



School of Business, Social & Decision Sciences
Constructor University Bremen

Tokenization of Production & Supply chain systems: Transformation from XaaS toward a web 3 paradigm

Omid FATAHI VALILAI

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7087-6946>

School of Business, Social & Decision Sciences
Constructor University Bremen, Bremen, Germany
Campus Ring 1, 28759, Bremen, Germany

OFatahiValilai@Constructor.University

<https://sites.google.com/view/fatahivalilai-omid/>



August 2024

Abstract

Everything as a Service paradigm has created the opportunity for production and manufacturing industries to move from a product or process-oriented model to a service-oriented model. The Service oriented paradigm called Cloud production and manufacturing systems is one the enablers of Industry 4.0 paradigm. For the realization of the distributed manufacturing and logistics serviced in the form of global service perspective, an evolution roadmap is proposed which considers three perspectives. The first perspective has contributed to platforms and architectures for globalized service-oriented production and manufacturing systems. The research conducted on this theme contributed to the integration and interoperability of stakeholders and processes to enable the fulfillment of services. The second perspective has elaborated the idea of Cloud production and manufacturing systems by encompassing transportation and logistics as service paradigm. The main contributions of the research studies in this theme can be interpreted as mutual and synergic production and transportation service alignment. Moreover, the research studies have contributed to the development of operations research (OR) models for Cloud service network management and planning. The third perspective has extended and contributed to the application of Blockchain and emerging Web 3 technologies in Cloud manufacturing networks. These research studies have accomplished the early steps for improving the effectiveness of the second perspective and have also provided an insight to the perspective of share economy and tokenization models in service-oriented networks. The studies emphasize the potential and capabilities of Web 3 for establishment of new business models in this network. The convergence of the research perspectives concentrates on more realization of service-oriented production and supply networks. The globalized interaction frameworks which operate with autonomous structures capable of resembling the preferences of Cloud stakeholders. From the point of view of sustainability pillars high research potential can be of investigated. Moreover, the thesis proposes the future studies with motivation of more globalized, sustainable, and autonomous Cloud networks in form of tokenization paradigm.

Keywords Cloud production and manufacturing systems, service-oriented network, Globalized supply network, Blockchain, Web 3.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	5
List of Abbreviations	6
1. Introduction.....	7
1.1. Research roadmap	7
1.1.1. XaaS paradigm realization in production and manufacturing business models.....	8
1.1.2. Service oriented manufacturing & Supply chain networks with transportation integrated models.	9
1.1.3. Cloud Manufacturing Paradigm & Web 3 paradigm.	9
1.2. Timeline of research studies.....	10
2. XaaS paradigm realization in production and manufacturing business models.....	11
2.1. Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration	12
2.1.1. Summary	12
2.1.2. Bibliography information.....	12
2.1.3. Author contributions and roles.....	12
2.2. A novel digital dentistry platform based on cloud manufacturing paradigm	13
2.2.1. Summary	13
2.2.2. Bibliography information.....	13
2.2.3. Author contributions and roles.....	13
3. Service oriented manufacturing & Supply chain networks with transportation integrated models.....	14
3.1. Mutual manufacturing service selection and routing problem considering customer clustering in Cloud manufacturing	15
3.1.1. Summary	15
3.1.2. Bibliography information.....	15
3.1.3. Author contributions and roles.....	15
3.2. Alternative Process Routing and Consolidated Production-Distribution Planning with a Destination Oriented Strategy in Cloud manufacturing	16
3.2.1. Summary	16
3.2.2. Bibliography information.....	16
3.2.3. Author contributions and roles.....	16
3.3. Dynamic mutual manufacturing and transportation routing service selection for cloud manufacturing with multi-period service-demand matching	17
3.3.1. Summary	17
3.3.2. Bibliography information.....	17

3.3.3. Author contributions and roles.....	17
4. Cloud Manufacturing Paradigm & Web 3 paradigm	18
4.1. A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology ..	19
4.1.1. Summary	19
4.1.2. Bibliography information.....	19
4.1.3. Author contributions and roles.....	19
4.2. Blockchain based Cloud Manufacturing Platforms; A novel idea for service composition in XaaS paradigm	20
4.2.1. Summary	20
4.2.2. Bibliography information.....	20
4.2.3. Author contributions and roles.....	20
4.3. Blockchain-Based Architecture for a Sustainable Supply Chain in Cloud Architecture	21
4.3.1. Summary	21
4.3.2. Bibliography information.....	21
4.3.3. Author contributions and roles.....	21
5. Conclusions	22
6. Acknowledgement	24
7. Index list	25
8. Author list	26
References.....	27

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. The roadmap of the thesis research contributions.	8
Figure 2-1. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.1177/0954405414546706.	12
Figure 2-9. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170.	13
Figure 3-1. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056.	15
Figure 3-10. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459.	16
Figure 3-18. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.461.	17
Figure 4-1. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507.	19
Figure 4-11. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.743.	20
Figure 4-28. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: 10.3390/su15119072.....	21

List of Abbreviations

3PL	Third-Party Logistics
AD	Axiomatic Design
AP	Assignment Problem
APR	Alternative Process Routing
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CAM	Computer Aided Manufacturing
CIM	Computer Integrated Manufacturing
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network
DA	Data Analytics
DApps	Decentralized Application
DICOM	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
DLT	Distributed Ledger Technology
DT	Digital Twins
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning
EVM	Ethereum Virtual Machine
IoT	Internet of Things
IT	Information Technology
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
MCOCS	Multi Criteria Optimization and Compromise Solution
MES	Manufacturing Execution System
ML	Machine Learning
MOM	Manufacturing Operation Planning & Management
OPC	Operation Process Chart
OR	Operations Research
PACS	Picture Archiving and Communication System
PLM	Product Lifecycle Management
PoA	Proof of Activity
PoW	Proof of Work
PoS	proof of Stake
CPDDN	Production-Distribution toward task Destination Nodes
QoS	Quality of Service
SCM	Supply Chain Management
SME	Small and Medium Enterprises
SOM	Service Oriented Manufacturing
VRP	Vehicle routing problem
XaaS	Everything as a Service

1. Introduction

Cloud manufacturing as a service oriented manufacturing paradigm has been introduced around one decade ago [1], [2], [3]. This paradigm introduced a XaaS (Everything as a Service) idea for production and manufacturing systems [4], [5]. This trend proposed new insights for transformation of manufacturing and production systems from “Product Oriented” [6], [7], [8] and “Process Oriented” [9], [10] to service oriented business models [11]. Through the last decades, there were various research orientations for the realization of Cloud manufacturing paradigm specially from point view of Industry 4.0 paradigm [12], [13]. This paradigm has the potential of shaping the globalized network of production and logistics service providers [14]. However this network creates complexities from point view of operations management [15], [16], inclusion of logistics [11], [17], [18] and global seamless communication of data and information [19], [20].

The realization of XaaS requires a transformation strategy for enabling the service-oriented production and manufacturing business models [6] [16]. Moreover, considering the globalized and network-based model of collaboration among the stakeholders, efficient IT (Information Technology) tools are required to support the operations management perspectives [21], [22] [23]. These tools should support decentralized mechanisms for handling the interactions among stakeholders. One of the recent paradigms for decentralized interactions is known to be Blockchain technology under the umbrella of Web 3 [24], [25]. This can shape a Tokenization ecosystem in which service-oriented interactions are handled autonomously and with a decentralized approach helping the more effective interaction management among stakeholders with a globalization characteristic [26] [27].

To achieve this transformation, this habilitation research suggests the realization of service-oriented production and supply chain systems [28] [29]. Shaping the network model of interactions, the thesis will suggest the realization of Blockchain technology to shape the tokenization model [30] [31] [32]. The requirements and trends of digital transformation for developing the Tokenization oriented model for production and supply chain networks will be investigated [33] [34].

The main objectives targeted to be fulfilled for tokenization of production and supply chain networks in this thesis can be categorized as:

- The transformational aspects of production and supply chain systems and their supply chains within the XaaS paradigm from points view of business models and operations management.
- The frameworks for mutual production operations and logistics services planning for XaaS paradigm.
- The decentralization of interaction management for production and supply chain networks by analysis of Web 3 capabilities.

1.1. Research roadmap

In this section, the thesis highlights the roadmap for analysis of the contributions to fulfill the proposed objectives. This roadmap has been illustrated in Figure 1-1 and demonstrates the connection of the research studies and the timeline. The roadmap highlights three perspectives which have been designed with interconnection to insure the transformation of XaaS architectures towards Web 3 enabled architecture.




Figure 1-1. The roadmap of the thesis research contributions.

The roadmap includes the following perspectives:

1.1.1. XaaS paradigm realization in production and manufacturing business models

The thesis starts with contributions which enable architecture and frameworks for realization of service oriented models in manufacturing and production systems. The main contributions in this theme focus on the required Information Technology (IT) features in terms of computational and communicational technologies to enhance the information exchange through different disciplines and layers of production and manufacturing functionalities. The XaaS should be realized both through digital transformation of business models aligned with service-oriented approach and also should be equipped with operations research models for Manufacturing Operation Planning & Management (MOM). Also, the innovative technologies referred in Industry 4.0 paradigm like 3D printing and their roles in realization of service-oriented production and manufacturing systems should be elaborated. For this perspective, two research contributions conducted which are:

- “*Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration*”, Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B J. Eng. Manuf., Bd. 229, Nr. 12, S. 2216–2237, Dez. 2015, doi: [10.1177/0954405414546706](https://doi.org/10.1177/0954405414546706) [35].

This research contributes to the realization of Cloud manufacturing and XaaS for 3D printing. The contributions focus on integrated design, process planning and fabrication with a service-oriented structure.

- “*A novel digital dentistry platform based on cloud manufacturing paradigm*”, Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf., Bd. 32, Nr. 11, S. 1024–1042, Nov. 2019, doi: [10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170](https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170) [36].

This research elaborates the Cloud manufacturing and XaaS theoretical and practical solution for digital dentistry. This highlights an application domain example for transforming a traditional value chain of design, process planning and fabrication for an XaaS orientation.

1.1.2. Service oriented manufacturing & Supply chain networks with transportation integrated models.

Considering the research studies which emphasize the realization of service-oriented manufacturing systems, the necessity of considering logistics and transportation in manufacturing operation service models for shaping the globalized supply chain networks will be inevitable. This is essential as due to the nature of service-oriented models; globally distributed manufacturing facilities will be enabled which accomplish the production operations through their available services. The proposal of models for facilitation of transportation and logistics services and their integration in terms of mutual production and manufacturing service and transportation service matching will be essential to promote the service-oriented paradigm to a wider area including the Supply Chain Management (SCM) interaction level. These studies also focus on Operations Research (OR) perspective of service-oriented networks. The contribution for targeting the operations management perspectives of the models and facilitation of practical aspects of service definition, composition, and matching will be discussed. For this perspective, three research contributions conducted which are:

- “*Mutual manufacturing service selection and routing problem considering customer clustering in Cloud manufacturing*”, *Prod. Manuf. Res.*, Bd. 6, Nr. 1, S. 345–363, Jan. 2018, doi: [10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056](https://doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056) [37].

This research contributes to consideration of manufacturing and logistics services for service composition problem. The research emphasizes the effects of customer locations and selects the required manufacturing services with focus logistics related costs.

- “*Alternative process routing and consolidated production-distribution planning with a destination-oriented strategy in cloud manufacturing*”, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 34, Nr. 11, S. 1162–1176, Sep. 2021, doi: [10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459](https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459) [38].

This research contributes to distribution and transportation services in Cloud manufacturing paradigm. The optimization of the service composition is considered to enable cost efficient and reliable fulfilment of services while fulfilling the required QoS (Quality of Service).

- “*Dynamic mutual manufacturing and transportation routing service selection for cloud manufacturing with multi-period service-demand matching*”, *PeerJ Comput. Sci.*, Bd. 7, S. e461, Apr. 2021, doi: [10.7717/peerj-cs.461](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.461) [18].

This research contributes to enabling the service composition for realistic dynamic characteristics of Cloud manufacturing and transportation systems. The complexity of multiple service providers for both manufacturing and transportation systems are addressed. It enables an optimization model for distribution of manufacturing and transportation services over the globe and analysis the complexity in XaaS system in a cloud network.

