

Supplemental Information

Do electrical inerties stimulate Canadian hydroelectric development? Using causal inference to scope environmental impact assessment in evolving sociotechnical systems

Amir M. Gazar^{1,2,*}, Mark E. Borsuk³, Ryan S.D. Calder^{1,2,3,4,5}

¹ Department of Population Health Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, 24061, USA

² Global Change Center, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, 24061, USA

³ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27708, USA

⁴ Faculty of Health Sciences, Virginia Tech, Roanoke, VA, 24016, USA

⁵ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, 24061, USA

* Contact: amirgazar@vt.edu.

Supporting tables

Table S1: Capacity information for transborder transmission infrastructure	3
Table S2: Summary of supplemental variables (1979-2021)	4
Table S3: Summary of BN model when using AIC scoring criterion (5-year average and lag)	9
Table S4: Summary of BN model when using AIC scoring criterion (8-year average and lag)	9
Table S5: Summary of BN model when using BIC scoring criterion (5-year average and lag) ..	10
Table S6: Summary of BN model when using BIC scoring criterion (8-year average and lag) ..	10

Supporting Figures

Figure S1: Calibrated curve for the New England/New York/Quebec intertie transmission network	2
Figure S2: Hypothesized DAG and 5- and 8-year BN model DAG from loglik scoring	6
Figure S3: 5-year and 8-year BN model DAG (AIC scoring)	7
Figure S4: 5-year and 8-year BN model DAG (BIC scoring)	8
Figure S5: 5-year model results graph for installed generation capacity	11
Figure S6: 5-year model results graph for intertie capacity	11
Figure S7: 5-year model results graph for price difference	12
Figure S8: 5-year model results graph for total investment	12
Figure S9: 5-year model results graph for exports	13
Figure S10: 5-year model results graph for installed generation capacity (BIC scoring)	13




Figure S1: Calibrated curve for the New England/New York/Quebec intertie transmission network

Table S1: Capacity information for transborder transmission infrastructure. Bolded lines had capacity reported in kV and MW and were used to calibrate the St. Clair curve except for DC lines noted with * where St. Clair curve does not apply. Unbolded lines had capacity reported in kV, and MW was calculated using St. Clair curve. Note that some of these variables are obtained from Table S2, using the updated presidential permit documents.

Docket No.	Presidential permit labeled by company name	Date issued (YYYY-MM-DD)	Capacity (kV)	Total estimated capacity (MW) using St. Clair curve
PP-11-2	Fraser Papers	1999-02-28	6.6	0
PP-11-2	Fraser Papers	1999-02-28	138	48
PP-12	Maine	1963-12-05	69	12
PP-12	Maine	1948-01-03	69	12
PP-13	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1948-01-31	38	7
PP-31	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1958-02-28	230	132
PP-190	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1958-02-28	115	19
PP-190	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1958-02-28	69	12
PP-190	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1958-02-28	69	12
PP-190	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1958-02-28	38	7
PP-190	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1958-02-28	12 (13 units)	47
PP-190	Niagara Mohawk Corporation	1998-12-22	115	19
PP-24	Long Sault	1980-06-06	115	19
PP-29	Maine Public Service	1968-03-22	138	48
PP-32	Eastern Maine	1959-02-05	69	12
PP-362	Champlain Hudson Power Express, Inc.	2014-10-06	320 DC*	1,000*
PP-43	Maine Electric	1969-07-25	345	390
PP-56	NYPA Ft Covington	1974-09-13	765	2,210
PP-66	Citizens Derby	1979-06-21	120	21
PP-74	NYPA	1980-11-24	345 (2 units)	780
PP-76	VETCO	1984-04-05	450 DC*	775*
PP-80	Citizens Vermont	1983-08-05	25 (2 units)	20
PP-82	Joint Owners of the Highgate	1985-05-14	120	21
PP-89	Bangor Hydro	1996-01-22	345	390
PP-438	NECEC Transmission LLC	2021-01-14	1,250 DC*	1,250*

Table S2: Summary of supplemental variables (1979-2021) aggregated to explore possible correlations, covariates, and confounders but not included in final causal analysis

