Modelling vortex-induced vibrations of branched structures by coupling a 3D-corotational frame finite element formulation with wake-oscillators
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Abstract

Branched structures are present in a diverse set of problems, from modelling branch pipe connections to simulating tree dynamics. Soft corals like the Bipinnate sea plume, have a branched geometry and are soft enough to bend under the waves. Due to their cylindrical cross section, a vortex street forms in the coral’s wake inducing vibrations of its branches. Despite extensive studies on VIV in straight geometries, the three-dimensional (3D) dynamics of flexible branched structures remains uninvestigated. In this numerical and experimental study, we develop a novel formulation for the accurate computation of in-line and cross-flow VIV of frame structures undergoing large deformation. The finite element approach is used to model arbitrarily complex geometries of branched frame structures. Our formulation allows to model complex geometries with forks or sharp angles. The consistent 3D corotational formulation for frame elements computes the internal, inertial and hydrodynamic forces. A wake-oscillator approach models the near wake dynamics with fluctuating fluid forces on the structure in the in-line and cross-flow directions. The drag and lift coefficients follow distributed Van der Pol oscillators. Moreover, we implement the numerical resolution procedure in the open-source library ONSAS. The present formulation and numerical resolution procedure is validated by solving three examples, including comparisons with an analytical solution, a wake-oscillator, and experimental data from the literature. We also conduct experiments of a flexible and elastic cylinder clamped inside a water tunnel under a constant uniform flow. Amplitudes and power spectral density of the tip transverse displacements are compared with the model prediction. Finally, the proposed formulation is applied on a cylinder with two branches. The simulations demonstrate a multi-frequency response with higher amplitudes of displacements when additional branches are incorporated onto the cylinder, emphasizing the significance of considering VIV in nature and engineering applications for such geometries.
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1. Introduction

Vortex-Induced Vibrations (VIV) are widely studied in engineering to mitigate offshore structure oscillations [7, 50, 20, 47] for vibrations control [35, 13] and more recently as a potential source of clean energy [5, 71, 23]. VIV are characterized by a coupling between the vibrations of a structure and the flow fluctuations in its wake. An asymmetric vortex shedding exerts oscillating drag and lift forces, inducing in-line and cross-flow vibrations [57, 34].

Marine life has developed mechanisms through evolution to cope with VIV. These adaptations are observed across various organisms and serve different purposes. For example, some plants benefit from flow-induced vibrations, which enhance the dispersion rate of underwater plant canopies [58] or facilitate pollination [45]. A seal’s whiskers structural design mitigates flow-induced vibrations to better chase prey in the dark [29, 4, 43]. On the other hand, some animals use VIV to increase pheromone capture for moth males [61] or heat exchange for coral tentacles [44]. In the context of soft corals, Gosselin first reported VIV on the flexible branches of *Antillogorgia bipinnata*. Two-dimensional (2D) simulations of a vibrating cross section conducted in Ref. [10] demonstrate an increase in particle capture of up to 40% more than a fixed branch during lock-in, suggesting that sea plumes may use VIV as a feeding strategy.

Incorporating VIV into biomechanical models of plant is necessary to improve the accuracy and realism of computational simulations. Numerous studies have highlighted the importance of accounting for flexibility when modeling the behavior of plants submitted to hydrodynamic forces [42, 59, 63]. Experimental studies [11, 65] and numerical simulations [70, 38] show that continuous flexible structures encounter multiple lock-ins due to the multiplicity of their natural frequencies. The intricate interplay between nonlinear wake dynamics and multi-modal response presents significant challenges for modeling VIV in flexible structures and requires special numerical tools.

One approach to study VIV numerically is to solve a fully coupled system with the Navier Stokes equations for the fluid and the elastodynamics equations for the structure. Several numerical methods in Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) have been used to predict VIV, such as Quasi-three-dimensional Method [69], coupled three-dimensional (3D) Finite Element Method (FEM) [31] or Finite Volume Method [46]. However, simulating all of the surrounding fluid flow is extremely computationally demanding due to the vast range of length and time scales and to the large amplitudes of motion that must be considered.

One alternative method is to empirically model the forcing of the wake on the structure. Bishop and Hassan and Hartlen and Currie proposed the wake-oscillator model (WOM) to simulate the hydrodynamic forcing of the wake on a rigid cylinder. Facchinetti et al. set a phenomenological coupling between the cross section acceleration and the wake variable. Violette et al. showed that coupling the dynamics of a flexible cylinder with the nonlinear Van der Pol oscillator exhibits good agreements with Direct numerical simulation (DNS) results.

Aquatic plants and soft corals are flexible and interact with the flow developing large deflections [27, 16, 17]. Jain and Modarres-Sadeghi experimentally observed a vortex shedding parallel to the cylinder’s axis for inclination...
angles up to 65°. They validate the independence principle, stating that the inclined cylinders can be treated as the normal-incidence ones by considering only the component of the free stream velocity normal to the cylinder axis. With a finite element approach, the independence principle allows for the straightforward extension of the WOM to inclined structures. Prior works implementing a FEM formulation of the WOM \cite{38, 69, 40} as well as commercial codes \cite{41} have consistently defined the wake variables as additional degrees of freedom assigned to each node. However, these studies primarily focused on analyzing structures with straight geometries, neglecting the presence of forks, angles, or other complex geometric features. Consequently, the issue of having discontinuous tangent vectors at specific nodes, which can result in ill-defined cross-flow directions, has not been addressed in these prior investigations. Numerous wind-tree numerical models have been developed \cite{59, 29, 55, 52, 18} and various simulations of tree dynamics have been performed \cite{1, 28, 53}. However, these studies often overlook VIV. The assessment of VIV on 3D branched structures like corals or trees undergoing large displacements remains an open problem. The motivation of this study is to develop a novel methodology for incorporating VIV in 3D simulations of branched structures, using the WOM.

Structures undergoing VIV are typically slender and can exhibit large amplitude beam-like deformations. The kinematics of a flexible frame element can be described by the total Lagrangian or the updated Lagrangian formulations, however they require a high number of elements or a small time step in order to provide proper results for nonlinear problems \cite{19, 37}. Crisfield et al. presented a 3D corotational formulation in which the movement is separated into two parts using an element-independent framework. The first part involves rigid body motion, which includes translation and rotation from the global to the rigid system. The second part involves a small deformation motion, which goes from the rigid to the deformed configuration. This formulation handles large rotations and large displacements, obtaining accurate results with a smaller number of elements \cite{37}. The corotational model has been gradually extended to consider warping effects \cite{3}, dynamic problems \cite{36}, a consistent formulation for frame elements \cite{37} and hydrodynamic forces \cite{66}. Corotational frame elements have been used in fluid-structure interaction simulations to model living organisms subjected to fluid loads, such as insect wings during flapping \cite{14}, or vibrating vocal folds \cite{64}.