1.1.3. Cloud Manufacturing Paradigm & Web 3 paradigm.

This perspective has been focused on the complexities for globalized Cloud manufacturing service composition and the solutions for finding decentralized methods for handling the Cloud manufacturing and supply chain networks. So, this perspective is focused on the application of Web 3 (also called Blockchain technology) in service-oriented paradigm of production and manufacturing systems. The main motivations of contributions in this theme will solve the problems of service-oriented production and manufacturing operation planning and management from a global perspective. As the operations research aspects of the Cloud

manufacturing systems are known to be categorized as NP-hard [14], [39], [40], [41] problem which introduces challenges for time efficient solution providing. On the other hand, considering the global collaboration among different firms together, the centralized operations management paradigm would not be an efficient solution. The contributions in this theme will elaborate the capabilities of technologies in Web 3 and Blockchain for efficient realization of Cloud production networks. For this perspective, three research contributions conducted which are:

- “A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology”, Int. J. Prod. Res., Bd. 58, Nr. 17, S. 5280–5298, Sep. 2020, doi: [10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507) [39].

This contribution focuses on enabling the service composition problem through Blockchain architecture. The decentralization method for creating the clusters of services and demands has been elaborated. Moreover, the performance of the Blockchain based model in comparison with traditional state of the art model has been discussed.

- “Blockchain-based cloud manufacturing platforms: A novel idea for service composition in XaaS paradigm”, PeerJ Comput. Sci., Bd. 7, S. e743, Dez. 2021, doi: [10.7717/peerj-cs.743](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.743) [42].

This contribution enables a mapping between XaaS service composition framework and Blockchain enabled service matching model. This contribution discusses the possibility of defining new consensus mechanisms with a focus on the optimality of service matching model. The details about the motivation-related mechanisms for service matchers have also been discussed.

- “Blockchain-Based Architecture for a Sustainable Supply Chain in Cloud Architecture”, Sustainability, Bd. 15, Nr. 11, Art. Nr. 11, Jan. 2023, doi: [10.3390/su15119072](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15119072) [43].

This research contributes more to the idea of Blockchain based architecture for Cloud manufacturing systems. Moreover, the research proposes the idea of tokenization of model for inclusion of sustainability perspectives for composition and creation of Cloud manufacturing networks.

1.2. Timeline of research studies

As illustrated in Figure 1-1 the research studies timeline started from 2015 with focus on the first perspective “**XaaS paradigm realization in production and manufacturing business models**” and continued for almost 4 years and preparing the grounds for second perspective. The second perspective of research “**Service oriented manufacturing & Supply chain networks with transportation integrated models.**” started from 2018 and elaborated models for inclusion of transportation and logistics. The last perspective “**Cloud Manufacturing Paradigm & Web 3 paradigm.**” started from 2020 and continued for almost 3 years until 2023.

2. XaaS paradigm realization in production and manufacturing business models

As mentioned earlier, this theme contributes to the research studies which have proposed frameworks and architectures to enable the service-oriented production and manufacturing paradigm. Using the Industry 4.0 paradigm and its related emerging technologies, it was mainly intended to elaborate the functional frameworks in which the realization of the service-oriented production and manufacturing systems would be possible. These architectures contribute to enable the interoperability and integrity of data and information among collaborating firms in production and supply chain networks. Also, the issue of application of emerging technologies and their applications from a global perspective has been focused.

2.1. Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration

2.1.1. Summary

This paper has considered globalization as one of the requirements of Cloud manufacturing and considered the additive manufacturing potentials and its application trends in Industry 4.0 paradigm besides its characteristics for fulfilling the quick design to manufacturing were investigated. The research has discussed the requirements and necessities of additive manufacturing with respect to Cloud manufacturing and globalized production systems. Two major requirements were demonstrated as the integration of manufacturing operations and enabling collaboration through the distributed manufacturing service providers. A framework for enabling additive manufacturing in the global paradigm was introduced. The framework applied the Cloud manufacturing paradigm for considering the 3D printing services through the network for communication of Computer Aided Design (CAD) and Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM) data among distributed firms. The integration of processes for transition of data from design to fabrication phase over the globe has been discussed and a framework was proposed by the study.

2.1.2. Bibliography information

O. Fatahi Valilai* und M. Houshmand, “*Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration*”, Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B J. Eng. Manuf., Bd. 229, Nr. 12, S. 2216–2237, Dez. 2015, doi: [10.1177/0954405414546706](https://doi.org/10.1177/0954405414546706) [35].

2.1.3. Author contributions and roles

Please find CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “*Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration*”, Published in , **Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture**, <http://doi.org/10.1177/0954405414546706>.





	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	Corresponding author	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Visualization, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Validation	
2.	Houshmand, Mahmoud	Co-Author	Supervision, co-writing original draft and revising the paper, Validation	

Figure 2-1. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.1177/0954405414546706](https://doi.org/10.1177/0954405414546706).

2.2. A novel digital dentistry platform based on cloud manufacturing paradigm

2.2.1. Summary

While the main aspects of Cloud based production and manufacturing systems were elaborated through research and studies, there have been opportunities to propose new business models with the application of the service oriented and Industry 4.0 based production and manufacturing systems. From one point of view, the service-oriented perspectives of production and manufacturing systems enabled the offer of services for fabrications of prosthetics or oral scanning services for CAD files and from other perspectives technologies like 3D printing and their capabilities for rapid and agile fabrication of customized products. The research proposed the digital dentistry paradigm and elaborated the digital dental workflow in terms of phases including scan, design, production planning and fabrication. In each phase the application of a variety of software solutions and digital technologies was investigated. The service-oriented approach in terms of intensive collaboration of dentists, dental laboratories, imaging, and production centers were discussed. The facilitation of interoperability among digital components was also considered and the application of Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) standard for integration of data was suggested. Moreover, the application Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS) concept for fulfilling the design, implant planning, process planning, and production workstations was considered. This study can be considered as one of the transformation production business models which benefit from the service-oriented production network.

2.2.2. Bibliography information

S. Valizadeh, **O. Fatahi Valilai***, M. Houshmand, und Z. Vasegh, “A novel digital dentistry platform based on cloud manufacturing paradigm”, Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf., Bd. 32, Nr. 11, S. 1024–1042, Nov. 2019, doi: [10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170](https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170) [36].

2.2.3. Author contributions and roles

Please find CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “A Novel Digital Dentistry Platform Based on Cloud Manufacturing Paradigm”, Published in **International Journal of Computer-Integrated Manufacturing**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170>.



	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Valizadeh, Siavash	Ph.D. Candidate	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Visualization, Investigation.	
2.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	Co-Supervisor Corresponding author	Data curation, Methodology, co-writing and revising the paper, Validation	
3.	Houshmand, Mahmoud	Supervisor	Supervision, co-writing original draft, Validation	
4.	Vasegh, Zahra	Consulting advisor	Supervision, Validation	

Figure 2-2. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170](https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170).

3. Service oriented manufacturing & Supply chain networks with transportation integrated models

The realization of Cloud based production and manufacturing systems insists on consideration of whole production and manufacturing processes as available services distributed over the globe. Although the composition of the services for fulfilling the requirements of production operation provides great opportunities for different business models, the distribution of operation service providers requires the consideration of transportation and logistics among the operation service providers. This theme encompasses all the research studies which contributed to the form of transportation and logistics models and integrating them into service-oriented Cloud production and manufacturing systems. Special focus also has been devoted to the integrity of process and information from supply chain management perspectives. This includes considerations for the raw material procurement and the inbound logistic operations as well as distribution and dispatching operation for the last delivery of produced products to the end consumers and their effects on the management and planning of fabrication services.

Moreover, this theme of the research studies focused on operations research aspects of XaaS production and manufacturing systems. The main pillars of contributions are related to mathematical models for encompassing more realistic Cloud based ecosystems operational models. The main challenges for Cloud production and manufacturing systems are due to the global perspective of the model which with the NP-hard nature of these problems which necessitate the effective solving approaches for practical aspects. So, the studies in this theme are contributing to both mathematical model structure and the solving approaches.

3.1. Mutual manufacturing service selection and routing problem considering customer clustering in Cloud manufacturing

3.1.1. Summary

This study focused on the mathematical models for operation and transportation service assignment problems using the operation process chart (OPC) of product for efficient transportation service assignment in Cloud manufacturing. The structure of mathematical model fulfils the clustering of customers for product delivery for shared logistic aspects. The possibility of using shared logistics services for cluster of operations services is considered. The objective function considers the profit in terms of sales benefits and the operation and transportation costs. The dependencies among the operation service fulfilment and also the sequences of the operation service fulfillment were also embedded thought the model structure. The model was developed with linear structure for efficient solving time and was solved in large scale sample cases with genetic metaheuristic algorithm. This study has contributed to the service composition models with customer orientation service composition which highly decrease the transportation costs for global manufacturing. The study considers single-product supply network in Cloud manufacturing. The service selection model benefits from the layered structure of transportation and production services with clustered.

3.1.2. Bibliography information

M. Assari, J. Delaram, und **O. Fatahi Valilai***, M. Houshmand, und Z. Vasegh, “*Mutual manufacturing service selection and routing problem considering customer clustering in Cloud manufacturing*”, *Prod. Manuf. Res.*, Bd. 6, Nr. 1, S. 345–363, Jan. 2018, doi: [10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056](https://doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056) [37].

3.1.3. Author contributions and roles

Please find CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “Mutual Manufacturing Service Selection and Routing Problem Considering Customer Clustering in Cloud Manufacturing”, Published in **Production & Manufacturing Research Journal**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056>.



	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Assari, Mozghan	M.Sc. Student	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Visualization, Investigation	
2.	Delaram, Jalal	M.Sc. graduate	Methodology, Writing original paper, Investigation.	
3.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	M.Sc. Supervisor, Corresponding author	Supervision, Data curation, Methodology, co-writing and revising the paper, Validation	

Figure 3-1. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056](https://doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056).

3.2. Alternative Process Routing and Consolidated Production-Distribution Planning with a Destination Oriented Strategy in Cloud manufacturing

3.2.1. Summary

To progress the mutual transportation and manufacturing service orientation, this study extended the ideas in terms of using the operations services with a strategy to produce the final product near to the final customer delivery location. So, the operation services can be selected with orientations for geographical locations near to their target delivery locations. This enables the paradigm of Cloud manufacturing to overcome the crises for transportation more efficiently by using distributed manufacturing resources while using the opportunities to decrease the logistics and production costs. The study proposed a structure model for decomposing ordered tasks to several subtasks and then considering them as services which should be allocated to distributed manufacturing resources. From the implementation aspects, the paper also developed an operational planning model for evaluation of Cloud manufacturing performance. The proposed decomposition models for services created the alternative process routing concept. So, the decomposition of operation tasks to several manufacturing plans enabled the flexibility of Cloud system for proper service assignment which was offered as consolidated production-distribution toward destination nodes strategy.

3.2.2. Bibliography information

M. Zeynivand, H. Ranjbar, S.-A. Radmanesh, und **O. Fatahi Valilai***, “Alternative process routing and consolidated production-distribution planning with a destination-oriented strategy in cloud manufacturing”, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 34, Nr. 11, S. 1162–1176, Sep. 2021, doi: [10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459](https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459) [38].

3.2.3. Author contributions and roles

Please find CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “Alternative Process Routing and Consolidated Production-Distribution Planning with a Destination Oriented Strategy in Cloud manufacturing” Published in **International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing**, <http://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459>.



	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Zeynivand, Mehdi	Ph.D. student	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Visualization, Investigation	Zeynivand. M
2.	Ranjbar, Hossein	Researcher		H. Ranjbar
3.	Radmanesh, Seyyed-Alireza	Ph.D. student		
4.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	Co-Supervisor, Corresponding author	Supervision, Data curation, Methodology, co-writing and revising the paper, Validation	

Figure 3-2. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459](https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459).

3.3. Dynamic mutual manufacturing and transportation routing service selection for cloud manufacturing with multi-period service-demand matching

3.3.1. Summary

To elaborate the realization of mutual transportation and manufacturing operation service management, this research study focused on the dynamic characteristics of service providers in the Cloud. The main idea was the possibility of participation of manufacturing firms and logistics service providers together in Cloud ecosystems aligned with XaaS paradigm. The research study focused on efficient service composition both for including mutual operation and transportation services and their effects on each other in Cloud manufacturing paradigm. The challenges were investigated to be the service composition complexity due to large size and complicated dynamic characteristics for continually receiving service requests. The study proposed a model for fulfilling the Quality of Service (QoS) requirements for service composition. The study also considered NP-hard characteristics besides the dynamicity of the allocation problem in the Cloud composition problem and contributed to the practical aspects of solving the model time-efficient approach. The results demonstrated the capabilities of the model for service composition with dynamic behavior of manufacturing and logistics service composition for possibility of including arrival of new services and demands into the Cloud.

3.3.2. Bibliography information

S. A. S. Aghili, O. Fatahi Valilai*, A. Haji, und M. Khalilzadeh, ““Dynamic mutual manufacturing and transportation routing service selection for cloud manufacturing with multi-period service-demand matching”, *PeerJ Comput. Sci.*, Bd. 7, S. e461, Apr. 2021, doi: [10.7717/peerj-cs.461](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.461) [18].

