACH are unfamiliar acronyms to many parents who may benefit from this article, the investigator also computer a third metric, called "Operating Room Equivalents." The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) stipulates that operating rooms should maintain at least 15 ACH (34). Thus, the investigator also divided ACH by 15 to yield Operating Room Equivalents. For example, an ACH

of 45 would indicate 3 Operating Room Equivalents or cleaning the air at 3 times the CDC operating room standard.

### **Sound Intensity**

A RisePRO decibel meter was used to estimate sound intensity for each model. Average measurements were recorded from 6 feet away within a quiet room, subtracting ambient sound intensity using a standard log scale decibel calculator.

### **Safety**

Although commercially-sold stroller rain covers have no documented risk of asphyxiation, cautious readers may appreciate being able to cite additional testing. Asphyxiation risk would be higher the smaller the enclosed volume, so the investigator evaluated the smallest model (Model 2) for CO<sub>2</sub> buildup during use via an Aranet4 CO<sub>2</sub> monitor. The investigator recorded ambient CO<sub>2</sub> levels, CO<sub>2</sub> levels in the uncovered car seat with baby present, and CO<sub>2</sub> levels in the covered car seat with baby present and PAPR running, reporting average values once CO<sub>2</sub> levels stabilized (plateaued) under each situation. Reference levels are also reported.

## **Results**

### **Overview**

The investigation reports on six models of PAPR and HEPA buggies (Appendix Figure A1). The PAPR buggies included the twin car seat buggy shown in Figure 1 (Model 1), a similar version for a single child in a rain-covered car seat stroller (Model 2), a single-child rain-covered baby bassinet stroller (Model 3), and a single-toddler upright seated rain-covered stroller (Model 4). The HEPA versions used a small HEPA filter to provide clean air to two babies in car seats covered by a giant rain cover (Model 5), or one large HEPA filter for one child (Model 6), thus, covering a range of tradeoffs. All supplies are noted in Appendix Table A3.

### **Description of PAPR Buggies**

Models 1-4 involved a PAPR air pump with P100 filters and tube pumping clean air into rain-covered strollers (Figures 1 and A1). The PAPR pump and filters sit in the stroller

undercarriage. The PAPR system charges in advance and holds power for approximately 4 hours, per the manufacturer. Covering the non-filter portions of the air pump with a light muslin blanket keeps system discrete from observers. The air supply tube ascends discretely up the stroller frame and into the covered car seat, supplying clean air. The plastic rain cover has vents throughout to meet safety standards, and these act as exhaust pathways when the PAPR is connected. Note that the tube enters the car seat from behind the head. This allows clean air to enter near the head and push old air out toward the feet. Having the tube ascend from the front could irritate children's eyes, or allow them to drop toys or food into the tube. The whole system is quiet and visually discrete enough to go unnoticed in a large waiting room; however, the sound is obvious within a small clinical encounter room. In interactions using the twin PAPR (Model 1) with approximately a dozen clinicians, nobody has ever voiced criticism, and several were intrigued. After all, parents do many "strange" things to protect their children. The PAPR model could be used with twin car seats (Model 1), an individual car seat stroller (Model 2), a baby bassinet stroller (Model 3), or an upright toddler seated stroller (Model 4).

The PAPR buggy has several key strengths. PAPR devices are self-charged, so there is no need for an additional power supply. The system is visually discrete and quiet. The cost is reasonable. Setup is simple. The system would work well with car seat strollers, basinet strollers, or standard seated strollers.

The PAPR buggy has limitations too. PAPR equipment does not include a meter indicating when filters should be replaced, so users will need to estimate or track hours of use manually. If parents want to use pump filters from a more well-known brand like 3M, this requires adapters, which work but were difficult to connect. PAPR equipment could look suspicious to the uninformed, like a gaseous weapon.

### **Description of HEPA Buggies**

Models 5 and 6 involved using HEPA filters underneath large rain-covered strollers (Figure A1). The HEPA filter is powered by a portable power station, cleans the air, and blows it

into the rain-covered stroller. The large rain covers have vents and open to the bottom of the stroller to allow stale air to leave. In the twin car seat version (Model 5), a HEPA filter was selected that specifically fit in the mid section of the undercarriage to push filtered air up through the center. Alternatively, as in Model 6 (Figure A1), one could acquire a twin stroller and use the extra room to store a bulky, powerful HEPA filter in one half and a child in the other (as noted in the parts list, Appendix A3, the stroller frame was only \$30 used). Others may prefer to attach a HEPA filter to a toddler “stroller board” that clips on to the back of a single-child stroller. It may be helpful to use bungee cords to secure the HEPA filter.