Here we present a unified corotational formulation for simulating branched frame structures undergoing large displacements and rotations, coupled with a the wake-oscillator model to assess VIV. The consistent 3D corotational frame element formulation computes the internal, inertial and hydrodynamic forces. Moreover, we implement the formulation in the open-source structural analysis tool ONSAS \cite{51} and experiments are performed for validation. As a result, a new free tool for VIV analysis of 3D forked structures is available.

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we present the formulation of the in-line/cross-flow wake-oscillator model in the 3D corotational framework together with the experimental setup. In Section 3 the in-line and cross-flow VIV model is verified with one frame element (Example 1). The model is validated in large displacements
with the wake-oscillator model from Leclercq and de Langre (Example 2) and with experimental data from Trim et al. (Example 3). It is also compared with new experimental data in Example 4. Simulation results of VIV on a forked structure are presented in Example 5. The paper ends with the conclusions in Section 4.

2. Methodology

In this section, we introduce our proposed corotational formulation for the WOM and added mass, along with a numerical procedure for solving the governing equations. Additionally, we provide a detailed description of the experimental setup used to validate our model.

The formulation is developed for a frame element with a length \( l_0 \) and a circular, uniform cross section of diameter \( D \) and second moment of inertia \( I \). The material is considered to be elastic and isotropic, of density \( \rho \) and elasticity modulus \( E \). In this section, extensions of the corotational formulation are developed and a numerical resolution procedure is presented.

1. Corotational framework

We summarize here the key steps of the corotational formulation from [37]. The 3D corotational framework uses three coordinate systems. The global reference system is defined by the triad \( (e_x, e_y, e_z) \). The local system attached to the element after a rigid rotation without deformation is \( (r_1, r_2, r_3) \). The triad that is rigidly attached to each node is \( (t_1, t_2, t_3) \). These coordinate systems are illustrated in Fig. 1.

Let us consider a two-node frame element, where the nodal displacements are represented by the column vector \( \mathbf{d} = [(u_1)^T, (w_1)^T, (u_2)^T, (w_2)^T]^T \). Here, \( u^i \) and \( w^i \) denote the column vectors of linear displacements and rotations, respectively, at node \( i \). These displacements can be expressed in both the global coordinate system as \( \mathbf{d}_g \) and the local coordinate system as \( \mathbf{d}_l \). Similarly, a vector of nodal forces \( \mathbf{f} \) can also be represented in the corresponding coordinate systems.

The internal force \( \mathbf{f}_{int} \) is derived based on the work of Ref.[3]. This forces vector is expressed in the local coordinates system where the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory is assumed. Translations and rotations at the cross section centroid are interpolated from the local nodal values, using linear shape functions for the axial displacement and rotation, and cubic shape functions for transverse displacements.

The internal virtual work is the same in local and global coordinates, therefore:

\[
\delta \mathbf{d}_l^T \mathbf{f}_{int,l} = \delta \mathbf{d}_l^T \mathbf{f}_{int,l}, \tag{1}
\]

with \( \delta \mathbf{d}_g \) and \( \delta \mathbf{d}_l \) being the virtual displacements in global and local coordinates, respectively. We can express

\[
\delta \mathbf{d}_l = \mathbf{B} \delta \mathbf{d}_g, \tag{2}
\]
Figure 1: 3D an planar illustration of corotational kinematics and hydrodynamics forces.
with $B$ being a transformation matrix expressing the axial displacements and rotations from the local to the global coordinate system. It follows

$$\delta d^T_g f_{\text{int},g} = \delta d^T_g B^T f_{\text{int},l}. \quad (3)$$

This is valid for any virtual displacement $\delta d$, so:

$$f_{\text{int},g} = B^T f_{\text{int},l}, \quad (4)$$

where $f_{\text{int},l} = [f_a^T (m_1^T) (m_2^T)]$ is the vector of internal forces in local coordinates. It considers the axial force $f_a$ along $t_1$, bending moments and torsional moment $m_i^T$ at node $i$ along $t_2$ and $t_3$, respectively. They are given by a linear constitutive behavior.

The inertial force is obtained through the kinetic energy variations. The kinetic energy $K$ of the element is:

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \int_{l_0} \rho \dot{u}^T A \ddot{u} + \rho \dot{w}^T I \ddot{w} \, dl, \quad (5)$$

with $u$, $w$ being the displacements and rotations vectors of the center of the cross section, $A$ the cross section area and $I$ the geometric inertia tensor. The dot notation stands for time differentiation. Using the derivative chain rule, the variations of Eq. (5) writes:

$$\delta K = - \int_{l_0} \delta u^T \rho A \ddot{u} + \delta w^T [\rho I \ddot{w} + \tilde{\omega} \rho I \dot{w}] \, dl, \quad (6)$$

with $\tilde{\omega}$ being the skew operator associated to the vector $\dot{w}$. The inertial force vector of the element in global coordinates is:

$$\delta K = (f_{\text{inc}})^T \delta d_g, \quad (7)$$

where

$$f_{\text{inc}} = \int_{l_0} \left\{ H_1^T R_r^T \rho A \ddot{u} + H_2^T R_r^T [\rho I \ddot{w} + \tilde{\omega} \rho I \dot{w}] \right\} \, dl. \quad (8)$$

The rigid rotation matrix $R_r$ expresses $(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ in the global coordinate system. Matrices $H_1, H_2$ depend on the interpolation functions which are linear for axial displacements and hermitian for bending. Their exact expression can be found in Ref. [37].

2. Hydrodynamic forces

The structure is submitted to a uniform and steady flow of velocity $U$ and density $\rho_f$. The hydrodynamic forces acting on the structure are the added mass, the steady drag, and the fluctuating drag and lift forces due to the shed vortices. We define the instantaneous relative velocity $U_{rel}$ that the cylinder perceives based on $U$ and the cylinder velocity $\dot{u}$: $U_{rel} = U - \dot{u}$. In this formulation, the computation of the fluid forces is performed at every instant in
each section plane by considering only the normal component of the flow velocity, as stated by the independence
principle. Thus, the relative velocity is projected on the cross section plane $\Pi_{23}$ as shown in Fig. 1. This projection
is denoted $U_{pr}$. We define the vector $t_u$ as the normalized projection of $U$ on the cross section plane in local
coordinates:

$$t_u = L_2 (R_u \overline{R})^T U / \|L_2 (R_u \overline{R})^T U\|,$$

(9)

with $(R_u \overline{R})^T$ being the rotation matrix used to transform a global vector into local coordinates. The operator $L_2$
projects it onto the section plane $\Pi_{23}$. $t_u$ provides the flow direction seen by the cross section. The fluid velocity in
the cross section plane is $U - U \cdot t_1 = U \cos(\phi) t_u$, with $\phi$ being the angle between the fluid velocity $U$ and $\Pi_{23}$. A
3D and planar representation of these vectors and angles is provided in Fig. 1. The added mass force per unit length
acting on the section is:

$$f_a(s, t) = m_a \dot{U}(s, t) - \ddot{u}(s, t),$$

(10)

with $m_a = C_a \rho f D \pi / 4$ being the fluid added mass. The added mass coefficient $C_a$ is assumed to be 1 for a circular
cross section [8]. Here, the flow is considered as steady: $\dot{U} = 0$. The corotational formulation of the added mass
force acting on a beam element of length $l_0$ is expressed as an inertial force of the same element having a density
$C_a \rho f$:

$$f_{am} = - \int_{l_0} \left\{ H_u^T R_u^T C_a \rho f A \ddot{u} + H_u^T R_u^T [C_a \rho f I \ddot{\omega} + \dddot{w} C_a \rho f I \dot{\omega}] \right\} dl.$$  