3.3.3. Author contributions and roles

Please find CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “Dynamic mutual manufacturing and transportation routing service selection for cloud manufacturing with multi-period service-demand matching”
Published in *PeerJ Computer Science*, <http://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.461>.



	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Sadeghi Aghili, Seyed Ali	Ph.D. Candidate	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Visualization, Investigation.	
2.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	Supervisor, Corresponding author	Supervision, Data curation, Methodology, co-writing and revising the paper, Validation	
3.	Haji, Alireza	Co-Supervisor	Supervision, Validation	
4.	Khalilzadeh, Mohammad	Consulting Advisor	Validation	

Figure 3-3. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.7717/peerj-cs.461](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.461).

4. Cloud Manufacturing Paradigm & Web 3 paradigm

The third perspective of the research studies would focus on one of the disruptive technologies of recent years known as Web 3 or Blockchain technology. The main motivation behind this theme can be interpreted due to the globalized characteristics of Cloud production and manufacturing networks. While the globalized network of service providers and demanders encompass various individuals and firms to collaborate, most of the research studies of former themes consider centralized and inhouse architecture and framework besides operation research models. Moreover, the globalized structure of Cloud networks results in large scale problem size in terms of operations research which would be a great challenge as operations research models of Cloud networks are NP-hard and will face great problems for proper and optimal policy making in agile and dynamic context. So, the idea of decentralized mechanisms for both frameworks and architectures fulfilling the interactions in Cloud ecosystems and also operations research models for optimal and effective service have been strongly focused on application of decentralized technologies. Over the last four years, this theme of studies has been established with a special focus on Blockchain and Web 3 technologies. The capabilities of these technologies for enabling decentralized network and enabling seamless interaction among stakeholders can be highly used for fulfilling the former research themes in terms of globalized scale.

4.1. A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology

4.1.1. Summary

This study established the first application of Blockchain technology among the research in this theme. In this study the inefficiency of service matching models for Cloud production systems were discussed. Considering the requirements of globalized collaboration of stakeholders in this ecosystem, supporting large pools of service providers and Service demanders are inevitable. The study introduced the dynamic behavior of parameters in Cloud networks and challenged the centralized mechanism of service matching problem. A novel platform entitled Blockchain-based service composition model (Block-SC) was based on the Blockchain technology. The proposed model had the capabilities for dividing the original service matching problem into multiple sub-problems each of which contains a small fraction of the service/task pool. The workflow of Blockchain based architecture in terms of Service providers and demanders and introduced solvers was introduced and rewarding mechanisms for encouraging the optimality of solutions in the platform was described. The capabilities of the proposed platform were remarkable for providing an effective mechanism for collaboration of service matching in the service-oriented paradigm and also the optimality of service composition problem.

4.1.2. Bibliography information

E. Aghamohammadzadeh und **O. Fatahi Valilai***, “A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology”, Int. J. Prod. Res., Bd. 58, Nr. 17, S. 5280–5298, Sep. 2020, doi: [10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507) [39].

4.1.3. Author contributions and roles

Please find CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “A Novel Cloud Manufacturing Service Composition Platform Enabled by Blockchain Technology” Published in **International Journal of Production Research**, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507>.



	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Aghamohammadzadeh, Ehsan	M.Sc. Graduate	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Visualization, Investigation	
2.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	M.Sc. Supervisor, Corresponding author	Supervision, Data curation, Methodology, co-writing and revising the paper, Validation	

Figure 4-1. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507).

4.2. Blockchain based Cloud Manufacturing Platforms; A novel idea for service composition in XaaS paradigm

4.2.1. Summary

The capabilities of Blockchain solution for globalized scale of Cloud network were found so promising and the research studies continued for developing and extending the framework of Blockchain technology. The study has elaborated the service-oriented architecture for service composition and allocation to demanders with its dynamic behavior. While criticizing the centralized global optimization models, a distributed deployment of the globalized service matching was proposed. The study mapped the required component in Blockchain platform to the service matching model in terms of service matchings as transactions in the Blockchain and the feasibility of provided solution in terms of consensus mechanism. The concept of Proof of Work (PoW) was also elaborated in terms of the endeavor for proposing the proper service matching. The resulted service matching structure highly increased the response time and improve the overall optimality of supply-demand matching. Although the Blockchain solution was considered as a nonglobal optimization technique, by using the reward mechanisms, the proposed solution was in between 15.14% to 34.8% better for reduction in costs and 20% to 68.4% better solving time. This study encouraged the Blockchain capabilities for enabling effective service processing mechanisms in globalized Cloud manufacturing networks.

4.2.2. Bibliography information

S.-A. Radmanesh, A. Haji, und **O. Fatahi Valilai***, “Blockchain-based cloud manufacturing platforms: A novel idea for service composition in XaaS paradigm”, PeerJ Comput. Sci., Bd. 7, S. e743, Dez. 2021, doi: [10.7717/peerj-cs.743](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.743) [42].

4.2.3. Author contributions and roles

Please find CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “Blockchain based Cloud Manufacturing Platforms; A novel idea for service composition in XaaS paradigm” Published in PeerJ Computer Science, PeerJ Computer Science, <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.743>.



	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Radmanesh, Seyyed-Alireza	Ph.D. Candidate	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Visualization, Investigation.	
2.	Haji, Alireza	Supervisor	Supervision, Validation	
3.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	Co-Supervisor, Corresponding author	Supervision, Data curation, Methodology, co-writing and revising the paper, Validation	

Figure 4-2. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.7717/peerj-cs.743](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.743).

4.3. Blockchain-Based Architecture for a Sustainable Supply Chain in Cloud Architecture

4.3.1. Summary

This study continued the application of Blockchain based solutions in Cloud network of service providers by elaborating the service matching. The study considered the wide range service types like transportation service network suggested by former studies [58] [59]. The study also targeted the sustainability perspective in terms of carbon-di-oxide (CO₂) emissions control. The proposed platform elaborated the Blockchain's capabilities such as consensus mechanism in form of Proof of Stake (PoS); smart contracts; and solvers roles for best possible service matching scenarios and encryption mechanism for data access security. The reward function encouraged the solvers for service matchings which fulfilled the sustainability perspective with priority. The study encouraged the role of matching services in the ecosystem as a new form of obtainable service besides service providers and demanders. This study has considered the globalized characteristics of transportation services and the challenges for optimal matching. Components of this Blockchain based model like the smart contract mechanisms for managing the financial interaction of service demanders and providers and the related claim management structure and the Decentralized Application (DApps) for enabling the flexibility of service network have been discussed. This study encouraged the idea of developing a new role in service-oriented Clouds for service organization and matching which resembles the tokenization model in shared economy perspectives.

4.3.2. Bibliography information

S.-A. Radmanesh, A. Haji, und **O. Fatahi Valilai***, ““Blockchain-Based Architecture for a Sustainable Supply Chain in Cloud Architecture”, *Sustainability*, Bd. 15, Nr. 11, Art. Nr. 11, Jan. 2023, doi: [10.3390/su15119072](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15119072) [43].

4.3.3. Author contributions and roles

This is the CRediT author statement for our paper entitled “Blockchain-Based Architecture for a Sustainable Supply Chain in Cloud Architecture” Published in **Sustainability** 2023, 15, 9072. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15119072>.



	Author	Description	CRediT Statement	Signature
1.	Radmanesh, Seyyed-Alireza	Ph.D. Candidate	Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing original paper, Data curation, Visualization, Investigation.	
2.	Haji, Alireza	Ph.D. Co-Advisor	co-writing and revising the paper, Validation	
3.	Fatahi Valilai, Omid	Ph.D. Advisor, Corresponding author	Conceptualization, Supervision, Formal analysis, Data curation, Methodology, co-writing and revising the paper, Validation, Resources, Project administration	

Figure 4-3. CRediT certificates for research contribution with doi: [10.3390/su15119072](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15119072).

5. Conclusions

The paradigm of Cloud production and manufacturing systems can be considered as one of the most dominant enablers of Industry 4.0 paradigm. The emphasis of this paradigm for realization of service-oriented production and manufacturing systems has established new perspectives for manufacturing business models toward globalized and distributed orientations. Using other emerging technologies in Industry 4.0 like Digital Twins (DT), Internet of Things (IoT) and Data Analytics (DA) has empowered more this paradigm in terms of global interactions of processes, data and knowledge in the Cloud ecosystems.

The analysis of the research studies in first perspective “XaaS paradigm realization in production and manufacturing business models” demonstrates the different aspects of improving the production and manufacturing systems for service-oriented paradigm adoption. The studies are aligned with the motivations in Industry 4.0 in terms of benefiting from digital transformation technologies. The most important aspects in this theme can be interpreted as the fulfilment of challenges which were affecting the process and data integration and interoperability for globally distributed production and manufacturing service providers. The studies in this area shall be continued with a special focus for enabling the integration of knowledge models among the service providers. The proposal of service providers for facilitation of interoperable frameworks would of other interesting further research topics in this theme. This research theme requires to be continued with a focus on knowledge interoperability in autonomous form for future research plans.

The analysis of the research studies in second perspective “Service oriented manufacturing & Supply chain networks with transportation integrated models.” demonstrates the aspects of transportation and logistics service evolvement in Cloud production and manufacturing systems. The studies emphasized the importance of service-oriented transportation for XaaS production systems. While the first theme of research studies was more focusing on shopfloor aspects of material processing Cloud ecosystems, the second theme has concentrated on the efficient models of transportation in global manufacturing. The studies have tried to open new paradigms of Industry 4.0 technologies like drone technologies and social media data analytics. This theme of research studies is an essential key factor for successful Cloud based production systems which benefit from distributed services over the globe and keep their performance criteria in terms of transportation costs and challenges. The studies in this area shall be continued with a special focus for enabling more efficient transportation service composition models and alignment with sustainability perspectives of transportation paradigm. It is worth mentioning that as stated earlier, the overlap of the research studies in the second theme with other themes is inevitable as for practical aspects operations research models were also developed. However, the focus of these research studies was mostly toward the service-oriented frameworks for transportation aligned with second theme focus. This research theme requires to be more elaborated to encompass whole supply chain process integration in the form of as a service structure. Especially focus for share economy of transportation services and focus on sustainability perspectives will highlight the future studies in this theme.

Moreover, mathematical models for service-oriented production and manufacturing system operation management and planning. While in two former themes, the elaboration of architectural framework for realization of Cloud manufacturing and transportation systems were focused, in this theme the operational aspects of service definition, allocation scheduling and matching were investigated. It can be emphasized on the importance of service planning in Cloud ecosystems. While one part of the research studies was concentrating on private Clouds and tried to offer competitive advances for better operation management in comparison with conventional production and manufacturing systems, the second part has proposed the concept of public Cloud networks. The possibility of matching the services and demands and the design of effective strategies for service providers and demanders would be fundamental of public Cloud service-oriented production and manufacturing networks. The studies on this theme shall be continued with a perspective of sustainable Cloud supply networks and

effective mathematical models which can provide near to optimum solutions with agility and encompass the tradeoff of stakeholders' preferences through model components.

The analysis of the studies in third perspective "Cloud Manufacturing Paradigm & Web 3 paradigm." shows the promising future research studies for Blockchain application in Cloud production and manufacturing networks. Most of the challenges expressed in former perspectives have been approached and effective solutions have been provided. The challenges regarding the globalized and large-scale model of interactions in Cloud ecosystems can be efficiently treated by Blockchain based models. The main challenge would be the mapping of the Cloud production and manufacturing networks to Blockchain architectures. The consensus mechanisms and reward function definition which help the effective processing of distributed interactions in the Cloud networks are of the most important issues in this research theme. The research theme proposes the establishment of complementary and supportive services in Cloud ecosystems in form of tokenized services which facilitate the organization and management of services and highly increase the performance of Cloud networks. The research studies have accomplished the early steps for improving the effectiveness of the third theme and are continued with the perspective of share economy and tokenization models in service-oriented networks. The studies emphasize the potential and capabilities of Web 3 for establishment of new business models in this network. These services can be imagined through a token model structure which supports the more efficient service and demand matching. The role of smart contracts for increasing the autonomous level of Cloud manufacturing and supply network would be one of the important future research directions.

The convergence of the themes in this research concentrates on more realization of service-oriented production and supply networks. The globalized interaction frameworks which operate with autonomous structures that resemble the preferences of their stakeholders in this ecosystem. From the point view of sustainability pillars, high research potential can be referred. The potential of service-oriented network manufacturing for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) has been found to be great, which can be a unique opportunity for globalized production networks.