Power is a key design strength. Even a small HEPA filter can provide considerable air filtration for a “room” as small as a stroller (see Appendix Table A2 and next section of the Results). Moreover, a large HEPA filter would have the added benefit that it could be removed from a stroller and used to clean the air of a patient encounter room during medical visits. For example, as noted in Table A2, a HEPA filter with a CADR of 200 ft<sup>3</sup>/minute could clean a 10 ft x 10 ft x 8 ft (800 ft<sup>3</sup>) patient encounter room at 15 ACH, equivalent to operating room standards.

The design has several practical limitations, mainly portability and acceptability. HEPA filters are bulky, non-discrete, and require an external power station. They need to be held securely to avoid tipping over, which can lead them to shut off, blow air in an unhelpful direction, or get damaged. Consumers will need to attend to product dimensions in advance to ensure that a particular HEPA filter will fit well with a given stroller and cover. Thus, they are more work to set up than PAPR buggies.

### **Air Cleaning, Sound Intensity, and Safety**

Table 1 summarizes the air cleaning rates and sound intensity for each of the 6 models. The models were designed for 1 or 2 young children and used 1-2 PAPR devices, a small HEPA filter, or large HEPA filter. The rain covers enclosed interior volumes ranging from 1.75 to 19.11 ft<sup>3</sup>. The PAPR provided a CADR of 5.77 ft<sup>3</sup>/minute, whereas the HEPA filters provided 55 and 303 ft<sup>3</sup>/minute, respectively, suitable for the larger enclosures. The models provided a



median of 185.26 ACH. Note that models 1 and 2 (PAPR) provided similar air cleaning as model 5 (small HEPA). In terms more relatable to non-scientists, the models provided a median air cleaning rate the equivalent of 12.35 times that of an operating room.

The sound intensity was also similar across models, ranging from 55.5 to 64.6 dB. These values are similar to a normal conversation or office noise.

The investigator also safety tested the smallest model (Model 2). As expected for a commercially-sold stroller rain cover, CO<sub>2</sub> levels plateaued at ordinary, safe levels, similar to what is commonly observed in indoor settings, like homes and businesses (Table A4).

### **Discussion**

This investigation examined six models of PAPR and HEPA buggies and determined they provide reasonable options as a part of a multifaceted strategy for promoting the safety of the youngest children during the COVID-19 pandemic. The buggies provided good airflow, ranging from 57 to 951 ACH, equivalent to 3.8 to 63.4 times the air cleaning rate of a U.S. operating room (34). The air cleaning rates were dramatic, and PAPR and HEPA buggies should be a public health priority. All models had sound intensity similar to the volume of normal conversation or an office, indicating good usability. Moreover, the buggies are practical and economical. They mostly require supplies families already have on hand, such as car seats, strollers, and rain covers. The additional costs of a PAPR device (\$110) or small HEPA filters (\$55) can fit within many families' budgets (see Table A3). Implications for family use, future prototype investigations, and widespread dissemination are discussed.

Parents can choose among the PAPR and HEPA buggies tested or explore new models to meet families' needs. The simplest option is to buy the PAPR device the investigator tested and install it on one's rain-covered stroller. However, other options are worth considering. For one, low-cost PAPR devices may not always be available if in high demand or if suppliers hit COVID-19-related manufacturing issues. HEPA options provide greater flexibility and are produced widely, which provides a useful backup against PAPR solutions. Small low-cost HEPA

filters can provide excellent within-buggy air filtration. Larger options can also clean the air of clinical encounter rooms when desired. Nonetheless, even the lowest cost options are a financial struggle for some families that would appreciate HEPA buggies, indicating the need for community-based, federal, and international programs to make air cleaners more available.

Despite their strengths, PAPR and HEPA buggies are not a panacea for the pandemic but rather a part of a broader strategy of multifaceted mitigation (15-22, 32, 35, 36). No mitigation measure provides 100% protection, which is why multilayered mitigation is important, including use of vaccines, masking, reduced social contact, physical distancing, ventilation, filtration, remote interactions, serial and symptomatic testing, and early treatment, to the extent possible. As well, these buggies only offer young children any potential benefit while actually inside them, not when children must necessarily venture out for medical procedures, including the most basic of being weighed, measured, and physically examined for well visits. The investigator remains astounded that during 28 months of the COVID-19 pandemic, no scientist has found a safe way for the youngest children to mask. The investigator has attempted methods of attaching PAPR devices to nebulizer mouth pieces to provide mask-like support without the asphyxiation risk faced by young maskers, but they remain impractical. Similarly, oxygen tanks with nasal cannula lines remain cost prohibitive and unwieldy. An important future direction is for more scientists to evaluate prototypes of methods for keeping young children safer from airborne viral transmission during essential activities.