Variable name	Units	Description	References
REVENUE	CAD year ⁻¹	Annual revenues to Hydro-Québec	Hydro-Québec (1979–2021)
PRICE_QC	\$CAD kWh ⁻¹	Annual average retail electricity prices for electricity in Quebec	Hydro-Québec (2022)
PRICE_US	\$USD kWh ⁻¹	Annual estimate of average electricity price in northeastern U.S.	U.S. Energy Information Administration (2022)
POP_US, POP_QC	millions	Population of New England - New York (POP_US) and Quebec (POP_QC)	Institut de la statistique du Québec (2022); U.S. Census Bureau (2023)
DSNW_US, DSNW_QC	days	Number of days in calendar year with snowfall \geq 25 mm at any weather station in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ² .	Lawrimore et al. (2016)
DP10_US, DP10_QC	days	Number of days with rainfall more than 2.5 mm at any weather station in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ² .	Idem
TMIN_US, TMIN_QC	°C	Average minimum temperature in calendar year. Average of the mean monthly minimum temperatures at any weather station in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ² .	Idem
TMAX_US, TMAX_QC	°C	Average maximum temperature in calendar year. Average of the mean monthly maximum temperatures at any weather station in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ² .	Idem
TAVG_US, TAVG_QC	°C	Average temperature in calendar year. Average of at any weather station in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ² .	Idem
CLDD_US, CLDD_QC	°C	Cooling Degree Days. Computed when daily average temperature is more than 18.3 degrees Celsius [CDD = mean daily temperature – 18.3 degrees Celsius]. Daily CDDs are summed to produce an annual total. Annual totals are computed based on a calendar year in Northern Hemisphere at any weather station in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ² and averaged.	Idem

¹ Weather station IDs for “_US” variables: USC00308600; USW00014605; USW00014606; USW00014607; USW00014732; USW00014733; USW00014739; USW00014742; USW00014750; USW00014755; USW00014764; USW00014771; USW00094705; USW00094725; USW00094746; USW00094765; USW00094789; USW00094790 (Lawrimore et al., 2016b).


² Weather station IDs for “_QC” variables: CA006085700; CA007014160; CA007014629; CA007015730; CA007020828; CA007020860; CA007024280; CA007060400; CA007066820; CA007080468; CA007091299; CA007091305; CA007091401; CA007091404; CA007093716; CA007093GJ3; CA007103536; CA00710S005 (Lawrimore et al., 2016b).

Table S2 (cont'd): Summary of data (1979-2021) for variables aggregated to explore correlations and possibly overlooked covariates and confounders but not included in final causal analysis


Variable name	Units	Description	References
PRCP_US, PRCP_QC	mm	Total annual precipitation averaged across weather stations in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ²	Idem
SNOW_US, SNOW_QC	mm	Total annual snowfall averaged across weather stations in the northeastern U.S. ¹ or Quebec ²	Idem

¹ Weather station IDs for “_US” variables: USC00308600; USW00014605; USW00014606; USW00014607; USW00014732; USW00014733; USW00014739; USW00014742; USW00014750; USW00014755; USW00014764; USW00014771; USW00094705; USW00094725; USW00094746; USW00094765; USW00094789; USW00094790 (Lawrimore et al., 2016b).

² Weather station IDs for “_QC” variables: CA006085700; CA007014160; CA007014629; CA007015730; CA007020828; CA007020860; CA007024280; CA007060400; CA007066820; CA007080468; CA007091299; CA007091305; CA007091401; CA007091404; CA007093716; CA007093GJ3; CA007103536; CA00710S005 (Lawrimore et al., 2016b).




(A)




(B)

Figure S2: Hypothesized DAG (Panel A) and 5- and 8-year BN model DAG from loglik scoring (Panel B). Identical model structures returned under 5- and 8-year model versions. Models are expanded versions of structures presented in Figures 2 (Panel A) and 3 (Panel B) representing lag-transformed variables separately. Grayed out links are not supported. ^a Total expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^b Box-Cox transformed variable; ^c 5-year lag of the 5 or 8-year moving average for the incremental expansion, i.e., value in year t minus value in year $t-1$; ^d Average total investment in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^e Discretized variable ("low", "medium", "high"); ^f 5 or 8-year lag of the total intertie capacity expansion/price difference in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^g Discretized variable ("non-significant", "significant"); ^h 5 or 8-year lag of the total expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ⁱ Average expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^j Discretized variable ("negative", "positive").




(A)




(B)

Figure S3: 5-year (Panel A) and 8-year (Panel B) BN model DAG (AIC scoring). Grayed out links are not supported. ^a Total expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^b Box-Cox transformed variable; ^c 5-year lag of the 5 or 8-year moving average for the incremental expansion, i.e., value in year t minus value in year $t-1$; ^d Average total investment in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^e Discretized variable ("low", "medium", "high"); ^f 5 or 8-year lag of the total intertie capacity expansion/price difference in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^g Discretized variable ("non-significant", "significant"); ^h 5 or 8-year lag of the total expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ⁱ Average expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^j Discretized variable ("negative", "positive").



(A)



(B)

Figure S4: 5-year (Panel A) and 8-year (Panel B) BN model DAG (BIC scoring). Grayed out links are not supported. ^a Total expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^b Box-Cox transformed variable; ^c 5-year lag of the 5 or 8-year moving average for the incremental expansion, i.e., value in year t minus value in year $t-1$; ^d Average total investment in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^e Discretized variable ("low", "medium", "high"); ^f 5 or 8-year lag of the total intertie capacity expansion/price difference in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^g Discretized variable ("non-significant", "significant"); ^h 5 or 8-year lag of the total expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ⁱ Average expansion in 5 or 8-year period up to year t ; ^j Discretized variable ("negative", "positive").