6. Acknowledgement

The author highly appreciates the collaboration of all colleagues through past years and hopes for more opportunities of the research collaboration. The author would like to express his gratitude to his M.Sc. Ph.D. supervisor, Prof Mahmoud Houshmand, who guided the author from the beginning of his research path. Moreover, having the chance and honor to collaborate with Prof. Mahmoud Houshmand in the Department of Industrial Engineering at Sharif University of Technology as a faculty member was a great opportunity for benefiting from his mentorship and advice. Also, the author is deeply indebted of all faculty and staff members' support in the Department of Industrial Engineering at sharif University of Technology and also in the School of Business, Social & Decision Sciences in Constructor University Bremen (Jacobs university Bremen). The author also appreciates the support and advice of esteemed Dean Prof. Dr. Arvid Kappas. Special thanks to the passion and perseverance of all students who were eager to conduct research and collaborated with him in research studies. Finally, the author appreciates the kind support of his beloved wife, Saeede who has always devoted her love, help and support through the former years.

7. Index list

3D Printing	12, 17, 18	Information Technology	Product Oriented	11
Blockchain.....	11, 14, 26, 27, 28	(IT).....	Production and	
Cloud manufacturing	11, 12	Integration.....	Distribution Planning	22
Computer Aided Design		Internet of Things (IoT)	Proof of Stake (PoS).....	29
(CAD).....	17, 18	Logistics.....	Quality of Service (QoS).....	13
Computer Aided		Manufacturing Operation	Service Composition	28
Manufacturing (CAM).....	17	Planning &	Service Demand Matching	
Data Analytics (DA).....	31	Management (MOM).....		24
Decentralized Application		Metaheuristic	Service Oriented.....	12
(DApps)	29	NP-hard.....	Supply Chain	
Digital Dentistry	18	Operation Process Chart	Management (SCM).....	13, 20
Digital Imaging and		(OPC).....	Supply Demand Matching.....	28
Communications in		Operations Research (OR) .	Tokenization.....	11, 29
Medicine (DICOM)	18	Picture Archiving and	Transportation	13, 15, 20, 31
Digital Transformation	12	Communication System	Web 3	11
Digital Twins (DT).....	31	(PACS).....	Web 3.0	11, 14, 15, 26, 32
Industry 4.0.....	11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 31	Process Oriented	XaaS	11, 12, 15, 16, 28, 31

8. Author list

Prof.	Mahmoud HOUSHMAND	Sharif University of Technology, Iran
Dr.	Jalal DELARAM	University of Tehran, Iran
Dr.	Alireza HAJI	Sharif University of Technology, Iran
Dr.	Siavash VALIZADEH	Sharif University of Technology, Iran
Mr.	Alireza RADMANESH	Sharif University of Technology, Iran
Mr.	Ehsan AGHAMOHAMMADZADEH	Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands
Mr.	Mehdi ZEYNIVAND	Sharif University of Technology, Iran
Dr.	Alireza SADEGHI	Islamic Azad University, Iran
Dr.	Mohammad KHALILZADEH	Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Perú
Ms.	Mojgan ASSARI	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Netherlands
Dr.	Zahra VASEGH	Shahid Beheshti Uni. of Med. Sci., Iran
Mr.	Hossein RANJBAR	Sharif University of Technology, Iran

References

- [1]. L. Zhang u. a., „Cloud manufacturing: a new manufacturing paradigm“, *Enterp. Inf. Syst.*, Bd. 8, Nr. 2, S. 167–187, März 2014, doi: 10.1080/17517575.2012.683812.
- [2]. X. Xu, „From cloud computing to cloud manufacturing“, *Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 28, Nr. 1, S. 75–86, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2011.07.002.
- [3]. L. Yong-liang, „Analyses of cloud manufacturing and related advanced manufacturing models“, *Comput. Integr. Manuf. Syst.*, 2011, Zugegriffen: 11. Juli 2022. [Online]. Verfügbar unter: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Analyses-of-cloud-manufacturing-and-related-models-Yong-liang/187d4c6e43f989c77cb1a06dc56dc779ac15d34a>
- [4]. R. C. Garcia und J.-M. Chung, „XaaS for XaaS: An evolving abstraction of web services for the entrepreneur, developer, and consumer“, in *2012 IEEE 55th International Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS)*, Aug. 2012, S. 853–855. doi: 10.1109/MWSCAS.2012.6292154.
- [5]. Z. Cai, X. Li, und J. N. D. Gupta, „Heuristics for Provisioning Services to Workflows in XaaS Clouds“, *IEEE Trans. Serv. Comput.*, Bd. 9, Nr. 2, S. 250–263, März 2016, doi: 10.1109/TSC.2014.2361320.
- [6]. A. Kianto und T. Andreeva, „Knowledge Management Practices and Results in Service-Oriented versus Product-Oriented Companies“, *Knowl. Process Manag.*, Bd. 21, Nr. 4, S. 221–230, 2014, doi: 10.1002/kpm.1443.
- [7]. A. Kianto, P. Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, und P. Ritala, „Intellectual capital in service- and product-oriented companies“, *J. Intellect. Cap.*, Bd. 11, Nr. 3, S. 305–325, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.1108/14691931011064563.
- [8]. J. R. Silva und S. Y. Nof, „Manufacturing Service: From e-Work and Service-Oriented Approach towards a Product-Service Architecture“, *IFAC-Pap.*, Bd. 48, Nr. 3, S. 1628–1633, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.06.319.
- [9]. U. Zdun, C. Hentrich, und S. Dustdar, „Modeling process-driven and service-oriented architectures using patterns and pattern primitives“, *ACM Trans. Web*, Bd. 1, Nr. 3, S. 14-es, Sep. 2007, doi: 10.1145/1281480.1281484.
- [10]. L. Ollinger, J. Schlick, und S. Hodek, „Leveraging the Agility of Manufacturing Chains by Combining Process-Oriented Production Planning and Service-Oriented Manufacturing Automation“, *IFAC Proc. Vol.*, Bd. 44, Nr. 1, S. 5231–5236, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.3182/20110828-6-IT-1002.01834.
- [11]. J. Delaram und O. Fatahi Valilai, „A Mathematical Model for Task Scheduling in Cloud Manufacturing Systems focusing on Global Logistics“, *Procedia Manuf.*, Bd. 17, S. 387–394, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2018.10.061.
- [12]. E. Aghamohammadzadeh, M. Malek, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „A novel model for optimisation of logistics and manufacturing operation service composition in Cloud manufacturing system focusing on cloud-entropy“, *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, Bd. 58, Nr. 7, S. 1987–2015, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2019.1640406.
- [13]. M. T. Hyder, C. Lobo, T. S. Madupuru, S. Sudarshan, M. Sodahi, und O. F. Valilai, „Enabling Robust Service Composition in Cloud Manufacturing with Sustainability Considerations“, in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM)*, Dez. 2021, S. 792–795. doi: 10.1109/IEEM50564.2021.9672790.
- [14]. M. Rezapour Niari, K. Eshgi, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Topology analysis of manufacturing service supply–demand hyper-network considering QoS properties in the cloud manufacturing system“, *Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 72, S. 102205, Dez. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2021.102205.
- [15]. S. Valizadeh, O. Fatahi Valilai, und M. Houshmand, „Allocation and scheduling of digital dentistry services in a dental cloud manufacturing system“, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 35, Nr. 6, S. 645–661, 2022, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2021.1992668.
- [16]. S. Valizadeh, O. Fatahi Valilai, und M. Houshmand, „Flexible flow line scheduling considering machine eligibility in a digital dental laboratory“, *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, Bd. 58, Nr. 21, S. 6513–6531, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2019.1683247.
- [17]. J. Delaram, M. Houshmand, F. Ashtiani, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Development of public cloud manufacturing markets: a mechanism design approach“, *Int. J. Syst. Sci. Oper. Logist.*, S. 1–27, Juni 2022, doi: 10.1080/23302674.2022.2079751.
- [18]. S. A. S. Aghili, O. F. Valilai, A. Haji, und M. Khalilzadeh, „Dynamic mutual manufacturing and transportation routing service selection for cloud manufacturing with multi-period service-demand matching“, *PeerJ Comput. Sci.*, Bd. 7, S. e461, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.461.
- [19]. J. Delaram und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Development of a Novel Solution to Enable Integration and Interoperability for Cloud Manufacturing“, *Procedia CIRP*, Bd. 52, S. 6–11, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2016.07.056.

- [20]. J. Delaram und O. F. Valilai, „A Novel Solution for Manufacturing Interoperability Fulfillment using Interoperability Service Providers“, *Procedia CIRP*, Bd. 63, S. 774–779, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2017.03.141.
- [21]. H. R. Andrian, N. B. Kurniawan, und Suhardi, „Blockchain Technology and Implementation : A Systematic Literature Review“, in *2018 International Conference on Information Technology Systems and Innovation (ICITSI)*, Okt. 2018, S. 370–374. doi: 10.1109/ICITSI.2018.8695939.
- [22]. D. Bartsch und H. Winkler, „Blockchain technology in Germany: An excerpt of real use cases in logistics industry“, in *Data Science and Innovation in Supply Chain Management: How Data Transforms the Value Chain. Proceedings of the Hamburg International Conference of Logistics (HICL)*, Vol. 29, Berlin: epubli GmbH, 2020, S. 699–735. doi: 10.15480/882.3111.
- [23]. E. V. Goodarzi, M. Houshmand, O. Fatahi Valilai, V. Ghezavati, und S. Bamdad, „Manufacturing Cloud Service Composition Based on the Non-Cooperative and Cooperative Game Theory“, in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM)*, Dez. 2020, S. 1122–1125. doi: 10.1109/IEEM45057.2020.9309921.
- [24]. E. Ahmadi, R. Khaturia, P. Sahraei, M. Niyayesh, und O. F. Valilai, „Using Blockchain Technology to Extend the Vendor Managed Inventory for Sustainability“, *Int. J. Ind. Manuf. Eng.*, Bd. 15, Nr. 4, S. 5, 2021.
- [25]. R. Ghasemi, P. Akhavan, M. Abbasi, und O. F. Valilai, „A novel supplier managed inventory order assignment platform enabled by Blockchain Technology“, *IEEE Access*, S. 1–1, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3341361.
- [26]. F. A. Alabdulwahhab, „Web 3.0: The Decentralized Web Blockchain networks and Protocol Innovation“, in *2018 1st International Conference on Computer Applications Information Security (ICCAIS)*, Apr. 2018, S. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/CAIS.2018.8441990.
- [27]. E. V. Goudarzi, M. Houshmand, O. F. Valilai, V. Ghezavati, und S. Bamdad, „Equilibril service composition model in Cloud manufacturing (ESCM) based on non-cooperative and cooperative game theory for healthcare service equipping“, *PeerJ Comput. Sci.*, Bd. 7, S. e410, März 2021, doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.410.
- [28]. Z. M. Samiee, M. Rostamzadeh., und O. Fatahi Valilai, „An Analysis of the BWE-Associated Costs: The issue of Demand Forecasting Accuracy“, *IFAC-Pap.*, Bd. 53, Nr. 2, S. 10836–10842, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2020.12.2870.
- [29]. J. Delaram, O. Fatahi Valilai, M. Houshamand, und F. Ashtiani, „A matching mechanism for public cloud manufacturing platforms using intuitionistic Fuzzy VIKOR and deferred acceptance algorithm“, *Int. J. Manag. Sci. Eng. Manag.*, Bd. 16, Nr. 2, S. 107–122, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1080/17509653.2021.1892549.
- [30]. J. Delaram, M. Houshamand, F. Ashtiani, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „A utility-based matching mechanism for stable and optimal resource allocation in cloud manufacturing platforms using deferred acceptance algorithm“, *J. Manuf. Syst.*, Bd. 60, S. 569–584, Juli 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.07.012.
- [31]. J. Delaram, M. Houshamnd, F. Ashtiani, und O. F. Valilai, „Stable Allocation of Services in Public Cloud Manufacturing Platforms: A Game Theory View“, *FAIM 2021*, Bd. 55, S. 306–311, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2021.10.043.
- [32]. E. V. Goudarzi, M. Houshmand, V. Ghezavati, S. Bamdad, und O. F. Valilai, „Developing Game Models for Service Composition to Improve Customization in the Equilibrium State Based on Cloud Manufacturing System“, in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM)*, Dez. 2021, S. 289–292. doi: 10.1109/IEEM50564.2021.9673041.
- [33]. E. V. Goudarzi, M. Houshmand, V. Ghezavati, S. Bamdad, und O. F. Valilai, „Framework Development for Sustainable Manufacturing Cloud Service Composition System (SMCS) Based on Axiomatic Design“, in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM)*, Dez. 2021, S. 906–909. doi: 10.1109/IEEM50564.2021.9672955.
- [34]. J. Delaram, M. Houshmand, F. Ashtiani, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Multi-phase matching mechanism for stable and optimal resource allocation in cloud manufacturing platforms Using IF-VIKOR method and deferred acceptance algorithm“, *Int. J. Manag. Sci. Eng. Manag.*, Bd. 17, Nr. 2, S. 103–111, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1080/17509653.2021.1982423.
- [35]. O. Fatahi Valilai und M. Houshmand, „Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration“, *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B J. Eng. Manuf.*, Bd. 229, Nr. 12, S. 2216–2237, Dez. 2015, doi: 10.1177/0954405414546706.
- [36]. S. Valizadeh, O. Fatahi Valilai, M. Houshmand, und Z. Vasegh, „A novel digital dentistry platform based on cloud manufacturing paradigm“, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 32, Nr. 11, S. 1024–1042, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170.
- [37]. M. Assari, J. Delaram, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Mutual manufacturing service selection and routing problem considering customer clustering in Cloud manufacturing“, *Prod. Manuf. Res.*, Bd. 6, Nr. 1, S. 345–363, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056.