As a key reminder, parents are encouraged to put standard pre-pandemic safety precautions first when using PAPR and HEPA buggies. Foremost, parents should only use commercially-sold rain covers that include outgoing air vents. The present investigation found that such covers keep CO<sub>2</sub> levels within the normal range of everyday homes and businesses and do not impose an asphyxiation risk. Undoubtedly, many families already have rain covers on hand that have repeatedly proven safe and can be used as a part of PAPR and HEPA buggy systems. Intermediary non-profits that vet public health products, such as Project N95 (37),

should consider independently testing and selling key supplies listed in Table A3. Parents should never build their own covers, especially out of unventilated materials like garbage bags or tarps that could harm children. CO<sub>2</sub> monitors should be used to evaluate safety when in question, and the investigator accepts no liability for misuse. Second, filters of PAPR devices should always remain uncovered, and users should follow manufacturer instructions. Third, HEPA filters should be securely fastened to strollers and allow unblocked airflow according to manufacturer instructions. Forth, children should never be left unattended in such systems in case PAPR devices or HEPA power supplies run out of energy or malfunction. Although the above indications are common sense, their gravity warrants stating them.

Parents can normalize and help other families learn about PAPR and HEPA buggies by sharing on social media. The investigator recommends tagging pictures, descriptions, instructions, this article, and follow-up studies using hashtags such as #PAPRbuggy and #HEPAbuggy. A similar initiative has effectively disseminated information to improve indoor air quality in schools and homes using do-it-yourself (DIY) air cleaners called Corsi-Rosenthal Boxes (33, 38-40), using the #CorsiRosenthalBox hashtag. Nonetheless, psychology research on “behavioral inoculation” (41-43) indicates that parents should be forewarned of the risks of disseminating on social media in order to reduce the sting of trolling. As summarized in the Appendix Table A5, trolls may use several common and simplistic themes to attack parents who aim to protect children, mocking their mental health, calling them child abusers, or minimizing the pandemic. The investigator expects to see crude comments on these buggies, such as “bubble boy” or Nazi-rhetoric like “gas chamber.” Such comments are expected and should be tallied by parents as a badge of honor in the battle for public health. Social media platforms are more likely to display content with high engagement metrics, so trolling remarks are actually instrumental in ensuring such posts gain broader dissemination.

In sum, PAPR and HEPA buggies provide 3.8-63.4 times the clean airflow of operating rooms, which can help unmaskable young children during essential activities of the ongoing

airborne COVID-19 pandemic. Families can choose among several reasonable and low-cost options for PAPR and HEPA buggies and are encouraged to share photos on social media to increase dissemination. Future directions include developing and testing novel approaches to protecting the youngest children.

### **Acknowledgements**

The author has no conflicts of interest. This work was supported in part by research funding from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI), EASC-COVID-00265.