(11)

At time $t$ and curvilinear coordinate $s$, the instantaneous drag and lift forces per unit length are:

$$f_{\text{drag}}(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} C_D(s, t) \rho f D U_{pr}^2(s, t) t_d(s, t),$$

(12)

$$f_{\text{lift}}(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} C_L(s, t) \rho f D U_{pr}^2(s, t) t_l(s, t),$$

(13)

with $t_d, t_l$ being the drag and lift directions, respectively. These forces are derived from the empirical WOM that
models the fluctuating pressure field on the cylinder caused by vortices in its wake by oscillating drag and lift coeffi-
cients $C_D$ and $C_L$. In particular, the in-line vibrations are modeled by splitting the instantaneous drag coefficient
$C_D = C_D^0 + C_{Di}$ into a constant mean coefficient $C_D^0$ and a fluctuating component induced by the wake $C_{Di}$
following [26, 68, 40, 25]. The instantaneous vortex-induced drag and lift coefficients are modeled by

$$C_{Di}(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} C_{Di}^0 p(s, t),$$

(14)

$$C_L(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} C_L^0 q(s, t),$$

(15)

with $C_{Di}^0, C_L^0$ being the constant amplitudes of the fluctuating drag and lift coefficients on a stationary cylinder. The
variables $p$ and $q$ are the dimensionless in-line and cross-flow wake variables. They can be seen as the normalized drag
and lift coefficients. They both follow nonlinear Van der Pol oscillators and are coupled to the section acceleration:

\[ \ddot{p}(s, t) + 2\epsilon_p \Omega_f (\dot{p}^2(s, t) - 1) \dot{p}(s, t) + 4\Omega_f^2 p(s, t) = \frac{A_p}{D} \ddot{u}_p(s, t), \tag{16} \]

\[ \ddot{q}(s, t) + \epsilon_q \Omega_f (\dot{q}^2(s, t) - 1) \dot{q}(s, t) + \Omega_f^2 q(s, t) = \frac{A_q}{D} \ddot{u}_q(s, t), \tag{17} \]

where \( \Omega_f = 2\pi S_I U \cos \phi / D \) is the wake angular frequency, \( A_p, A_q \) are the coupling coefficients, \( \epsilon_p, \epsilon_q \) are the fluid damping parameters, \( S_I \) is the Strouhal number and \( \ddot{u}_p, \ddot{u}_q \) are the in-line and cross-flow accelerations, respectively.

For any cross-section in a frame element, \( \ddot{u}_p, \ddot{u}_q \) are defined as:

\[ \ddot{u}_p = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{t}_U, \tag{18} \]

\[ \ddot{u}_q = \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_U). \tag{19} \]

At a bifurcation or branch node, both \( \mathbf{t}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{t}_U \) are discontinuous. Therefore, \( \ddot{u}_p \) and \( \ddot{u}_q \) at this node are ill-defined. To avoid this issue, we set the wake variables as uniform on each element where \( \mathbf{t}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{t}_U \) remain continuous. Therefore, the Van der Pol oscillator is solved once per element. This novel approach enables the application of the WOM to interconnected frame elements, accommodating arbitrary connections such as forks and sharp angles.

For both in-line and cross-flow oscillators, the coupling is done by averaging the two nodal accelerations of the element:

\[ \ddot{p} + 2\epsilon_p \Omega_f (\dot{p}^2 - 1) \dot{p} + 4\Omega_f^2 p = \frac{A_p}{D} (\ddot{u}_p^1 + \ddot{u}_p^2) / 2, \tag{20} \]

\[ \ddot{q} + \epsilon_q \Omega_f (\dot{q}^2 - 1) \dot{q} + \Omega_f^2 q = \frac{A_q}{D} (\ddot{u}_q^1 + \ddot{u}_q^2) / 2, \tag{21} \]

where the subscripts \( \cdot_p \) and \( \cdot_q \) denote the in-line and cross-flow directions, respectively, and the superscripts \( ^1 \) and \( ^2 \) refer to the element nodes. The cross product \( \mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_U \) provides the cross-flow direction. \( \ddot{u} \cdot \mathbf{t}_U \) and \( \ddot{u} \cdot (\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_U) \) are the accelerations of the cross section in the in-line and cross-flow directions. Eqs \([16, 17]\) are known to provide quasi-harmonic oscillations of normalized amplitude \( P^0 = 2, Q^0 = 2 \) for \( \Omega_f = 1 \). As the WOM is a phenomenological model, these coefficients \( S_I, A_p, A_q, \epsilon_p, \epsilon_q \) are calibrated with experimental data.

The corotational formulation of the drag and lift force acting on one element is taken from Ref.\[66\]:

\[ f_d = \frac{1}{2} \rho r \mathbf{D} \mathbf{E} \int_{t_0} \left\{ \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{L}_2 (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{U}_{rel} \| \mathbf{C}_D (p) \mathbf{L}_2 (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{U}_{rel} \right\} dl, \tag{22} \]

\[ f_l = \frac{1}{2} \rho r \mathbf{Dr} \mathbf{E} \int_{t_0} \left\{ \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{L}_2 (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{U}_{rel} \| \mathbf{C}_L (q) \mathbf{L}_2 (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})^T \mathbf{U}_{rel} \right\} dl. \tag{23} \]

where the operator \( \mathbf{L}_2 = \exp([\pi/2, 0, 0]^T) \) rotates the relative fluid velocity by \( \pi/2 \) radians around the axis \( \mathbf{t}_1 \) to provide the local lift direction. Finally, \( \mathbf{R} \) and \( \mathbf{E} \) transform the velocity from local to rigid and from rigid to global.
Note that the fluid damping is included in the drag force. Indeed, as pointed out by Ogink and Metrikine [66], a linearized form of the instantaneous drag force can lead to a fluid damping term proportional to the section velocity, named stall term by Skop and Balasubramanian [37] and Facchinetti et al. In the 2D VIV, this hypothesis may not be respected. Here, we consider the full nonlinear drag force following [15, 52].

When attempting to solve for the dynamics of a branched structure, a system of differential equations needs to be solved for each branch, and continuity conditions must be imposed at the bifurcation nodes, which results in a significant increase in the complexity of the problem. In the proposed formulation, the FEM assembly approach is considered. Therefore, no complexity is added by including a fork node in the structure.