- [38]. M. Zeynivand, H. Ranjbar, S.-A. Radmanesh, and O. Fatahi Valilai, „Alternative process routing and consolidated production-distribution planning with a destination oriented strategy in cloud manufacturing“, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 34, Nr. 11, S. 1162–1176, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2021.1972459.
- [39]. E. Aghamohammadzadeh und O. Fatahi Valilai, „A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology“, *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, Bd. 58, Nr. 17, S. 5280–5298, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507.
- [40]. M. R. Niari, K. Eshghi, und O. F. Valilai, „Adaptive capacity management in cloud manufacturing hyper-network platform: Case of COVID-19 equipment production“, *Int. J. Manag. Sci. Eng. Manag.*, Bd. 17, Nr. 4, S. 239–258, Jan. 2022.
- [41]. M. Rezapour Niari, K. Eshghi, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Using cloud manufacturing to establish an ecosystem network for COVID-19 ventilator production“, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 36, Nr. 6, S. 842–862, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2022.2162586.
- [42]. S.-A. Radmanesh, A. Haji, und O. F. Valilai, „Blockchain-based cloud manufacturing platforms: A novel idea for service composition in XaaS paradigm“, *PeerJ Comput. Sci.*, Bd. 7, S. e743, Dez. 2021, doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.743.
- [43]. S.-A. Radmanesh, A. Haji, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Blockchain-Based Architecture for a Sustainable Supply Chain in Cloud Architecture“, *Sustainability*, Bd. 15, Nr. 11, Art. Nr. 11, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15119072.
- [44]. E. Aghamohammadzadeh und O. Fatahi Valilai, „A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology“, *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, Bd. 58, Nr. 17, S. 5280–5298, 2020, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507.
- [45]. H. Liang, X. Wen, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, L. Zhang, und L. Wang, „Logistics-involved QoS-aware service composition in cloud manufacturing with deep reinforcement learning“, *Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 67, Nr. April 2020, S. 101991, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2020.101991.
- [46]. Y. Wang, S. Wang, B. Yang, B. Gao, und S. Wang, „An effective adaptive adjustment method for service composition exception handling in cloud manufacturing“, *J. Intell. Manuf.*, Nr. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10845-020-01652-4.
- [47]. L. Zhu, P. Li, G. Shen, und Z. H. I. Liu, „A Novel Service Composition Algorithm for Cloud-Based Manufacturing Environment“, *IEEE Access*, Bd. 8, S. 39148–39164, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2976164.
- [48]. Y. Wang, Z. Dai, W. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Xu, und Q. Chen, „Urgent task-aware cloud manufacturing service composition using two-stage biogeography-based optimisation“, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 31, Nr. 10, S. 1034–1047, 2018, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2018.1493230.
- [49]. W. Liu, B. Liu, D. Sun, Y. Li, und G. Ma, „Study on multi-task oriented services composition and optimisation with the ‘Multi-Composition for Each Task’ pattern in cloud manufacturing systems“, *Int. J. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 26, Nr. 8, S. 786–805, 2013, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2013.766939.
- [50]. Y. Liu, X. Xu, L. Zhang, und F. Tao, „An Extensible Model for Multitask-Oriented Service Composition and Scheduling in Cloud Manufacturing“, *J. Comput. Inf. Sci. Eng.*, Bd. 16, Nr. 4, S. 1–11, 2016, doi: 10.1115/1.4034186.
- [51]. Y. Que, W. Zhong, H. Chen, X. Chen, und X. Ji, „Improved adaptive immune genetic algorithm for optimal QoS-aware service composition selection in cloud manufacturing“, *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, Bd. 96, Nr. 9–12, S. 4455–4465, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00170-018-1925-x.
- [52]. J. Zhou und X. Yao, „DE-caABC: differential evolution enhanced context-aware artificial bee colony algorithm for service composition and optimal selection in cloud manufacturing“, *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, Bd. 90, Nr. 1–4, S. 1085–1103, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00170-016-9455-x.
- [53]. H. Zheng, Y. Feng, und J. Tan, „A fuzzy QoS-aware resource service selection considering design preference in cloud manufacturing system“, *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, Bd. 84, Nr. 1–4, S. 371–379, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00170-016-8417-7.
- [54]. F. Tao, Y. Laili, L. Xu, und L. Zhang, „FC-PACO-RM: A parallel method for service composition optimal-selection in cloud manufacturing system“, *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.*, Bd. 9, Nr. 4, S. 2023–2033, 2013, doi: 10.1109/TII.2012.2232936.
- [55]. H. Akbaripour, M. Houshmand, T. van Woensel, und N. Mutlu, „Cloud manufacturing service selection optimization and scheduling with transportation considerations: mixed-integer programming models“, *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, Bd. 95, Nr. 1–4, S. 43–70, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00170-017-1167-3.
- [56]. L. Zhou, L. Zhang, und Y. Fang, „Logistics service scheduling with manufacturing provider selection in cloud manufacturing“, *Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf.*, Bd. 65, Nr. November 2019, S. 101914, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2019.101914.

- [57]. J. Lartigau, X. Xu, L. Nie, und D. Zhan, „Cloud manufacturing service composition based on QoS with geo-perspective transportation using an improved Artificial Bee Colony optimisation algorithm“, *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, Bd. 53, Nr. 14, S. 4380–4404, 2015, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2015.1005765.
- [58]. R. Khaturia, H. Wicaksono, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „SRP: A Sustainable Dynamic Ridesharing Platform Utilizing Blockchain Technology“, in *Dynamics in Logistics*, M. Freitag, A. Kinra, H. Kotzab, und N. Megow, Hrsg., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022, S. 301–313. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-05359-7_24.
- [59]. K. Navendan, H. Wicaksono, und O. Fatahi Valilai, „Enhancement of Crowd Logistics Model in an E-Commerce Scenario Using Blockchain-Based Decentralized Application“, in *Dynamics in Logistics*, M. Freitag, A. Kinra, H. Kotzab, und N. Megow, Hrsg., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022, S. 26–37. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-05359-7_3.
- [60]. (2.1.1) J. (. Jiao, Q. Xu, Z. Wu and N.-K. Ng, "Coordinating product, process, and supply chain decisions: A constraint satisfaction approach," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 22, pp. 992-1004, 2009.
- [61]. (2.1.2) E. Prater and S. Ghosh, "A comparative model of firm size and the global operational dynamics of U.S. firms in Europe," *Journal of Operations Management*, vol. 24, p. 511–529, 2006.
- [62]. (2.1.3) O. F. Valilai and M. Houshmand, "A platform for optimization in distributed manufacturing enterprises based on cloud manufacturing paradigm," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, p. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.874582>, 2014.
- [63]. (2.1.4) Z. Yin, "Direct generation of extended STL file from unorganized point data," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 43, pp. 699-706; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2011.02.010>, 2011.
- [64]. (2.1.5) G. Chryssolouris, D. Mourtzis, P. Stavropoulos, D. Mavrikios and J. Pandremenos, "Knowledge Management in a Virtual Lab Collaborative Training Project," in *Methods and Tools for Effective Knowledge Life-Cycle-Management*, London, Springer, 2008, pp. 435-446.
- [65]. (2.1.6) E. Atzeni and A. Salmi, "Economics of additive manufacturing for end-usable metal parts," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 62, pp. 1147-1155; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3878-1>, 2012.
- [66]. (2.1.7) B. M. West and J. Bengtsson, "Aggregate production process design in global manufacturing using a real options approach," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 45, p. 1745–1762, 2007.
- [67]. (2.1.8) G. Jin, W. Li, C. Tsai and L. Wang, "Adaptive tool-path generation of rapid prototyping for complex product models," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 30, p. 154–164; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2011.05.007>, 2011.
- [68]. (2.1.9) C.-M. Feng and P.-J. Wu, "A tax savings model for the emerging global manufacturing network," *Int. J. Production Economics*, vol. 122, p. 534–546, 2009.
- [69]. (2.1.10) G. Chryssolouris, D. Mavrikios, N. Papakostas, D. Mourtzis, G. Michalos and K. Georgoulis, "Digital manufacturing: History, perspectives, and outlook," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, vol. 223, pp. 451-462; <http://doi.org/10.1243/09544054JEM1241>, 2009.
- [70]. (2.1.11) W. Ma and P. He, "An adaptive slicing and selective hatching strategy for layered manufacturing," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, Vols. 89-90, pp. 191-197, 1999.
- [71]. (2.1.12) G.-C. Vosniakos, T. Maroulis and D. Pantelis, "A method for optimizing process parameters in layer-based rapid prototyping," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, vol. 221, no. 8, pp. 1329-1340; <http://doi.org/10.1243/09544054JEM815>, 2007.
- [72]. (2.1.13) W. Ma, W.-C. But and P. He, "NURBS-based adaptive slicing for efficient rapid prototyping," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 36, p. 1309–1325; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2004.02.001>, 2004.
- [73]. (2.1.14) G. Jin, W. Li and L. Gao, "An adaptive process planning approach of rapid prototyping and manufacturing," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 29, p. 23–38; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2012.07.001>, 2013.
- [74]. (2.1.15) Q.-J. Peng and M. Loftus, "Rapid prototyping based on image information in reverse design applications," vol. 213, no. 3, pp. 317-322; <http://doi.org/10.1243/0954405991516796>, 1999.
- [75]. (2.1.16) Y. Zhongwei, "Direct integration of reverse engineering and rapid prototyping based on the properties of NURBS or B-spline," *Precision Engineering*, vol. 28, pp. 293-301; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2003.11.004>, 2004.
- [76]. (2.1.17) B. Starly, A. Lau, W. Sun, W. Lau and T. Bradbury, "Direct slicing of STEP based NURBS models for layered manufacturing," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 37, p. 387–397; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2004.06.014>, 2005.