## References

1. Fleming-Dutra KE. COVID-19 epidemiology in children ages 6 months–4 years: Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); 2022 [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/meetings/downloads/slides-2022-06-17-18/02-COVID-Fleming-Dutra-508.pdf>].
2. Tanne JH. Covid-19: CDC and FDA approve Pfizer and Moderna vaccines for under 5s. British Medical Journal Publishing Group; 2022.
3. Parrisus A. Where Parents And Doctors Dare To Vaccinate Under-5 Kids Against COVID: Worldcrunch; 2022 [Available from: <https://worldcrunch.com/coronavirus/covid-vaccine-for-kids-under-5/child-mask-covid-coronavirus>].
4. Michelson A, de Graaf M. The 7 countries where kids under 5 years old are eligible for COVID-19 vaccines, and how the roll-outs are shaping up: Business Insider; 2022 [Available from: <https://www.businessinsider.com/countries-where-kids-under-5-getting-covid-19-vaccines-2022-4>].
5. Goldberg Y, Mandel M, Bar-On YM, Bodenheimer O, Freedman LS, Ash N, et al. Protection and Waning of Natural and Hybrid Immunity to SARS-CoV-2. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2022.
6. Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, Toffa S, Rickeard T, Gallagher E, et al. Covid-19 vaccine effectiveness against the Omicron (B. 1.1. 529) variant. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2022;386(16):1532-46.
7. Al-Aly Z, Bowe B, Xie Y. Outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 Reinfection (<https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1749502/v1>). *Nature* (in review). (pre-print).
8. Malik P, Patel K, Pinto C, Jaiswal R, Tirupathi R, Pillai S, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome (PCS) and health-related quality of life (HRQoL)—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of medical virology*. 2022;94(1):253-62.
9. Alkodaymi MS, Omrani OA, Fawzy NA, Abou Shaar B, Almamlouk R, Riaz M, et al. Prevalence of post-acute COVID-19 syndrome symptoms at different follow-up periods: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*. 2022.
10. Zimmermann P, Pittet LF, Curtis N. Long covid in children and adolescents. *British Medical Journal Publishing Group*; 2022.
11. Kelly DA, Stamatakis Z. Sudden onset hepatitis in children. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology*. 2022:1-2.
12. Greenhalgh T, Schmid MB, Czypionka T, Bassler D, Gruer L. Face masks for the public during the covid-19 crisis. *Bmj*. 2020;369.
13. Pediatrics AAo. Face Masks for Children During COVID-19 (<https://www.healthychildren.org/English/health-issues/conditions/COVID-19/Pages/Cloth-Face-Coverings-for-Children-During-COVID-19.aspx>). 2022.
14. CDC Newsroom. CDC Recommendation for Masks and Travel. 2022.
15. Wang CC, Prather KA, Sznitman J, Jimenez JL, Lakdawala SS, Tufekci Z, et al. Airborne transmission of respiratory viruses. *Science*. 2021;373(6558):eabd9149.
16. Samet JM, Prather K, Benjamin G, Lakdawala S, Lowe J-M, Reingold A, et al. Airborne transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2): what we know. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2021;73(10):1924-6.
17. Prather KA, Marr LC, Schooley RT, McDiarmid MA, Wilson ME, Milton DK. Airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *Science*. 2020;370(6514):303-4.
18. Greenhalgh T, Jimenez JL, Prather KA, Tufekci Z, Fisman D, Schooley R. Ten scientific reasons in support of airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *The lancet*. 2021;397(10285):1603-5.
19. Prather KA, Wang CC, Schooley RT. Reducing transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *Science*. 2020;368(6498):1422-4.

20. Greenhalgh T, Griffin S, Gurdasani D, Hamdy A, Katzourakis A, McKee M, et al. Covid-19: An urgent call for global "vaccines-plus" action. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*. 2022;376.
21. Morawska L, Allen J, Bahnfleth W, Bluysen PM, Boerstra A, Buonanno G, et al. A paradigm shift to combat indoor respiratory infection. *Science*. 2021;372(6543):689-91.
22. Samet JM, Burke TA, Lakdawala SS, Lowe JJ, Marr LC, Prather KA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 indoor air transmission is a threat that can be addressed with science. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2021;118(45):e2116155118.
23. Licina A, Silvers A. Use of powered air-purifying respirator (PAPR) as part of protective equipment against SARS-CoV-2—a narrative review and critical appraisal of evidence. *American journal of infection control*. 2021;49(4):492-9.
24. Sreeramoju PV, Cadena J. Airborne precautions and personal protective equipment: the powered air-purifying respirator-only approach. *Infection Prevention*. 2022:327-36.
25. Brosseau LM. Are powered air purifying respirators a solution for protecting healthcare workers from emerging aerosol-transmissible diseases? : Oxford University Press UK; 2020. p. 339-41.
26. KHOO KL, LENG PH, Ibrahim IB, Lim T. The changing face of healthcare worker perceptions on powered air-purifying respirators during the SARS outbreak. *Respirology*. 2005;10(1):107-10.
27. Liu DT, Phillips KM, Speth MM, Besser G, Mueller CA, Sedaghat AR. Portable HEPA purifiers to eliminate airborne SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review. *Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery*. 2022;166(4):615-22.
28. Thuresson S, Fraenkel C-J, Sasinovich S, Soldemyr J, Widell A, Medstrand P, et al. Airborne SARS-CoV-2 in hospitals—effects of aerosol-generating procedures, HEPA-filtration units, patient viral load and physical distance. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2022.
29. Pirkle S, Bozarth S, Robinson N, Hester W, Wagner L, Broome S, et al. Evaluating and contextualizing the efficacy of portable HEPA filtration units in small exam rooms. *American Journal of Infection Control*. 2021;49(12):1506-10.
30. Zafari Z, de Oliveira PM, Gkantonas S, Ezeh C, Muennig PA. The cost-effectiveness of standalone HEPA filtration units for the prevention of airborne SARS CoV-2 transmission. *Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation*. 2022;20(1):1-10.
31. Coyle JP, Derk RC, Lindsley WG, Blachere FM, Boots T, Lemons AR, et al. Efficacy of ventilation, HEPA air cleaners, universal masking, and physical distancing for reducing exposure to simulated exhaled aerosols in a meeting room. *Viruses*. 2021;13(12):2536.
32. Greenhalgh T, Katzourakis A, Wyatt T, Griffin S. Rapid evidence review to inform safe return to campus in the context of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Wellcome Open Research*. 2021;6:1-17.
33. Dal Porto R, Kunz MN, Pistochini T, Corsi RL, Cappa CD. Characterizing the performance of a do-it-yourself (DIY) box fan air filter. *Aerosol Science and Technology*. 2022;56(6):564-72.
34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Appendix B. Air: Guidelines for Environmental Infection Control in Health-Care Facilities 2022 [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/environmental/appendix/air.html>].
35. Vilches TN, Nourbakhsh S, Zhang K, Juden-Kelly L, Cipriano LE, Langley JM, et al. Multifaceted strategies for the control of COVID-19 outbreaks in long-term care facilities in Ontario, Canada. *Preventive medicine*. 2021;148:106564.
36. Hockstein NG, Moultrie L, Fisher M, Mason RC, Scott DC, Coker JF, et al. Assessment of a multifaceted approach, including frequent PCR testing, to mitigation of COVID-19 transmission at a residential historically Black university. *JAMA Network Open*. 2021;4(12):e2137189-e.
37. Livingston E, Desai A, Berkwits M. Sourcing personal protective equipment during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Jama*. 2020;323(19):1912-4.