3. Balance equations and numerical resolution procedure

The governing equations are derived by applying the principle of virtual work to all elements of the structure for the forces described in Eqs. (8), (11), (23), and (22), while simultaneously solving the Van der Pol equations, Eqs. (20) and (21), for each element.

We denote the structure assembled vectors with subscripts $\cdot_s$ and elements variables with superscripts $\cdot_e$. The solid and wake residuals are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{r}_u &= f_{int,s}(\mathbf{d}_s) + f_{ine,s}(\mathbf{d}_s, \dot{\mathbf{d}}_s, \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_s) - f_{am,s}(\dot{\mathbf{d}}_s) - f_{t,s}(\mathbf{d}_s, \dot{\mathbf{d}}_s, \mathbf{p}_s) - f_{l,s}(\mathbf{d}_s, \dot{\mathbf{d}}_s, \mathbf{q}_s), \\
\mathbf{r}_p^e &= \ddot{\rho}^e + 2\epsilon_p^e \Omega_f \left( \rho^e - 1 \right) \dot{\rho}^e + 4\Omega_f^2 \rho^e - \frac{A_p^e}{D} (\ddot{u}_p^e,1 + \ddot{u}_p^e,2) / 2, \\
\mathbf{r}_q^e &= \ddot{q}^e + \epsilon_q^e \Omega_f \left( q^e - 1 \right) \dot{q}^e + \Omega_f^2 \dot{q}^e - \frac{A_q^e}{D} (\ddot{u}_q^e,1 + \ddot{u}_q^e,2) / 2,
\end{align*}
\]

where $\mathbf{r}_u$ is the residual of the Principle of Virtual Work equations, and the wake residuals $\mathbf{r}_p^e, \mathbf{r}_q^e$ correspond to the Van der Pol equations. They are both computed independently for each element. For each time step, the system $\mathbf{r}_u = \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{r}_p = \mathbf{r}_q = \mathbf{0}$ must be solved, obtaining the current configuration of the structure (with velocities and accelerations) and wake variables for each element.

We define the following non-dimensional parameters: the reduced velocity, the Reynolds number, the aspect ratio and the mass ratio, respectively,

\[
U_R = \frac{U}{D\ell^2}, \quad \nu_f = \frac{m_s + m_a}{E\ell}, \quad R_e = \frac{UD}{\nu_f}, \quad \Gamma = \frac{\ell}{D}, \quad \mathcal{M} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_f},
\]

with $m_s$ being the structural mass per unit length and $\nu_f$ the fluid kinematic viscosity.

The system of nonlinear governing [Eq. (24)] is solved numerically using iterative methods. The internal forces are implemented according to the formulations described in [37]. The inertial forces in Eq. (8) and hydrodynamic forces in Eqs. (11, 23, 22) are computed with 4 Gauss integration points. The tangent matrices of the hydrodynamic forces are considered for large displacement examples as recommended in Ref. [66]. The trapezoidal Newmark numerical method is used to solve the dynamic problem [Eq. (24)] with $\alpha = 1/4, \delta = 1/2$ [2].
To solve for \( r_p \) and \( r_q \) in Eq. (24), a fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm (implemented using the ode45 function in Matlab) solves the nonlinear Van der Pol equations Eqs. (16, 17) with constant nodal accelerations \( \ddot{u}^1, \ddot{u}^2 \) at each Newton iteration. The wake variables \( (p, q) \) are defined as uniform in the element. In all of the examples, two stopping criteria are considered based on either the norm of the relative displacement, \( \|\Delta u\|/u < \text{tol}_u \), or the norm of the residual force, \( r_u < \text{tol}_r \). Both criteria are used to determine convergence of the numerical solution.

Algorithms 1 and 2 describe the iterative procedure for resolution.

For non-homogeneous initial configurations, the numerical method is initialized with a given deformed configuration and initial velocities and accelerations. To start from the steady state, the Newton-Raphson method solves the static problem and obtain the initial configuration.

**Algorithm 1** Numerical procedure

1. Initialize the structure: \( u_t \leftarrow u_0, \dot{u}_t \leftarrow \dot{u}_0, \ddot{u}_t \leftarrow \ddot{u}_0 \)
2. Initialize the wake: \( (p^{k+1}, \dot{p}^{k+1}, q^k, \dot{q}^k, \ddot{q}^k) \leftarrow (p_0, \dot{p}_0, \dot{q}_0, \ddot{q}_0) \)
3. While \( t < t_f \) do
   4. Initial guess for \( t + \Delta t: (u^k, \dot{u}^k, \ddot{u}^k) \leftarrow (u_t, \dot{u}_t, \ddot{u}_t) \)
   5. Compute \( r_u^{k+1} \) and assemble the tangent matrix
   6. While \( \|\Delta u^{k+1}\| > \text{tol}_u \) and \( \|r_u^{k+1}\| > \text{tol}_r \) and \( k < \text{max}_\text{iter} \) do
      7. Compute \( \Delta u^{k+1} \)
      8. Update \( u^{k+1} \leftarrow u^k + \Delta u^{k+1} \)
      9. Newmark method gives next candidates \( \dot{u}^{k+1}, \ddot{u}^{k+1} \)
     10. Algorithm 2 computes wake variables: \( p^{k+1}(\dddot{u}^{k+1}), q^{k+1}(\dddot{u}^{k+1}) \)
     11. Update \( f_{\text{in,e}}(u^{k+1}, \dot{u}^{k+1}), f_{\text{in,c}}(u^{k+1}, \dot{u}^{k+1}) \)
     12. Compute \( r_u^{k+1}(u^{k+1}, \dot{u}^{k+1}, \ddot{u}^{k+1}) \) and assemble the tangent matrix
   13. End while
   14. \( (u_{t+\Delta t}, \dot{u}_{t+\Delta t}, \ddot{u}_{t+\Delta t}, p_t+\Delta t, q_t+\Delta t) \leftarrow (u^{k+1}, \dot{u}^{k+1}, \ddot{u}^{k+1}, p^{k+1}, q^{k+1}) \)
   15. \( t \leftarrow t + \Delta t \)
   16. End while

**Algorithm 2** Wake equations resolution

1. Returns Wake variables candidates \( p^{k+1}, q^{k+1} \) of the element for time \( t + \Delta t \)
2. Initial guess: \( (p^{k+1}, \dot{p}^{k+1}, q^k, \dot{q}^k, \ddot{q}^k) \leftarrow (p_0, \dot{p}_0, \dot{q}_0, \ddot{q}_0) \)
3. Compute averaged element in-line and cross-flow accelerations \( \dddot{u}^{k+1}, \dddot{u}^{k+1} \) using Eqs (18, 19)
4. Compute \( r_p(p^{k+1}, \dot{p}^{k+1}, \dddot{u}^{k+1}) \) and \( r_q(q^k, \dot{q}^k, \dddot{u}^{k+1}) \) using Eqs (20, 21)
5. ode45 solves \( r_p = 0 \)
6. ode45 solves \( r_q = 0 \)
7. \( (p^{k+1}, \dot{p}^{k+1}) \leftarrow (p_{\text{ode}}, \dot{p}_{\text{ode}}) \)
8. \( (q^k, \dot{q}^{k+1}) \leftarrow (q_{\text{ode}}, \dot{q}_{\text{ode}}) \)