- [77]. (2.1.18) P. Haipeng and Z. Tianrui, "Generation and optimization of slice profile data in rapid prototyping and manufacturing," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, Vols. 187-188, pp. 623-626; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2006.11.221>, 2007.
- [78]. (2.1.19) P. N. Azariadis and N. S. Sapidis, "Drawing curves onto a cloud of points for point-based modelling," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 37, p. 109-122; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2004.05.004>, 2005.
- [79]. (2.1.20) B. Asiabanpour and B. Khoshnevis, "Machine path generation for the SIS process," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 20, pp. 167-175; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2003.10.005>, 2004.
- [80]. (2.1.21) S. Liu, R. Young and L. Ding, "An integrated decision support system for global manufacturing co-ordination in the automotive industry," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 24, p. 285-301, 2011.
- [81]. (2.1.22) J. Yoo and S. Kumara, "Implications of k-best modular product design solutions to global manufacturing," *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 59, p. 481-484, 2010.
- [82]. (2.1.23) I. Manuj and J. Mentzer, "Global supply chain risk management strategies," *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, vol. 38, pp. 192-223, 2008.
- [83]. (2.1.24) K. Kosanke, "ISO Standards for Interoperability: a comparison," in *First International Conference on Interoperability of Enterprise Software and Applications, INTEROP-ESA'2005*, Geneva, 2005.
- [84]. (2.1.25) M. Houshmand and O. F. Valilai, "A layered and modular platform to enable distributed CAX collaboration and support product data integration based on STEP standard," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 731-750; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.766935>, 2013.
- [85]. (2.1.26) K. Alexopoulos, S. Makris, V. Xanthakis and G. Chrysosolouris, "A web-services oriented workflow management system for integrated digital production engineering," *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 290-295; <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2011.06.002>, 2011.
- [86]. (2.1.27) W. Shen, Q. Hao, H. Mak, J. Neelamkavil, H. Xie, J. Dickinson, R. Thomas, A. Pardasani and H. Xue, "Systems integration and collaboration in architecture, engineering, construction, and facilities management: A review," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 24, pp. 196-207, 2010.
- [87]. (2.1.28) W. Tan, L. Li, W. Xu, F. Yang, C. Jiang, L. Yang and J. Choi, "A role-oriented service system architecture for enterprise process collaboration," *Computers & Operations Research*, vol. 39, pp. 1893-1900, 2012.
- [88]. (2.1.29) O. F. Valilai and M. Houshmand, "A collaborative and integrated platform to support distributed manufacturing system using a service-oriented approach based on Cloud computing paradigm," *Journal of Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 110-127; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2012.07.009>, 2013.
- [89]. (2.1.30) O. Valilai and M. Houshmand, "INFELT STEP: An integrated and interoperable platform for collaborative CAD/CAPP/CAM/CNC machining systems based on STEP standard," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 23, pp. 1095 - 1117; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2010.527373>, 2010.
- [90]. (2.1.31) M. Houshmand and O. Valilai, "LAYMOD: a layered and modular platform for CAX product data integration based on the modular architecture of the standard for exchange of product data," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 25, pp. 473-487; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2011.646308>, 2012.
- [91]. (2.1.32) S. Weiler, D. Paez, J.-H. Chun, S. C. Graves and G. Lanza, "Supply chain design for the global expansion of manufacturing capacity in emerging markets," *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, vol. 4, p. 265-280, 2011.
- [92]. (2.1.33) S. Kara, S. Manmeka and C. Herrmann, "Global manufacturing and the embodied energy of products," *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 59, p. 29-32, 2010.
- [93]. (2.1.34) M. Flowers and K. Cheng, "Reconfiguration as a responsive tool for the agile-centric global manufacturing complexity domain," *International Journal of Internet Manufacturing and Services*, vol. 3, pp. 1-15, 2011.
- [94]. (2.1.35) B. Scholz-Reiter, E. M. Frazzon and T. Makuschewitz, "Integrating manufacturing and logistic systems along global supply chains," *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, vol. 2, pp. 216-223, 2010.
- [95]. (2.1.36) D. Mavrikios, K. Alexopoulos, V. Xanthakis, M. Pappas, K. Smparounis and G. Chrysosolouris, "A Web-based Platform for Collaborative Product Design, Review and Evaluation," in *Digital Factory for Human-oriented Production Systems: The Integration of International Research Projects*, London, Springer, 2011, pp. 38-56.

- [96]. (2.1.37) T. Grubic and I.-S. Fan, "Supply chain ontology: Review, analysis and synthesis," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 61, pp. 776-786; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2010.05.006>, 2010.
- [97]. (2.1.38) L. Bellatreche, N. X. Dung, G. Pierra and D. Hondjack, "Contribution of ontology-based data modeling to automatic integration of electronic catalogues within engineering databases," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 57, pp. 711-724, 2006.
- [98]. (2.1.39) A. F. Cutting-Decelle, R. I. M. Young, J. J. Michel, R. Grangel, J. Le Cardinal and J. P. Bourey, "ISO 15531 MANDATE: A Product-process-resource based Approach for Managing Modularity in Production Management," *Concurrent Engineering*, vol. 15, pp. 217-235, 2007.
- [99]. (2.1.40) R. Young, D. Guerra, G. Gunendran, B. Das, S. Cochran and A. Cutting-Decelle, "Sharing Manufacturing Information and Knowledge in Design Decision Support," in *Advances in Integrated Design and Manufacturing in Mechanical Engineering*, London, Springer, 2005, p. 173-188.
- [100]. (2.1.41) R. A. Martin, SME, 2005. (2.1.Online). Available: www.tinwisle.com/iso/RM_SME_SUMMIT05.pdf. (2.1.Accessed 27 April 2012).
- [101]. (2.1.42) Q. Wu, M. Zhu and N. S. Rao, "Integration of sensing and computing in an intelligent decision support system for homeland security defense," *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, vol. 5, pp. 182-200, 2009.
- [102]. (2.1.43) A. Paredes-Moreno, F. J. Martinez-Lopez and D. G. Schwartz, "A methodology for the semi-automatic creation of data-driven detailed business ontologies," *Information Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 758-773, 2010.
- [103]. (2.1.44) E. Cerit and I. Lazoglu, "A CAM-based path generation method for rapid prototyping applications," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 56, pp. 319-327; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3176-y>, 2011.
- [104]. (2.1.45) C.-S. Jun, D.-S. Kim, D.-S. Kim, H.-C. Lee, J. Hwang and T.-C. Chang, "Surface slicing algorithm based on topology transition," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 33, pp. 825-838; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4485\(01\)00098-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4485(01)00098-7), 2001.
- [105]. (2.1.46) G. Ryder, B. Ion, G. Green, D. Harrison and B. Wood, "Rapid design and manufacture tools in architecture," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 11, pp. 279-290; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-5805\(00\)00111-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-5805(00)00111-4), 2002.
- [106]. (2.1.47) S. F. Qin, P. A. Prieto and D. K. Wright, "A novel form design and CAD modelling approach," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 59, pp. 364-369, 2008.
- [107]. (2.1.48) C. Rodriguez Monroy and J. R. V. Arto, "Analysis of global manufacturing virtual networks in the aeronautical industry," *Int. J. Production Economics*, vol. 126, p. 314-323, 2010.
- [108]. (2.1.49) J. Fuh and W. Li, "Advances in collaborative CAD: the-state-of-the art," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 37, p. 571-581; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2004.08.005>, 2005.
- [109]. (2.1.50) X. Wu and X. Liu, "Absorptive capacity, network embeddedness and local firm's knowledge acquisition in the Global Manufacturing Network," *International Journal of Technology Management*, vol. 46, pp. 326-343, 2009.
- [110]. (2.1.51) S. V. Nagalingam and G. C. Lin, "CIM—still the solution for manufacturing industry," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 24, pp. 332-344; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2007.01.002>, 2008.
- [111]. (2.1.52) H. Lin and J. Harding, "A manufacturing system engineering ontology model on the semantic web for inter-enterprise collaboration," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 58, pp. 428-437; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2006.09.015>, 2007.
- [112]. (2.1.53) T. Tolio, D. Ceglarek, H. ElMaraghy, A. Fischer, S. Hu, L. Laperriere, S. Newman and J. Vancza, "SPECIES—Co-evolution of products, processes and production systems," *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 59, p. 672-693; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2010.05.008>, 2010.
- [113]. (2.1.54) C. Wu and D. Barnes, "A literature review of decision-making models and approaches for partner selection in agile supply chains," *Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, vol. 17, pp. 256-274; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2011.09.002>, 2011.
- [114]. (2.1.55) J. G. Campos and L. R. Miguez, "Standard process monitoring and traceability programming in collaborative CAD/CAM/CNC manufacturing scenarios," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 62, pp. 311-322; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2010.09.003>, 2011.
- [115]. (2.1.56) L. Zeng, L. M.-L. Lai, D. Qi, Y.-H. Lai, Yuen and M. Ming-Fai, "Efficient slicing procedure based on adaptive layer depth normal image," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 43, p. 1577-1586; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2011.06.007>, 2011.
- [116]. (2.1.57) S. Lim, R. Buswell, T. Le, S. Austin, A. Gibb and T. Thorpe, "Developments in construction-scale additive manufacturing processes," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 21, p. 262-268; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2011.06.010>, 2012.
- [117]. (2.1.58) J. Jiao and M. G. Helander, "Development of an electronic configure-to-order platform for customized product development," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 57, p. 231-244; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2005.12.001>, 2006.

- [118]. (2.1.59) P. Minetola, "The Importance of a Correct Alignment in Contactless Inspection of Additive Manufactured Parts," *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, vol. 13, pp. 211-218; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12541-012-0026-2>, 2012.
- [119]. (2.1.60) H.-S. Byun and K. H. Lee, "Determination of the optimal build direction for different rapid prototyping processes using multi-criterion decision making," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 22, pp. 69-80; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2005.03.001>, 2006.
- [120]. (2.1.61) H. Sakamoto, T. Kimura, M. Sakamoto and M. Sawada, "Internet integration of 3D-CAD design and manufacturing for complex products," in *Fifth International Conference on Computer and Information Technology, CIT 2005*, 21-23 September, Shanghai, 2005.
- [121]. (2.1.62) J. Hur, K. Lee, Zhu-hu and J. Kim, "Hybrid rapid prototyping system using machining and deposition," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 34, pp. 741-754; [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4485\(01\)00203-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4485(01)00203-2), 2002.
- [122]. (2.1.63) T. Sohmura and Y. Kumazawa, "Original computer aided support system for safe and accurate implant placement-Collaboration with an university originated venture company," *Japanese Dental Science Review*, vol. 46, pp. 150-158; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdsr.2010.01.002>, 2010.
- [123]. (2.1.64) S. H. Ahn, S. McMains, C. H. Séquin and P. K. Wright, "Mechanical implementation services for rapid prototyping," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, vol. 216, pp. 1193-1199; <http://doi.org/10.1243/095440502760272467>, 2002.
- [124]. (2.1.65) X. Xu, "From cloud computing to cloud manufacturing," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 28, p. 75-86; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2011.07.002>, 2012.
- [125]. (2.1.66) B. Shen, D.-J. Qi, L.-Q. Fan and H. Meier, "Collaborative engineering supporting technology for manufacturing in SOA," *Jisuanji Jicheng Zhizao Xitong/Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, CIMS*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 876-881, 2011.
- [126]. (2.1.67) F. Tao, Y. Hu and L. Zhang, *Theory and practice: optimal resource service allocation in manufacturing grid*, Beijing: China: MachinePress, 2010.
- [127]. (2.1.68) B.-H. Li, L. Zhang, S.-L. Wang, F. Tao, J.-W. Cao, X.-D. Jiang, X. Song and X.-D. Chai, "Cloud manufacturing: a new service-oriented networked manufacturing model.," *Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 16, pp. 1-7, 2010.
- [128]. (2.1.69) S. Subashini and V. Kavitha, "A survey on security issues in service delivery models of cloud computing," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 34, pp. 1-11, 2011.
- [129]. (2.1.70) A. Bohm and C.-C. Kanne, "Demaq/Transscale: Automated distribution and scalability for declarative applications," *Information Systems*, vol. 36, p. 565-578, 2011.
- [130]. (2.1.71) A. Goscinski and M. Brock, "Toward dynamic and attribute based publication, discovery and selection for cloud computing," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 26, pp. 947-970, 2010.
- [131]. (2.1.72) D.-C. Zhan, X.-B. Zhao, S.-Q. Wang, Z. Cheng, X.-Q. Zhou, L.-S. Nie and X.-F. Xu, "Cloud manufacturing service platform for group enterprises oriented to manufacturing and management," *Jisuanji Jicheng Zhizao Xitong/Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, CIMS*, vol. 17, pp. 487-494, 2011.
- [132]. (2.1.73) W.-S. Cheng and M.-N. Zhu, "Cloud manufacturing-advanced manufacturing informationization," *Xitong Fangzhen Xuebao / Journal of System Simulation*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 2258-2268, 2011.
- [133]. (2.1.74) M. Maurera, V. C. Emeakarohaa, I. Brandic and J. Altmannb, "Cost-benefit analysis of an SLA mapping approach for defining standardized Cloud computing goods," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 28, pp. 39-57, 2012.
- [134]. (2.1.75) C. Yin, B.-Q. Huang, F. Liu, L.-J. Wen, Z.-K. Wang, X.-D. Li, S.-P. Yang, D. Ye and X.-H. Liu, "Common key technology system of cloud manufacturing service platform for small and medium enterprises," *Jisuanji Jicheng Zhizao Xitong/Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, CIMS*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 495-503, 2011.
- [135]. (2.1.76) W.-H. Fan and T.-Y. Xiao, "Integrated architecture of cloud manufacturing based on federation mode," *Jisuanji Jicheng Zhizao Xitong/Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, CIMS*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 469-476, 2011.
- [136]. (2.1.77) E. W. Schuster, H.-G. Lee, R. Ehsani, S. J. Allen and J. S. Rogers, "Machine-to-machine communication for agricultural systems: An XML-based auxiliary language to enhance semantic interoperability," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 78, pp. 150-161, 2011.
- [137]. (2.1.78) N. Molla, H. Chettaoui, Y. Ouzrout, F. Noel and A. Bouras, "Model-Driven Architecture to enhance interoperability between product applications," in *International Conference on Product Lifecycle Management*, 2008.
- [138]. (2.1.79) S. Newman, A. Nassehi, X. Xu, R. Rosso J, L. Wang, Y. Yusof, L. Ali, R. Liu, L. Zheng, S. Kumar, P. Vichare and V. Dhokia, "Strategic advantages of interoperability for global manufacturing