38. Srikrishna D. Can 10× cheaper, lower-efficiency particulate air filters and box fans complement High-Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) purifiers to help control the COVID-19 pandemic? *Science of the Total Environment*. 2022:155884.
39. Cadnum JL, Bolomey A, Jencson AL, Wilson BM, Donskey CJ. Effectiveness of commercial portable air cleaners and a do-it-yourself minimum efficiency reporting value (MERV)-13 filter box fan air cleaner in reducing aerosolized bacteriophage MS2. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 2022:1-3.
40. Yorio K. Corsi-Rosenthal Boxes Help Clear the Air at Schools Across the Country. SLJ. 2022.
41. Traberg CS, Roozenbeek J, van der Linden S. Psychological inoculation against misinformation: Current evidence and future directions. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2022;700(1):136-51.
42. Maertens R, Roozenbeek J, Basol M, van der Linden S. Long-term effectiveness of inoculation against misinformation: Three longitudinal experiments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*. 2021;27(1):1.
43. Compton J. Prophylactic versus therapeutic inoculation treatments for resistance to influence. *Communication Theory*. 2020;30(3):330-43.





**Fig 1. First Test-Run of a PAPR-Buggy to Improve COVID-19 Safety (Model 1 of 6 Tested).** In this model, two car seats are covered individually with safe commercially-sold rain covers (A). A PAPR air-cleaning device for each child sits in the stroller's undercarriage (B), with the non-filter portions hidden by a muslin blanket. The black PAPR tubes discretely ascend the stroller frame (C) and tuck into the rain covers (D) to release fresh air. Six models were tested for 1-2 children using various strollers, rain covers, and PAPR/HEPA filters. The investigator's twins tested the models, starting with their first trip home from the hospital, pictured.

**Table 1. Air Cleaning Rates and Sound Intensity for Prototypical Models of PAPR and HEPA Buggies**

Model	Stroller	Children	Filtration Type	Interior Size	Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR)	Air Changes Per Hour (ACH)	Operating Room Equivalents	Sound Intensity
1	Twin car seat baby stroller	2	2 PAPRs	1.75 ft <sup>3</sup>	5.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /m	197.83	13.19	60.6 dB
2	Car seat baby stroller	1	PAPR	1.75 ft <sup>3</sup>	5.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /m	197.83	13.19	57.8 dB
3	Bassinet baby stroller	1	PAPR	6.05 ft <sup>3</sup>	5.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /m	57.22	3.81	57.8 dB
4	Upright toddler seat stroller	1	PAPR	2.84 ft <sup>3</sup>	5.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /m	121.90	8.13	57.8 dB
5	Twin car seat baby stroller	2	Small HEPA	19.11 ft <sup>3</sup>	55.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /m	172.68	11.51	55.5 dB
6	Twin car seat baby stroller	1	Large HEPA	19.11 ft <sup>3</sup>	303.00 ft <sup>3</sup> /m	951.33	63.42	64.6 dB

*Note.* PAPR = Powered Air-Purifying Respirator, HEPA = High-Efficiency Particulate Air filter. In Model 1, the sizing and air filtration rates are for each of two separately-enclosed car seat units, whereas in Model 5 the car seats are enclosed within a single large rain cover. Operating rooms are recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to have a minimum of 15 ACH, so “Operation Room Equivalents” reflect the ACH divided by 15. Sound intensity ratings subtract ambient intensity using a standard log-based decibel calculator.