**4. Experimental methodology**

In order to validate the numerical model, we carry experimental measurements of cross-flow VIV. The elongated cylinder is 3D printed with Selective Laser Sintering using a Sinterit Lisa Pro printer. The printed material is Thermoplastic Elastomer (TPE powder) known for its elasticity and flexibility. The cylinder is \( \ell = 150 \) mm in height,
which is the maximum height achievable by the printer. We selected a circular cross section with a diameter of $D = 5$ mm. This choice strikes a balance between having a high slenderness ($\Gamma = 30$) and achieving a satisfactory surface resolution. Since the material is porous, its density $\rho = 7.9 \times 10^2$ kg/m$^3$ is calculated by dividing its wet weight by its volume (given in the design software). A three-points bending test provides the bending rigidity of the structure $EI = 4 \times 10^2$ N mm$^2$.

The structure is placed in a closed water tunnel, which is operated by two pumps. The main pump allows for flow velocities ranging from $0.13$ m/s to $9$ m/s, while the secondary pump is used to achieve flow velocities below $0.18$ m/s. One flow meter measures the flow rate for each pump, which is then converted to a mean flow velocity in the test section. The test section area is $250 \times 250$ mm$^2$. The bottom end of the cylinder is clamped by screwing an M5 hexagonal nut which is embedded to the support plate. The quantities of interest are the amplitude and frequency of the transverse displacements at the tip of the cylinder as they vary with the reduced velocity. The flow velocity $U$ is varied in steps, and for each step, we wait 30 seconds for the steady-state regime to be established. Then, a GoPro Hero Black 12 records a 4K-quality movie for 20 seconds ($\sim 100$ periods) of the transverse displacements at a frame rate of 120 frames per second. The camera is positioned $340$ mm downstream from the structure [Fig. 2]. This distance is large enough not to interact with the wake which is a few diameters long. The quantity of interest is the tip of the cylinder transverse displacements. A Matlab image processing script detects the cylinder tip. The frames sequence is first converted to 8bit black and white images and binarized with a threshold, to separate the cylinder from the background. A quadratic regression curve is then fitted on the cylinder pixels to follow its center line. The tip stands on the end of this regression line. We estimate the detection error of the tip $1$ pixel $= 0.1$ mm. As the displacements are normalized by the cylinder diameter $D$, the error is $2\%$. Each root mean square value of a 20-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
<th>Example 3</th>
<th>Example 4</th>
<th>Example 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell$ (mm)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>37800</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ (mm)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (GPa)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta$ (kN)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_f$ (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ (m/s)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{M}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_t$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_D^0$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{D_i}^0$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_L^0$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_p$</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_q$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_p$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_q$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Dimensional and dimensionless parameters values for each example.

second recording counting more than 2000 values, the standard error becomes negligible compared to experiments.

repeatability.

3. Numerical Results

This section presents comparisons to validate the implementation of the model. For all the examples, the fluid considered is water. Gravitational and buoyancy forces are neglected. To ensure deterministic results, we initialize the wake variables $p$ and $q$ with a uniform value of 0.001. The stopping criteria have default values $\text{tol}_r = 10^{-5}, \text{tol}_u = 10^{-8}$.

In the subcritical range $300 < \text{Re} < 1.5 \times 10^5$, the values of $C_D^0, C_{D_i}^0, C_L^0$ and $S_t$ for a fixed cylinder are commonly assumed constant \cite{22,25} with values given in Tab. 1. For all the examples, the fluid considered is water with density $\rho_f = 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and kinematic viscosity $\nu_f = 10^{-6} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$. While Facchinetti et al. studied cross-flow VIV on a rigid cylinder and recommended $A_q = 12, \epsilon_q = 0.3$ from experiments, so that $A_q/\epsilon_q \approx 40$, calibrations from Ref. \cite{62} showed that this ratio for coupled in-line/cross-flow VIV strongly depends on the structural damping and the mass ratio and can reach up to 2727. In this work, unless otherwise mentioned, empirical parameters of the wake-oscillator are set to $A_p = 96, A_q = 12, \epsilon_p = 0.02, \epsilon_q = 0.04$. This set of parameters is widely used for in-line/cross-flow WOM and have been validated for slender pipes \cite{68,40}. The frequencies are normalized by the
Table 2: Modal parameters for the first three modes of a flexible cantilever beam with constant cross section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_i )</td>
<td>1.87510</td>
<td>4.69409</td>
<td>7.85476</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first natural frequency \( f_1 \) of the \( i \)th mode of a clamped-free cylinder in water is given by:

\[
 f_i = \frac{\lambda_i^2}{2 \pi \ell^2} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{m_a + m_a}},
\]  

(26)

where \( \lambda_i \) is the modal parameter for the \( i \)th mode of vibration \[9\]. Its value for the first three modes are provided in Tab. 2. The codes are made publicly available on GitHub on this link.

1. Example 1 - Verification of in-line and cross-flow VIV in small displacements

This example verifies the implementation of the in-line and cross-flow VIV on a single linear beam element with a semi-analytical solution.

The problem consists of a cantilever beam submitted to a transverse flow with a uniform and steady velocity \( U \) as illustrated in Fig. 3. The material has a Young modulus of \( E \) and a density \( \rho \). The structure has a linear elastic behavior for the internal forces and a lumped mass formulation for the inertial terms. Additionally, we consider small displacements and no structural damping.

We only consider the transverse displacement in the \( yz \)-plane. The fluid has constant velocity \( U \) and exerts oscillating drag and lift forces. The parameter values are presented in Tab. 1.