Table S3: Summary of BN model when using AIC scoring criterion (5-year average and lag)

Child	Parent(s)	r squared	Accuracy
INSTALLED ^{a,b}	DEMAND _{QC} ^c , INVESTMENT ^{d,e}	0.77	n/a
EXPORTS ^f	PRICE ^{f,b} , INSTALLED ^{a,b}	0.78	n/a
INVESTMENT ^{d,e}	EXPORTS ^{c,g}	n/a	0.60
PRICE ^{f,b}	DEMAND _{US} ^{h,e}	0.58	n/a

^a Total expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^b Box-Cox transformed variable

^c 5-year lag of the 5-year moving average for the incremental expansion, i.e., value in year t minus value in year $t-1$

^d Average total investment in 5-year period up to year t

^e Discretized variable ("low", "medium", "high")

^f Average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^g Discretized variable ("negative", "positive")

^h 5-year lag of the average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

Table S4: Summary of BN model when using AIC scoring criterion (8-year average and lag)

Child	Parent(s)	r squared	Accuracy
INSTALLED ^{a,b}	DEMAND _{QC} ^c , INVESTMENT ^{d,e} , PRICE ^{f,b}	0.96	n/a
EXPORTS ^g	PRICE ^{g,b} , INSTALLED ^{a,b} , INTERTIE ^{a,h}	0.92	n/a
PRICE ^{g,b}	DEMAND _{US} ^{i,e}	0.76	n/a

^a Total expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^b Box-Cox transformed variable

^c 5-year lag of the 5-year moving average for the incremental expansion, i.e., value in year t minus value in year $t-1$

^d Average total investment in 5-year period up to year t

^e Discretized variable ("low", "medium", "high")

^f 5 or 8-year lag of the total intertie capacity expansion/price difference in 5 or 8-year period up to year t

^g Average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^h Discretized variable ("non-significant", "significant")

ⁱ 5-year lag of the average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

Table S5: Summary of BN model when using BIC scoring criterion (5-year average and lag)

Child	Parent(s)	r squared	Accuracy
INSTALLED ^{a,b}	DEMAND _{QC} ^c , INTERTIE ^{d,e}	0.72	n/a
EXPORTS ^f	PRICE ^{f,b} , INSTALLED ^{a,b}	0.78	n/a
INVESTMENT ^{g,h}	EXPORTS ⁱ	n/a	0.45
PRICE ^{f,b}	DEMAND _{US} ^{g,h}	0.59	n/a

^a Total expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^b Box-Cox transformed variable

^c 5-year lag of the 5-year moving average for the incremental expansion, i.e., value in year t minus value in year $t-1$

^d 5-year lag of the average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^e Discretized variable ("non-significant", "significant")

^f Average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^g Average total investment in 5-year period up to year t

^h Discretized variable ("low", "medium", "high")

ⁱ Discretized variable ("negative", "positive")

Table S6: Summary of BN model when using BIC scoring criterion (8-year average and lag)

Child	Parent(s)	r squared	Accuracy
INSTALLED ^{a,b}	DEMAND _{QC} ^c , INTERTIE ^{d,e}	0.94	n/a
EXPORTS ^f	INSTALLED ^{a,b} , INTERTIE ^{a,e}	0.91	n/a
PRICE ^{f,b}	DEMAND _{US} ^{g,h}	0.53	n/a

^a Total expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^b Box-Cox transformed variable

^c 5-year lag of the 5-year moving average for the incremental expansion, i.e., value in year t minus value in year $t-1$

^d 5 or 8-year lag of the total intertie capacity expansion/price difference in 5 or 8-year period up to year t

^e Discretized variable ("non-significant", "significant")

^f Average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^g 5-year lag of the average expansion in 5-year period up to year t

^h Discretized variable ("low", "medium", "high")




Figure S5: 5-year model results graph for installed generation capacity; child of total investments, lagged price difference and lagged new demand in Quebec.




Figure S6: 5-year model results graph for intertie capacity; child of lagged installed generation capacity and total investments.




Figure S7: 5-year model results graph for price difference; child of average demand in the U.S.




Figure S8: 5-year model results graph for total investment; child of lagged new total exports. **A**: Negative exports, **B**: Positive exports.




Figure S9: 5-year model results graph for exports; child of total expansion of intertie capacity, installed generation capacity and price difference.




Figure S10: 5-year model results graph for installed generation capacity (BIC scoring); child of lagged intertie capacity expansion and lagged new average demand levels in Quebec.