## APPENDIX



**Figure A1. Case Prototypes of PAPR Buggies (Top Row) and HEPA Buggies (Bottom).** Model 1: Twin children in individual covered car seats, each with separate PAPR devices. Model 2: Single child version for a covered car seat with PAPR device. Model 3: Bassinet stroller with PAPR device, requires cover, not shown. Model 4: Single seated stroller, covered, with PAPR device. Model 5: Two car seats with large cover, using a small HEPA attached to a portable power station. Model 6: Single car seat attached to a twin stroller frame with large cover, using a large HEPA and power station. Supplies shown include small and large HEPA filters, the portable power source, and two PAPR devices, each shown with a manufacturer’s P100 filter (brown) and 3M version (pink).

**Table A1. Formulas for Converting Air Filter Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) to Air Changes Per Hour (ACH) and Operation Room Equivalents (ORE)**

CADR of PAPR or HEPA in ft <sup>3</sup> /minute (also called “cfm”)	X 60 minutes in an hour	÷ Room or Enclosure Size in ft <sup>3</sup>	= ACH
ACH	÷ 15 ACH of an Operating Room	= Operating Room Equivalents	

**Table A2. Chart for Converting Air Filter Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) and Room (or Rain Cover Enclosure) Size into Air Changes Per Hour (ACH)**

Room/ Buggy Size (ft <sup>3</sup> )	<u>CADR of PAPR or HEPA Air Filter (ft<sup>3</sup>/minute)</u>													
	5	10	25	50	75	100	150	200	250	300	400	500	750	1000
1	300	600	1500	3000	4500	6000	9000	12000	15000	18000	24000	30000	45000	60000
2	150	300	750	1500	2250	3000	4500	6000	7500	9000	12000	15000	22500	30000
3	100	200	500	1000	1500	2000	3000	4000	5000	6000	8000	10000	15000	20000
4	75	150	375	750	1125	1500	2250	3000	3750	4500	6000	7500	11250	15000
5	60	120	300	600	900	1200	1800	2400	3000	3600	4800	6000	9000	12000
6	50	100	250	500	750	1000	1500	2000	2500	3000	4000	5000	7500	10000
7	43	86	214	429	643	857	1286	1714	2143	2571	3429	4286	6429	8571
8	38	75	188	375	563	750	1125	1500	1875	2250	3000	3750	5625	7500
9	33	67	167	333	500	667	1000	1333	1667	2000	2667	3333	5000	6667
10	30	60	150	300	450	600	900	1200	1500	1800	2400	3000	4500	6000
11	27	55	136	273	409	545	818	1091	1364	1636	2182	2727	4091	5455
12	25	50	125	250	375	500	750	1000	1250	1500	2000	2500	3750	5000
13	23	46	115	231	346	462	692	923	1154	1385	1846	2308	3462	4615
14	21	43	107	214	321	429	643	857	1071	1286	1714	2143	3214	4286
15	20	40	100	200	300	400	600	800	1000	1200	1600	2000	3000	4000
16	19	38	94	188	281	375	563	750	938	1125	1500	1875	2813	3750
17	18	35	88	176	265	353	529	706	882	1059	1412	1765	2647	3529
18	17	33	83	167	250	333	500	667	833	1000	1333	1667	2500	3333
19	16	32	79	158	237	316	474	632	789	947	1263	1579	2368	3158
20	15	30	75	150	225	300	450	600	750	900	1200	1500	2250	3000
21	14	29	71	143	214	286	429	571	714	857	1143	1429	2143	2857
22	14	27	68	136	205	273	409	545	682	818	1091	1364	2045	2727
23	13	26	65	130	196	261	391	522	652	783	1043	1304	1957	2609
24	13	25	63	125	188	250	375	500	625	750	1000	1250	1875	2500
25	12	24	60	120	180	240	360	480	600	720	960	1200	1800	2400
26	12	23	58	115	173	231	346	462	577	692	923	1154	1731	2308
27	11	22	56	111	167	222	333	444	556	667	889	1111	1667	2222
28	11	21	54	107	161	214	321	429	536	643	857	1071	1607	2143