Consider the distributed nonlinear drag and lift loads:

\[
 b_d (p, \dot{u}_y, \ddot{u}_y) = b_d (p, \dot{u}_y, \ddot{u}_y) \mathbf{e}_x = \frac{1}{2} \left( C_{D0} \dot{u}_y + \frac{p C_{D12}}{2} \right) \rho_i DU_{rel}^2, 
\]

(27)

\[
 b_l (q, \dot{u}_x, \dot{u}_y) = b_l (q, \dot{u}_y, \dot{u}_y) \mathbf{e}_y = \frac{1}{2} qC_{L0} \dot{u}_y^2 \rho_i DU_{rel}^2, 
\]

(28)

with the relative velocity \( DU_{rel}^2 = (U^2 - \dot{u}_x/2)^2 + (\dot{u}_y/2)^2 \). The nodal velocities are averaged to compute a relative velocity constant along the element. For this specific validation case, the change in load direction is neglected as small displacements are considered. The equivalent nodal forces and moments are given by the principle of virtual work. Considering a single linear Bernoulli beam element with length \( \ell \) the equivalent nodal force and moment at the tip \( A \) are \( \frac{1}{2} b_d \ell \mathbf{e}_x + \frac{1}{2} b_l \ell \mathbf{e}_y \) and \( -\frac{1}{12} b_d \ell^2 \mathbf{e}_x + \frac{1}{12} b_l \ell^2 \mathbf{e}_y \), respectively \[49\]. The equation of motion of node \( A \) is:

\[
 \begin{bmatrix}
 m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & m & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & m & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & m \\
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 \ddot{u}_y \\
 \ddot{u}_x \\
 \ddot{u}_y \\
 \ddot{u}_y \\
 \end{bmatrix}
 +
 \begin{bmatrix}
 \frac{EI}{\ell^3} & -6\ell & 0 & 0 \\
 -6\ell & 4\ell^2 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 12 & 6\ell \\
 0 & 0 & 6\ell & 4\ell^2 \\
 \end{bmatrix}
 \begin{bmatrix}
 u_y \\
 \theta_x \\
 u_y \\
 \theta_y \\
 \end{bmatrix}
 =
 \begin{bmatrix}
 \frac{6b_d\ell}{12} \\
 -b_l\ell^2 \\
 6b_d\ell \\
 b_l\ell^2 \\
 \end{bmatrix},
\]

(29)
where $m$ corresponds to the lumped solid mass and fluid added mass at the free end:

$$ m = \frac{1}{2} \left( m_s + C_a \rho_f \ell x \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right). $$

System (29) can be simplified to two equations:

$$ m \dddot{u}_x + u_x \frac{12 EI}{\ell^3} + \left( -\frac{b d \ell}{8} - u_y \frac{9 EI}{\ell^3} \right) = \frac{b \ell}{2}, $$

$$ m \dddot{u}_y + u_y \frac{12 EI}{\ell^3} + \left( \frac{b h \ell}{8} - u_y \frac{9 EI}{\ell^3} \right) = \frac{b \ell}{2}. $$

Figure 3: 3D view of the cantilever beam with small displacements.
We set the equivalent stiffness \( k_u = 3EI/\ell^3 \). The reference system is:

\[
\begin{align*}
    m\ddot{u}_x + k_u u_x &= \frac{3b_d \ell}{8}, \\
    m\ddot{u}_y + k_u u_y &= \frac{3b_l \ell}{8}, \\
    \ddot{p} &= -2\epsilon_p \Omega_f^2 (p^2 - 1)\dot{p} - 4\Omega_f^2 \dot{p} + \frac{A_p \ddot{u}_x}{D}, \\
    \ddot{q} &= -\epsilon_q \Omega_f (q^2 - 1)\dot{q} - \Omega_f^2 \dot{q} + \frac{A_q \ddot{u}_y}{D}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(33)

This nonlinear reference solution is used to validate the amplitude displacements of the coupled variables \((u_x, u_y, p, q)\).

The Matlab function \texttt{ode45} using a 4\textsuperscript{th} order Rung-Kutta algorithm solves this nonlinear system.

System (33) is solved numerically over 2000 time steps. The relative error between the two solutions is defined by

\[
\epsilon_x = \frac{\|x_{\text{model}} - x_{\text{ref}}\|_2}{\|x_{\text{ref}}\|_2},
\]

(34)

where \( x \) is a vector with the magnitude values for all the time steps. Using this metric, the errors for this simulation are displayed in Tab. 3. Fig. 4 compares temporal evolution of \( u_x, u_y, p, q \) from the model with the reference solution over one period \( T \) of the motion. The model follows closely the reference solution. The doubled vortex shedding pulsation in the in-line Van der Pol oscillator Eq. (16) causes a doubling of frequency of the oscillator \( p \). The present model is in agreement with the reference solution and the relative errors of the four investigated quantities are below 0.3%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{u_x} )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{u_y} )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{p} )</th>
<th>( \epsilon_{q} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
<td>0.000087%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Relative errors of \( u_x, u_y, p, q \).
Figure 4: Verification of the in-line and cross-flow displacements $u_x, u_y$ and wake variables $p, q$ of a cantilever beam in a uniform flow with small displacements. Present model (---), Reference solution (-----).
2. Example 2 - Validation of cross-flow VIV with large displacements

This example validates the cross-flow VIV model in large displacements with Leclercq and de Langre wake-oscillator.

A cantilever beam of length $\ell$, density $\rho$ and uniform circular cross section with diameter $D$ is clamped at one end. It bends under a transverse uniform and steady flow of velocity $U = U e_x$ and density $\rho_f$ (see Tab. 1 for exact values). The beam bends in the xz-plane with large deformation and undergoes cross-flow vibrations along $e_y$ as displayed on Fig. 3. In this specific validation example, in-line VIV forces are neglected: the drag coefficient takes the constant value $C_D = 2$. The wake-oscillator parameters for cross-flow VIV are $A_q = 12$, $\epsilon_q = 0.3$ as recommended in Ref.[22] and used by Leclercq and de Langre.

The beam is discretized in 100 elements and the time using $10^6$ time steps. The static solution is first computed with increasing reduced velocity. The dynamic problem is then solved using the steady solution as the initial configuration. The analysis considers only the second half of the simulation. This cuts off the transient and allows the vibrational dynamics to build up. The amplitudes are normalized by $D$ and the frequencies by the first structural frequency $f_1$ obtained by Eq. (26). The root mean square amplitudes and frequencies of the transverse displacement of the cylinder tip are compared in Figs (5,6), respectively. Note that the definition of $U_R$ used in Ref.[38] incorporates the Strouhal number $S_t = 0.2$, resulting in a stretching of the x-axis by a factor of 5.

![Figure 5: Cross-flow RMS amplitude of the tip of the cylinder: present model •; Ref.[38] data - - - -](image)

For small deflections ($U_R < 150$), the cylinder is excited with single-mode lock-in: the peaks of amplitude at $U_R = 2.8$, $U_R = 18$, $U_R = 56$ and $U_R = 110$ in Fig. 5 correspond to the lock-in between the wake frequency and the first, second, third and fourth modes respectively. At those values, only one frequency is excited Fig. 6. When
Figure 6: Cross-flow displacements of the cylinder tip: dominating frequencies from the present model (+) is plotted over the power spectral density (PSD) spectrogram from the Matlab code used in Ref. [38].

The deflection is large enough ($U_R > 150$), the transverse amplitudes reach a lower constant value of 0.1 (Fig. 5) and several frequencies are excited at the same time (Fig. 6). The variations in the normal flow profile along the span of the structure induces a lower and multi-frequency response compared with uniform flow, as described in Ref. [38].