- using CNC technology," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 24, p. 699–708, 2008.
- [139]. (2.1.80) X. W. Xu, H. Wang, J. Mao, S. T. Newman and T. R. Kramer, "STEP-compliant NC research: the search for intelligent CAD/CAPP/CAM/CNC integration," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 43, p. 3703–3743, 2005.
- [140]. (2.1.81) X. F. Zha, "Integration of the STEP-based assembly model and XML schema with the fuzzy analytic hierarchy process (FAHP) for multi-agent based assembly evaluation," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 17, p. 527–544, 2006.
- [141]. (2.1.82) X. Xu and Q. He, "Striving for a total integration of CAD, CAPP, CAM and CNC," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, p. 101–109, 2004.
- [142]. (2.1.83) W. L. Mikos, J. C. Ferreira, P. E. Botura and L. S. Freitas, "A system for distributed sharing and reuse of design and manufacturing knowledge in the PFMEA domain using a description logics-based ontology," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 30, p. 133–143, 2011.
- [143]. (2.1.84) W. Huifen, Z. Youliang, C. Jian, S.-F. L. b and W.-C. Kwong, "Feature-based collaborative design," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 139, p. 613–618, 2003.
- [144]. (2.1.85) H. Aziz, J. Gao, P. Maropoulos and W. M. Cheung, "Open standard, open source and peer-to-peer tools and methods for collaborative product development," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 56, p. 260–271, 2005.
- [145]. (2.1.86) L. Li, J. Fuh, Y. Zhang and A. Nee, "Application of genetic algorithm to computer-aided process planning in distributed manufacturing environments," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 21, p. 568–578, 2005.
- [146]. (2.1.87) H. Nylund and P. H. Andersson, "Simulation of service-oriented and distributed manufacturing systems," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 26, p. 622–628, 2010.
- [147]. (2.1.88) Y.-J. Tseng, Y.-W. Kao and F.-Y. Huang, "A model for evaluating a design change and the distributed manufacturing operations in a collaborative manufacturing environment," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 59, p. 798–807, 2008.
- [148]. (2.1.89) J. Yin, W. Zhang and M. Cai, "Weaving an agent-based Semantic Grid for distributed collaborative manufacturing," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 48, pp. 2109–2126, 2010.
- [149]. (2.1.90) J. X. Wang, M. X. Tang, L. N. Song and S. Q. Jiang, "Design and implementation of an agent-based collaborative product design system," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 6, p. 520–535, 2009.
- [150]. (2.1.91) W. Zhao and J. Liu, "OWL/SWRL representation methodology for EXPRESS-driven product information model; Part I. Implementation methodology," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 59, p. 580–589, 2008.
- [151]. (2.1.92) X. Xu and S. Newman, "Making CNC machine tools more open, interoperable and intelligent—a review of the technologies," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 57, p. 141–152, 2006.
- [152]. (2.1.93) H. Panetto, M. Dassisti and A. Tursi, "ONTO-PDM: Product-driven ONTOlogy for Product Data Management interoperability within manufacturing process environment," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 26, p. 334–348, 2012.
- [153]. (2.1.94) W. Gielingh, "An assessment of the current state of product data technologies," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 40, p. 750–759, 2008.
- [154]. (2.1.95) A. Ball, L. Ding and M. Patel, "An approach to accessing product data across system and software revisions," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 22, pp. 222–235, 2008.
- [155]. (2.1.96) G. Lee, C. M. Eastman and R. Sacks, "Eliciting information for product modeling using process modeling," *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, vol. 62, p. 292–307, 2007.
- [156]. (2.1.97) M. J. Pratt, B. D. Anderson and T. Rangerc, "Towards the standardized exchange of parameterize feature-based CAD models," *Computer-Aided Design*, vol. 37, pp. 1251–1265, 2005.
- [157]. (2.1.98) T. Kramer and X. Xu, "STEP in a Nutshell," in *Advanced Design and Manufacturing Based on STEP*, London, Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2009, p. 34.
- [158]. (2.1.99) D. N. Sormaz, J. Arumugam, R. S. Harihara, C. Patel and N. Neerukonda, "Integration of product design, process planning, scheduling, and FMS control using XML data representation," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 26, p. 583–595, 2010.
- [159]. (2.1.100) K.-F. Jea, T.-P. Chang and C.-W. Cheng, "A generic simulation model for evaluating concurrency control protocols in native XML database systems," *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, vol. 33, p. 280–291, 2011.
- [160]. (2.2.1) Miyazaki T, Hotta Y, Kunii J, Kuriyama S, Tamaki Y. A review of dental CAD/CAM: current status and future perspectives from 20 years of experience. *Dental materials journal*. 2009;28(1):44–56.
- [161]. (2.2.2) Garg M, Srivastava R, Palekar U, Choukse V, Sharma N. IMPLICATIONS OF COMPUTER-AIDED DESIGN/COMPUTER-AIDED MANUFACTURE (CAD/CAM) IN PROSTHODONTICS.

- [162]. (2.2.3) Van Noort R. The future of dental devices is digital. *Dental materials*. 2012;28(1):3-12.
- [163]. (2.2.4) Önorall Ö, Ulusoy M. New Approaches in Computer Aided Printing Technologies. *Cumhuriyet Dental Journal*. 2016;19(3):256-66.
- [164]. (2.2.5) Ventola CL. Medical applications for 3D printing: current and projected uses. *Pharmacy and Therapeutics*. 2014;39(10):704.
- [165]. (2.2.6) Koren Y. The global manufacturing revolution: product-process-business integration and reconfigurable systems: John Wiley & Sons; 2010.
- [166]. (2.2.7) Delaram J, Fatahi Valilai O. An Architectural Solution for Virtual Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems using ISO Standards. *Scientia Iranica*. 2018;<http://dx.doi.org/10.24200/SCI.2018.0799>
- [167]. (2.2.8) Abduo J, Lyons K, Bennamoun M. Trends in computer-aided manufacturing in prosthodontics: a review of the available streams. *International journal of dentistry*. 2014;2014.
- [168]. (2.2.9) Kollmuss M, Kist S, Goeke JE, Hickel R, Huth KC. Comparison of chairside and laboratory CAD/CAM to conventional produced all-ceramic crowns regarding morphology, occlusion, and aesthetics. *Clinical oral investigations*. 2016;20(4):791-7.
- [169]. (2.2.10) Tapie L, Lebon N, Mawussi B, Fron CH, Duret F, Attal J. Understanding dental CAD/CAM for restorations--the digital workflow from a mechanical engineering viewpoint. *International journal of computerized dentistry*. 2015;18(1):21-44.
- [170]. (2.2.11) Tapie L, Lebon N, Mawussi B, Fron-Chabouis H, Duret F, Attal J. Understanding dental CAD/CAM for restorations--accuracy from a mechanical engineering viewpoint. *International journal of computerized dentistry*. 2014;18(4):343-67.
- [171]. (2.2.12) Heister R, Anderl R, editors. Federative Data Management based on Unified XML Data Scheme to support Prosthetic Dentistry Workflows. *Proceedings of the ASME 2013 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition (IMECE 2013) USA, CA, San Diego*; 2013.
- [172]. (2.2.13) Heister R, Anderl R, editors. Concept for an integrated workflow planning of dental products based on federative data management. *ASME 2014 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference*; 2014: American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- [173]. (2.2.14) Miyazaki T, Hotta Y. CAD/CAM systems available for the fabrication of crown and bridge restorations. *Australian dental journal*. 2011;56(s1):97-106.
- [174]. (2.2.15) Raja V, Fernandes KJ. *Reverse engineering: an industrial perspective*: Springer Science & Business Media; 2007.
- [175]. (2.2.16) Grünheid T, McCarthy SD, Larson BE. Clinical use of a direct chairside oral scanner: an assessment of accuracy, time, and patient acceptance. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*. 2014;146(5):673-82.
- [176]. (2.2.17) Zheng S-X, Li J, Sun Q-F. A novel 3D morphing approach for tooth occlusal surface reconstruction. *Computer-Aided Design*. 2011;43(3):293-302.
- [177]. (2.2.18) Zhang C, Liu T, Liao W, Yang T, Jiang L. Computer-aided design of dental inlay restoration based on dual-factor constrained deformation. *Advances in Engineering Software*. 2017.
- [178]. (2.2.19) Jiang X, Dai N, Cheng X, Wang J, Peng Q, Liu H, et al. Robust tooth surface reconstruction by iterative deformation. *Computers in biology and medicine*. 2016;68:90-100.
- [179]. (2.2.20) Houshmand M, Valilai OF. LAYMOD: a layered and modular platform for CAX product data integration based on the modular architecture of the standard for exchange of product data. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*. 2012;25(6):473-87; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2011.646308>.
- [180]. (2.2.21) Fatahi Valilai O, Houshmand M. A platform for optimisation in distributed manufacturing enterprises based on cloud manufacturing paradigm. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*. 2014;27(11):1031-54; <http://dx.doi.org/10.80/0951192X.2013.874582>.
- [181]. (2.2.22) Valilai OF, Houshmand M. Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*. 2014;229(12):2216-37; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0954405414546706>.
- [182]. (2.2.23) Gaspar M, Weichert F. Integrated construction and simulation of tool paths for milling dental crowns and bridges. *Computer-Aided Design*. 2013;45(10):1170-81.
- [183]. (2.2.24) Yau H-T, Chen H-C, Yu P-J. A customized smart CAM system for digital dentistry. *Computer-Aided Design and Applications*. 2011;8(3):395-405.
- [184]. (2.2.25) Lebon N, Tapie L, Duret F, Attal J. Understanding dental CAD/CAM for restorations--dental milling machines from a mechanical engineering viewpoint. Part B: labside milling machines. *International journal of computerized dentistry*. 2016;19(2):115-34.
- [185]. (2.2.26) Houshmand M, Valilai OF. A layered and modular platform to enable distributed CAX collaboration and support product data integration based on STEP standard. *International Journal of*