29	10	21	52	103	155	207	310	414	517	621	828	1034	1552	2069
30	10	20	50	100	150	200	300	400	500	600	800	1000	1500	2000
40	8	15	38	75	113	150	225	300	375	450	600	750	1125	1500
50	6	12	30	60	90	120	180	240	300	360	480	600	900	1200
60	5	10	25	50	75	100	150	200	250	300	400	500	750	1000
70	4	9	21	43	64	86	129	171	214	257	343	429	643	857
80	4	8	19	38	56	75	113	150	188	225	300	375	563	750
90	3	7	17	33	50	67	100	133	167	200	267	333	500	667
100	3	6	15	30	45	60	90	120	150	180	240	300	450	600
200	2	3	8	15	23	30	45	60	75	90	120	150	225	300
300	1	2	5	10	15	20	30	40	50	60	80	100	150	200
400	1	2	4	8	11	15	23	30	38	45	60	75	113	150
500	1	1	3	6	9	12	18	24	30	36	48	60	90	120
600	1	1	3	5	8	10	15	20	25	30	40	50	75	100
700	0	1	2	4	6	9	13	17	21	26	34	43	64	86
800	0	1	2	4	6	8	11	15	19	23	30	38	56	75
900	0	1	2	3	5	7	10	13	17	20	27	33	50	67
1,000	0	1	2	3	5	6	9	12	15	18	24	30	45	60
2,000	0	0	1	2	2	3	5	6	8	9	12	15	23	30
3,000	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	15	20
4,000	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	8	11	15
5,000	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	9	12
6,000	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	5	8	10
7,000	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	6	9
8,000	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	4	6	8
9,000	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	5	7
10,000	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	5	6

Note. The sizes in the left column can be used for the interior enclosures of buggies (typically 1-20 ft<sup>3</sup>) or room sizes (typically 800 ft<sup>3</sup> or higher). Calculate the volume of rectangular prisms like rooms as the product of the dimensions (i.e., length X width X height), or use trigonometry to calculate the area of other polygons (e.g., side of an enclosed stroller) and multiply it by the remaining dimension. Contact the corresponding author for any help. The CADR values can be used for PAPR devices or HEPA filters. If buying a HEPA filter, the manufacturer may provide the CADR usually listed in cfm (cubic feet per minute) units, but note that this reflects the highest and loudest setting. HEPA filters often need to be set to one setting lower for tolerable volume, so a useful practice may be to buy HEPA filters with an advertised CADR 150% of the level desired. The ACH estimates in this table can be converted to "Operating Room Equivalents" by dividing by 15.

**Table A3. Guide to Products and Parts for PAPR and HEPA Buggies**

<p>Testing Equipment</p> <p>CADR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Testo 405i hot-wire anemometer (\$106), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B018VO5GI2/">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B018VO5GI2/</a></li> </ul> <p>Sound Intensity, Decibel Meter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RisePRO decibel meter (\$20), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B01EZZ8B5Q">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B01EZZ8B5Q</a></li> </ul> <p>Air Quality, CO2 Monitor</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aranet4 (\$249), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07YY7BH2W">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07YY7BH2W</a></li> </ul>
<p>Model 1</p> <p>Stroller</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Joovi Twin Roo+ frame (\$30 used on Craigslist)</li> <li>• Chicco KeyFit 30 car seats (\$179 each), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/Rear-Facing-Infants-Compatible-Chicco-Strollers/dp/B07MLW6ZSQ/">https://www.amazon.com/Rear-Facing-Infants-Compatible-Chicco-Strollers/dp/B07MLW6ZSQ/</a></li> </ul> <p>Rain Cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sasha rain and wind cover (\$33 each), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07C9JQ5P8/">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07C9JQ5P8/</a></li> </ul> <p>PAPR with filters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trudsafe Powered Air Pump for Respirator (\$110 each), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B09NNKHGT7">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B09NNKHGT7</a></li> <li>• User can purchase non-Trudsafe name-brand 3M filters (\$26 for 2 filters), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B009POHLRC">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B009POHLRC</a></li> <li>• If using 3M filters, 3M 701 Black/Orange Filter Adapters (\$23 for 2 adapters) are needed, which are very difficult to set up but viable (consider alternatives), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B004RH1RXGneeded">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B004RH1RXGneeded</a></li> </ul>
<p>Model 2</p> <p>Stroller</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chicco KeyFit Liteway Stroller (\$30 used on Facebook)</li> <li>• Car seat the same as Model 1</li> </ul> <p>Rain Cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Model 1</li> </ul> <p>PAPR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Model 1</li> </ul>
<p>Model 3</p> <p>Stroller</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UppaBaby Bassinet Stroller (very old model, acquired used)</li> </ul> <p>Rain Cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The investigator does not use this model presently, so parents will need to shop for their own recommendations on bassinet rain covers</li> </ul> <p>PAPR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Models 1-2</li> </ul>
<p>Model 4</p> <p>Stroller</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chicco Liteway Stroller (\$30 on Facebook)</li> </ul> <p>Rain Cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unknown, unbranded and old</li> </ul> <p>PAPR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Models 1-3</li> </ul>