The present model is capable of reproducing the same amplitudes and frequencies as in Ref. [38] up to reduced velocities as large as $U_R = 240$, validating the cross-flow VIV in large displacements and large rotations.
3. Example 3 - Validation of in-line VIV

This example aims to validate the implementation of the in-line and cross-flow wake-oscillator model against experimental results from Ref. [65] and DNS results from Ref. [31].

We study a cantilever hollow pipe of length \( \ell = 37.8 \) m, external diameter \( D = 27 \) mm and internal diameter \( D_{int} = 21 \) mm. It has pinned boundary conditions at both ends. Riser tension is constant, set to \( \Theta = 5 \) kN (even if experimental measurements show oscillations between 4 kN and 6 kN). The uniform and steady current has a velocity \( U \) and flows transverse to the pipe. The other parameters are summarized in Table 1.

A mesh analysis is performed on this example in order to estimate the number of elements needed to accurately solve both in-line and cross-flow VIV.

We then compare the numerical displacements \( \mathbf{d}_{Ne}(x, t) \) with a reference solution \( \mathbf{d}_{ref}(x, t) \) over \( Ne = 1000 \) time steps, equivalent to 20 periods of motion. The comparison is performed for different numbers of elements, \( Ne = 1000 \) being the reference value. The relative error between the displacement histories is given by:

\[
\epsilon_{Ne} = \frac{\int_0^T \int_0^L \| \mathbf{u}_{Ne}(x, t) - \mathbf{u}_{ref}(x, t) \|_1 \, dt \, dx}{\int_0^T \int_0^L \| \mathbf{u}_{ref}(x, t) \|_1 \, dt \, dx}.
\]  

Figure 7 displays the evolution of the relative error with \( Ne \). The error is inversely proportional to the square of the number of elements: \( \epsilon_{Ne} \sim 1/N_{e}^2 \). In this example, \( Ne = 100 \) is enough to have an error \( \epsilon_{Ne} < 10^{-3} \).

Numerical results are presented with the reference solution \( Ne = 1000 \) in Figure 8. The first half of the simulation is ignored in the analysis to cut out the transient. RMS values of both cross-flow and in-line displacements along the
span are compared to experimental results from Ref. [65] as well as DNS simulation from Ref. [31]. The fifth mode emerges as the dominant in-line mode in both the model and experimental data, while the third mode remains the dominant cross-flow mode in all the presented data. The symmetry of the problem about the pipe midpoint leads to a symmetric response of the pipe. This differs from the richer experimental response, underlying the complexity of the VIV process.

The maximum RMS displacement is predicted with a relative error of 0.4% for in-line and 0.2% for cross-flow compared with the experimental data. This is often a quantity of interest when assessing structural fatigue from VIV [24], well estimated by this simple numerical model. We obtain general agreement with the experimental data from Ref. [65].
4. Example 4 - Experimental validation

This example compares the model with lab experimental data obtained following the experimental methodology described in Section 2. A cylinder is submitted to a transverse flow with a constant and uniform velocity $U$ with clamped and free ends. The simulation and experimental parameters are displayed in Tab. 1. The cylinder has a length $l$, diameter $D$ and bending stiffness $EI$. Unlike previous examples, the mass ratio is lower than 1: $\mathcal{M} = 0.79$. To account for the Reynolds number effect on the drag, the constant drag coefficient $C_D^0$ follows the empirical model for a circular cross section from Ref.[12]:

$$C_D^0(Re) = 11Re^{-0.75} + 0.9 \left(1 - e^{-1000/Re}\right) + 1.2 \left(1 - e^{-(Re/4500)^0.7}\right). \quad (36)$$

Fig. 9 plots the cylinder center line in the xz-plane for increasing $UR$, providing a visual representation of the deformations.

The experimental data are compared with the numerical results. Fig. 10 displays the transverse RMS amplitudes of the tip $u_{y,rms}/D$ over the range of interest $UR = [0, 50]$. During the intermediate flow regimes between the two lock-ins, the cylinder experiences very low oscillations, with the experimental RMS amplitude dropping below 0.1 for $UR \in [8.5, 14.3]$, and after the second lock-in for $UR > 39$ [Fig. 10]. In Eq. (36) the drag coefficient decreases with the reduced velocity, which might explain the higher amplitudes observed during the second lock-in.

Fig. 11 presents the spanwise localization of the RMS transverse amplitudes obtained from simulations for varying reduced velocities. The transverse beam shapes are plotted for two different flow velocities: $UR = 4.5$ and $UR = 18$. In the first lock-in, the vibration is dominated by the first mode, while in the second lock-in, mode 2 becomes predominant. The ranges of $UR$ where the first and second lock-in occur in the simulation are coloured with pink and purple rectangles, respectively. First and second lock-ins occur on the range $UR = [1.7, 7.8]$ and $UR = [11, 50]$ respectively, with a gap in between the two lock-ins where the cylinder almost doesn’t vibrate. Fig. 12 displays the frequencies PSD of the tip transverse displacements at different reduced velocities, as obtained from both simulation and experimental data. Fig. 12 also displays the vortex shedding frequency $f_w = StU/D$ and...
Figure 10: Cross-flow RMS displacements of the cylinder tip: present model \( u_{y,rms}/D \), experimental data \( u_{y,rms}/D \). Pink and purple regions represent the mode 1 and 2 lock-in ranges, respectively, where \( u_{y,rms} < 0.2D \).

Figure 11: Spanwise localization of the RMS transverse displacement for varying \( U_R \). Beam shapes transverse displacements (–) appear for \( U_R = 4.5 \) and \( U_R = 18 \). Pink and purple regions represent the mode 1 and 2 lock-in ranges, respectively, where \( u_{y,rms} < 0.2D \).
the three first natural frequencies of the cylinder $f_1$, $f_2$, $f_3$ on horizontal dotted lines, computed with Eq. (26). All frequencies are normalized by $f_1$.

The main excited frequency in the simulation follows at first the vortex shedding frequency (Fig. 12), and a strong lock-in on $f_2$ is observed for $U_R > 12$. The first two lock-in ranges in Fig. 12(a) are dominated with a single frequency, while a multi-frequency response appears for $U_R > 45$. This multi-frequency response was observed by Leclercq and de Langre for $U_R > 150$ in Fig. 6. In a large deflection case, as the elements are closer to the tip they perceive a decreasing normal flow velocity. Thus, when moving towards the tip, the structure is excited by the wake at a decreasing frequency. This spreading of the wake excitation spectrum during large deformations is thus attributed to the variations in the spanwise profile of the normal free-stream component [38]. This feature is also captured in our model.

The proposed model effectively captures the overall physics of VIV measured experimentally. Figs 10, 12 demonstrate that the model accurately represents the tip amplitudes, frequencies and the right mode number for the first and second lock-in ranges. The observed decrease in vibration between them is also present. The relative errors on the tip transverse maximum RMS amplitude in Fig. 10 for the first and second lock-in ranges are 19.6% and 0.9%, respectively. However the second one is predicted on a wider range of $U_R$ than the experimental data. Note that the WOM empirical parameters could be optimized for this specific study to improve the prediction, but it is beyond the scope of this work.
5. Example 5 - Branching structure

This example presents an application of the model to structures with branching features and constitutes a novel contribution as it is the first time the WOM has been applied to such structures. The planar branched geometry is inspired by the gorgonian soft coral *Antillogorgia bipinnata*, which grows perpendicular to the ambient flow [54].