<p>Model 5</p> <p>Stroller</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Model 1</li> </ul> <p>Rain Cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ezkindheit Double-Stroller Rain Cover (\$30), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B09CGLL4DR/">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B09CGLL4DR/</a></li> </ul> <p>HEPA filter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RENPHO RP-AP068W (\$55), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07Q3DWSTX">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B07Q3DWSTX</a></li> </ul> <p>Power Supply</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are many portable power stations in the \$70-100 range that allow 2 or 3-prong outlets. Due to living in a hurricane zone with a recent prolonged power outage and evacuation, the investigator bought a more powerful version that is beyond what most families would need, the Westinghouse iGen300s (\$239), <a href="https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B08DSHCK1C">https://www.amazon.com/gp/product/B08DSHCK1C</a></li> </ul>
<p>Model 6</p> <p>Stroller</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Models 1 and 5</li> </ul> <p>Rain Cover</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Model 5</li> </ul> <p>HEPA filter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AirTheReal AGH 550 (\$220), <a href="https://www.projectn95.org/products/hepa-filter-agh550-black-air-purifier">https://www.projectn95.org/products/hepa-filter-agh550-black-air-purifier</a></li> </ul> <p>Power Supply</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as Model 5</li> </ul>



**Table A4. CO<sub>2</sub> Measurements to Validate Safety of Commercial Stroller Rain Cover for Smallest Model (Model 2)**

Context	CO <sub>2</sub> Level
<b>Buggy Testing</b>	
Ambient levels in investigator's home	576 ppm
Inside uncovered car seat, occupied by 2-month-old boy, CO <sub>2</sub> monitor 12 inches from mouth	681 ppm
Inside car seat enclosed by rain cover occupied by 2-month-old boy, CO <sub>2</sub> monitor 12 inches from mouth	977 ppm
<b>Reference Levels</b>	
Outdoors	400-450 ppm
Common ambient CO <sub>2</sub> levels in homes and businesses	550-1,400 ppm
Inside cars	550-4,000 ppm
Poor levels of ventilation that may cause headaches, sleepiness, or perceptions of stale air	2,000-5,000 ppm
Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) warning level for risk of asphyxiation during 8-hour continuous exposure	5,000 ppm

*Note.* CO<sub>2</sub> levels were averages after reaching plateaus.

**Table A5. Common Themes Used to Troll Parents Protecting Children from COVID-19, Listed for the Purposes of “Behavioral Inoculation,” or Reducing the Sting if Parents Later Hear these Messages**

- Mental health: Saying parents are “insane,” “lunatics,” “mentally ill,” “living in fear,” “fearmongers,” “paranoid,” “hypochondriacs,” “unhealthy,” “overreacting,” “brainwashed,” needing to “seek help,” “sheep,” or victims who “drank the Kool-Aid”
- Anti-parent: “Child abuser,” “it’s bad for kids’ mental health,” “ruining your kids”
- Generic demeaning remarks: “weak,” “unfit,” “stupid,” “pathetic,” “not cool,” “whiner”
- Denying risks toward children: “Children are low risk,” “kids need to get exposed to things”
- General denial of severity: “it’s just like the flu,” “it’s just a cold,” “it’s mild,” “it’s endemic,” “it will be endemic soon,” “long COVID is just anxiety,” suggesting infections or reinfects are a “good thing,” “I’ve had COVID twice, and it’s nothing to be afraid of,” “even Europeans don’t mask anymore”
- You only live once (YOLO): “You just need to live,” “you can’t be a hermit forever,” “you can’t isolate forever”
- Mislabeling as anti-vaccine: called “anti-vax” for supporting multifaceted mitigation, mocked as vaccine flip-floppers
- Minimizing airborne transmission: “we require vaccines, so everyone is safe,” “we have hand sanitizer and do daily deep cleans of all surfaces,” “it can’t spread beyond 6 feet”
- Fatalism: “infection is inevitable”
- Ostracism: “just stay home”
- Social media mocking: derogatory and ad hominem attacks toward one’s page or picture, “pronouns in bio,” “masked in profile,” clown face emoji, tear laughter emoji
- Likely PAPR/HEPA buggy-specific remarks: “Bubble boy,” “bubble girl,” any Nazi references, particularly related to “gas chambers”

*Note.* Thank you to >100 of the investigator’s Twitter followers who contributed to summarizing the various trolling responses they have received as COVID-cautious parents, either online or in person, not specific to PAPR and HEPA buggies.