The materials and method are the same as in Example 4. The only difference is the structure geometry: one pair of symmetric branches with an angle of $\beta = 60^\circ$ and length $\ell_b = 0.08$ m is added to the straight cylinder. Fig. 13 displays the problem geometry. The planar structure is placed perpendicular to the flow in the initial configuration and the flow velocity is increased by steps.

The in-line displacement at all nodes is $u_x$. We define the transverse displacements of the nodes located at the tips of the trunk, the right and left branches respectively as:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^\text{trunk}_t &= u_y, \\
    u^b_1 &= \cos(\beta) u_y - \sin(\beta) u_z, \\
    u^b_2 &= \cos(\beta) u_y + \sin(\beta) u_z.
\end{align*}
\]

We keep the definition of the reduced velocity: $U_R = U \ell^2 D^{-1}(m + m_a)^{1/2}(EI)^{-1/2}$ using the initial height of the structure $\ell$.

Fig. 14 displays the coral displacements with two point of views over half a period of the motion at chosen reduced velocities: $U_{R,1} = 2.0, U_{R,2} = 6.5, U_{R,3} = 13, U_{R,4} = 19.6, U_{R,5} = 29.3, U_{R,6} = 39.9$. The in-line and cross-flow displacements RMS amplitude against $U_R$ are displayed in Fig. 15. The two branches tip RMS amplitudes are similar,
Figure 14: Shape of the structure motion at the end of the simulation for different reduced velocities. The 3D point of view is pointing towards the vector [-1 -1 1]
which is relevant with the problem symmetry. The two main peaks of the trunk tip transverse amplitude occur at $U_R \sim 2$ and $U_R \sim 20$, just as in the previous example for the cylinder. However, the two peaks are much higher: up to $0.6D$ and $1.2D$. The magnitude of the in-line displacements is comparable to that of the transverse displacements within the range $U_R \in [6 - 9]$. In order to explain these observations, we study the excited frequencies.

The spectrograms presented in Fig. 16(a,b) depict the PSD of the in-line and cross-flow displacements at the right branch tip, respectively, as a function of $U_R$. Additionally, in-line and cross-flow vortex shedding frequencies $2f_w$ and $f_w$, respectively, are presented. The spectrogram from the left branch (not displayed here) is visually indistinguishable from the right branch.

The in-line spectrogram in Fig. 16(a) presents several frequencies aligning with the $2f_w$ line for $U_R \in [5 - 10]$, exhibiting distinct jumps indicative of lock-in regions succession. The stronger lock-in regions on the doubled vortex shedding frequency is consistent with the doubled frequency model in the in-line oscillator Eq. 20. The corals shapes at these frequencies Fig. 14 $U_{R,1} = 2.0, U_{R,2} = 6.5$ indeed suggest that vibration mode transitions occur in this range. At low reduced velocities, the coral exhibits transverse vibrations without independent motion of the branches Fig. 14 $U_{R,1} = 2.0$. This first mode of vibration explains the first amplitude peak of transverse vibrations, while the in-line amplitudes remain small Fig. 15. This is consistent with the high PSD region in the cross-flow spectrogram, absent in the in-line spectrogram Fig. 16. For low reduced velocities, lock-in occurs mainly on the transverse displacements. The presence of the two branches contributes to increased lift in this vibration mode. As a result, the transverse RMS amplitudes increase from $0.47D$ for the cylinder in the previous example to $0.65D$ here.

The second peak of amplitude occurs at $U_R = 20$ where the trunk vibrates with a mode 2 Fig. 14. At $U_R = 20,$
RMS transverse amplitudes reach up to 1.2D, compared to 0.7D for the straight cylinder.

In contrast to the straight cylinder case where the natural frequencies of the structure are excited one at a time for $U_R < 45$, a notable difference is observed in the case of branched structures. Here, a multi-frequency response emerges for $U_{R,6} < 6.5$, indicating simultaneous excitation of multiple natural frequencies. By adding branches to the structure, the modal response of the structure is modified and several frequencies can be excited at the same reduced velocity, causing a multi-frequency response (Fig. 16). Increased vibrations amplitudes are observed, emphasizing the crucial role of VIV in branched structures.

Figure 16: Spectrogram of the in-line (a) and cross-flow (b) displacements at the tip of the right branch. Vertical lines (—) are plotted for $U_{R,1-6} = 2.0, 6.5, 13, 19.5, 29.3, 39.9$. Frequencies are normalized by the first bending frequency of a cylinder in water $f_1$. 
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4. Discussion and conclusion

This study aims to extend the capabilities of the WOM by developing a new formulation that accounts for coupled in-line and cross-flow VIV in branching structures. We provide a simple yet accurate tool for simulating 3D fluid-structure interaction problems. The proposed finite element corotational formulation allows to simulate arbitrarily complex beam structures, including tree-like geometries. This constitutes the main novelty of this paper since previous applications of the WOM are restricted to straight geometries such as pipes or cylinders. It also integrates the WOM and added mass effects into the corotational framework. The numerical resolution of the proposed formulation is implemented and made publicly available in the open-source FEM library ONSAS.

The present model is verified and validated through a comprehensive analysis involving four examples of a clamped-free flexible cantilever cylinder in a uniform flow.

In **Example 1**, the in-line and cross-flow VIV formulation is verified against a semi-analytical solution in small displacements. In **Example 2**, the cross-flow VIV model is validated in large displacements against existing wake-oscillator simulation results. In **Example 3**, the in-line and cross-flow VIV model is validated against experimental data from the literature. A mesh analysis is also conducted, revealing a second-order convergence. In **Example 4**, our own experimental data are compared to the model. The maximum RMS transverse amplitudes are well predicted, yet the velocity range of the second lock-in is overestimated. Eventually, two branches are added in **Example 5** and the amplitudes and frequency of the trunk and branch tips are presented. This study represents the first application of the WOM on a structure with branches.

Our analysis reveals that compliant branched structures subjected to flow exhibit a multi-frequency response with high amplitude of vibrations. The branching leads to a richer and denser spectrum of natural frequencies. We could expect soft corals and other branched structures to exhibit a rich multi-frequency dynamics.

Future work can explore the effect of the wake variable interpolation order on element accuracy. It would be valuable to validate the formulation with a fully coupled FSI study of a branched structure. Carrying a modal analysis of the branched structure can enlighten the complex interaction between the structure and the wake.

Overall, this study highlights the potential of the wake-oscillator model combined with the corotational approach for predicting VIV in complex geometries, and opens new avenues for the numerical study of the vortex-induced dynamics of branched structures and tree-like organisms.
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