- Computer Integrated Manufacturing. 2013;26(8):731-50; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.766935>.
- [186]. (2.2.27) Valilai OF, Houshmand M. INFELT STEP: An integrated and interoperable platform for collaborative CAD/CAPP/CAM/CNC machining systems based on STEP standard. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*. 2010;23(12):1095-117; <http://dx.doi.org/10.80/0951192X.2010.527373>.
- [187]. (2.2.28) de Almeida EO, Pellizzer EP, Goiatto MC, Margonar R, Rocha EP, Freitas Jr AC, et al. Computer-guided surgery in implantology: review of basic concepts. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*. 2010;21(6):1917-21.
- [188]. (2.2.29) Ramasamy M, Giri RR, Subramonian K, Narendrakumar R. Implant surgical guides: From the past to the present. *Journal of pharmacy & bioallied sciences*. 2013;5(Suppl 1):S98.
- [189]. (2.2.30) Scarfe WC, Farman AG, Sukovic P. Clinical applications of cone-beam computed tomography in dental practice. *Journal-Canadian Dental Association*. 2006;72(1):75.
- [190]. (2.2.31) Benavides E, Rios HF, Ganz SD, An C-H, Resnik R, Reardon GT, et al. Use of cone beam computed tomography in implant dentistry: the International Congress of Oral Implantologists consensus report. *Implant dentistry*. 2012;21(2):78-86.
- [191]. (2.2.32) Jamjoom FZ, Kim D-G, McGlumphy EA, Lee DJ, Yilmaz B. Positional accuracy of a prosthetic treatment plan incorporated into a cone-beam computed tomography scan using surface scan registration. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 2018.
- [192]. (2.2.33) Arcuri L, Lorenzi C, Cecchetti F, Germano F, Spuntarelli M, Barlattani A. Full digital workflow for implant-prosthetic rehabilitations: a case report. *ORAL & implantology*. 2015;8(4):114.
- [193]. (2.2.34) Nikzad S, Azari A. A novel stereolithographic surgical guide template for planning treatment involving a mandibular dental implant. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2008;66(7):1446-54.
- [194]. (2.2.35) Stansbury JW, Idacavage MJ. 3D printing with polymers: Challenges among expanding options and opportunities. *Dental Materials*. 2016;32(1):54-64.
- [195]. (2.2.36) Dawood A, Marti BM, Sauret-Jackson V, Darwood A. 3D printing in dentistry. *British Dental Journal*. 2015;219(11):521.
- [196]. (2.2.37) Chae MP, Rozen WM, McMennamin PG, Findlay MW, Spychal RT, Hunter-Smith DJ. Emerging applications of bedside 3D printing in plastic surgery. *Frontiers in surgery*. 2015;2:25.
- [197]. (2.2.38) Edgar J, Tint S. Additive manufacturing technologies: 3D printing, rapid prototyping, and direct digital manufacturing. *Johnson Matthey Technology Review*. 2015;59(3):193-8.
- [198]. (2.2.39) Nikzad S, Azari A. Computer-assisted implant surgery; a flapless surgical/immediate loaded approach with 1 year follow-up. *The International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery*. 2008;4(4):348-54.
- [199]. (2.2.40) Beuer F, Schweiger J, Edelhoff D. Digital dentistry: an overview of recent developments for CAD/CAM generated restorations. *British dental journal*. 2008;204(9):505-11.
- [200]. (2.2.41) Shenoy VK, Prabhu MB. Computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing in dentistry—Future is present. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Dentistry*. 2015;5(2):60.
- [201]. (2.2.42) Steinmetz C, Christ A, Heister R, Grimm M, Anderl R, Sandig O, et al., editors. Mediated reality in dental technology. 21st European Concurrent Engineering Conference, ECEC; 2015.
- [202]. (2.2.43) Bergsjö DH, Andersson M, Söderberg R, Carlson J. Industrial-scale Production of Customized Ceramic Prostheses. *Advanced Ceramics for Dentistry*. 2013:327.
- [203]. (2.2.44) Delaram J, Valilai OF. Development of a Novel Solution to Enable Integration and Interoperability for Cloud Manufacturing. *Procedia CIRP*. 2016;52:6-11; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.07.056>.
- [204]. (2.2.45) Delaram J, Fatahi Valilai O. An architectural view to computer integrated manufacturing systems based on Axiomatic Design Theory. *Computers in Industry*. 2018;100:96-114; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.04.009>.
- [205]. (2.2.46) Delaram J, Valilai OF. A Novel Solution for Manufacturing Interoperability Fulfillment using Interoperability Service Providers. *Procedia CIRP*. 2017;63:774-9; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2017.03.141>.
- [206]. (3.1.1) Johnson, M.E., Supply chain management: Technology, globalization, and policy at a crossroads. *Interfaces*, 2006. 36(3): p. 191-193.
- [207]. (3.1.2) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A collaborative and integrated platform to support distributed manufacturing system using a service-oriented approach based on cloud computing paradigm. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2013. 29(1): p. 110-127; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2012.07.009>.
- [208]. (3.1.3) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A platform for optimisation in distributed manufacturing enterprises based on cloud manufacturing paradigm. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2014. 27(11): p. 1031-1054; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.874582>.

- [209]. (3.1.4) Delaram, J. and O. Fatahi Valilai, An architectural view to computer integrated manufacturing systems based on Axiomatic Design Theory. *Computers in Industry*, 2018. 100: p. 96-114; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.04.009>.
- [210]. (3.1.5) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, An Architectural Solution for Virtual Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems using ISO Standards. *Scientia Iranica*, 2018: p. <http://dx.doi.org/10.24200/SCI.2018.20799>
- [211]. (3.1.6) Wu, D., et al., Cloud manufacturing: Strategic vision and state-of-the-art. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 2013. 32(4): p. 564-579.
- [212]. (3.1.7) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, A Novel Solution for Manufacturing Interoperability Fulfillment using Interoperability Service Providers. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 63: p. 774-779; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2017.03.141>.
- [213]. (3.1.8) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, Development of a Novel Solution to Enable Integration and Interoperability for Cloud Manufacturing. *Procedia CIRP*, 2016. 52: p. 6-11; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.07.056>.
- [214]. (3.1.9) Tao, F., Y. Hu, and L. Zhang, Theory and practice: optimal resource service allocation in manufacturing grid. China Machine Press. ed, 2010. 1: p. 1-18.
- [215]. (3.1.10) Houshmand, M. and O.F. Valilai, LAYMOD: a layered and modular platform for CAX product data integration based on the modular architecture of the standard for exchange of product data. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2012. 25(6): p. 473-487; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2011.646308>.
- [216]. (3.1.11) Houshmand, M. and O.F. Valilai, A layered and modular platform to enable distributed CAX collaboration and support product data integration based on STEP standard. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2013. 26(8): p. 731-750; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.766935>.
- [217]. (3.1.12) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, INFELT STEP: An integrated and interoperable platform for collaborative CAD/CAPP/CAM/CNC machining systems based on STEP standard. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2010. 23(12): p. 1095-1117; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2010.527373>.
- [218]. (3.1.13) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A Manufacturing Ontology Model to Enable Data Integration Services in Cloud Manufacturing using Axiomatic Design Theory, in *Cloud-Based Design and Manufacturing (CBDMD)*. 2014, Springer. p. 179-206; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07398-9_7.
- [219]. (3.1.14) Wu, D., et al. Cloud manufacturing: drivers, current status, and future trends. in *ASME 2013 International Manufacturing Science and Engineering Conference collocated with the 41st North American Manufacturing Research Conference*. 2013. American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- [220]. (3.1.15) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 2014. 229(12): p. 2216-2237; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0954405414546706>.
- [221]. (3.1.16) Xu, X., From cloud computing to cloud manufacturing. *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, 2012. 28(1): p. 75-86.
- [222]. (3.1.17) Hashemian, S.V. and F. Mavaddat. A graph-based framework for composition of stateless web services. in *Web Services, 2006. ECOWS'06. 4th European Conference on*. 2006. IEEE.
- [223]. (3.1.18) Zeng, C., et al. Cloud computing service composition and search based on semantic. in *IEEE International Conference on Cloud Computing*. 2009. Springer.
- [224]. (3.1.19) Kofler, K., I. ul Haq, and E. Schikuta. A parallel branch and bound algorithm for workflow QoS optimization. in *Parallel Processing, 2009. ICPP'09. International Conference on*. 2009. IEEE.
- [225]. (3.1.20) Braekers, K., K. Ramaekers, and I. Van Nieuwenhuyse, The vehicle routing problem: State of the art classification and review. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 2016. 99: p. 300-313.
- [226]. (3.1.21) Sariklis, D. and S. Powell, A heuristic method for the open vehicle routing problem. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 2000: p. 564-573.
- [227]. (3.1.22) Repoussis, P.P., et al., A hybrid evolution strategy for the open vehicle routing problem. *Computers & Operations Research*, 2010. 37(3): p. 443-455.
- [228]. (3.1.23) Li, X., S.C. Leung, and P. Tian, A multistart adaptive memory-based tabu search algorithm for the heterogeneous fixed fleet open vehicle routing problem. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2012. 39(1): p. 365-374.
- [229]. (3.1.24) Cao, E. and M. Lai, The open vehicle routing problem with fuzzy demands. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2010. 37(3): p. 2405-2411.
- [230]. (3.1.25) Salari, M., P. Toth, and A. Tramontani, An ILP improvement procedure for the open vehicle routing problem. *Computers & Operations Research*, 2010. 37(12): p. 2106-2120.

- [231]. (3.1.26) Yu, S., C. Ding, and K. Zhu, A hybrid GA–TS algorithm for open vehicle routing optimization of coal mines material. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2011. 38(8): p. 10568-10573.
- [232]. (3.1.27) MirHassani, S. and N. Abolghasemi, A particle swarm optimization algorithm for open vehicle routing problem. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2011. 38(9): p. 11547-11551.
- [233]. (3.1.28) Zachariadis, E.E. and C.T. Kiranoudis, A strategy for reducing the computational complexity of local search-based methods for the vehicle routing problem. *Computers & operations research*, 2010. 37(12): p. 2089-2105.
- [234]. (3.1.29) Fleszar, K., I.H. Osman, and K.S. Hindi, A variable neighbourhood search algorithm for the open vehicle routing problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2009. 195(3): p. 803-809.
- [235]. (3.1.30) Liu, R. and Z. Jiang, The close–open mixed vehicle routing problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2012. 220(2): p. 349-360.
- [236]. (3.1.31) Lei, L., et al., On the integrated production, inventory, and distribution routing problem. *IIE Transactions*, 2006. 38(11): p. 955-970.
- [237]. (3.1.32) Boudia, M., M.A.O. Louly, and C. Prins, A reactive GRASP and path relinking for a combined production–distribution problem. *Computers & Operations Research*, 2007. 34(11): p. 3402-3419.
- [238]. (3.1.33) Boudia, M., M.A.O. Louly, and C. Prins, Fast heuristics for a combined production planning and vehicle routing problem. *Production Planning and Control*, 2008. 19(2): p. 85-96.
- [239]. (3.1.34) Bard, J.F. and N. Nananukul, The integrated production–inventory–distribution–routing problem. *Journal of Scheduling*, 2009. 12(3): p. 257-280.
- [240]. (3.1.35) Archetti, C., et al., Analysis of the maximum level policy in a production-distribution system. *Computers & Operations Research*, 2011. 38(12): p. 1731-1746.
- [241]. (3.1.36) Adulyasak, Y., J.-F. Cordeau, and R. Jans, Formulations and branch-and-cut algorithms for multivehicle production and inventory routing problems. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*, 2013. 26(1): p. 103-120.
- [242]. (3.1.37) Adulyasak, Y., J.-F. Cordeau, and R. Jans, Benders decomposition for production routing under demand uncertainty. *Operations Research*, 2015. 63(4): p. 851-867.
- [243]. (3.1.38) Absi, N., et al., A two-phase iterative heuristic approach for the production routing problem. *Transportation Science*, 2014. 49(4): p. 784-795.
- [244]. (3.1.39) Holland, J.H., Genetic algorithms. *Scientific american*, 1992. 267(1): p. 66-73.
- [245]. (3.1.40) Kumar, M., et al., Genetic algorithm: Review and application. *International Journal of Information Technology and Knowledge Management*, 2010. 2(2): p. 451-454.
- [246]. (3.2.1) Strandhagen, J.O., et al., Logistics 4.0 and emerging sustainable business models. *Advances in Manufacturing*, 2017. 5(4): p. 359-369.
- [247]. (3.2.2) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A collaborative and integrated platform to support distributed manufacturing system using a service-oriented approach based on cloud computing paradigm. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2013. 29(1): p. 110-127; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2012.07.009>.
- [248]. (3.2.3) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A platform for optimisation in distributed manufacturing enterprises based on cloud manufacturing paradigm. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2014. 27(11): p. 1031-1054.
- [249]. (3.2.4) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, Development of a Novel Solution to Enable Integration and Interoperability for Cloud Manufacturing. *Procedia CIRP*, 2016. 52: p. 6-11.
- [250]. (3.2.5) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, A Novel Solution for Manufacturing Interoperability Fulfillment using Interoperability Service Providers. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 63: p. 774-779.
- [251]. (3.2.6) Liu, Y., et al., Workload-based multi-task scheduling in cloud manufacturing. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2017. 45: p. 3-20.
- [252]. (3.2.7) Ren, L., et al., Cloud manufacturing: from concept to practice. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 2015. 9(2): p. 186-209.
- [253]. (3.2.8) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, A Mathematical Model for Task Scheduling in Cloud Manufacturing Systems focusing on Global Logistics. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 2018. 17: p. 387-394.
- [254]. (3.2.9) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, INFELT STEP: An integrated and interoperable platform for collaborative CAD/CAPP/CAM/CNC machining systems based on STEP standard. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2010. 23(12): p. 1095-1117.
- [255]. (3.2.10) Houshmand, M. and O.F. Valilai, LAYMOD: a layered and modular platform for CAx product data integration based on the modular architecture of the standard for exchange of product data. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2012. 25(6): p. 473-487.
- [256]. (3.2.11) Assari, M., J. Delaram, and O. Fatahi Valilai, Mutual manufacturing service selection and routing problem considering customer clustering in Cloud manufacturing. *Production & Manufacturing Research*, 2018. 6(1): p. 345-363.

- [257]. (3.2.12) Xu, X., From cloud computing to cloud manufacturing. *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, 2012. 28(1): p. 75-86.
- [258]. (3.2.13) Maciá Pérez, F., et al., *Cloud agile manufacturing*. 2012.
- [259]. (3.2.14) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A Manufacturing Ontology Model to Enable Data Integration Services in Cloud Manufacturing using Axiomatic Design Theory, in *Cloud-Based Design and Manufacturing (CBDMD)*. 2014, Springer. p. 179-206.
- [260]. (3.2.15) Tao, F., et al., Cloud manufacturing: a computing and service-oriented manufacturing model. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 2011. 225(10): p. 1969-1976.
- [261]. (3.2.16) Li, B.-H., et al., Cloud manufacturing: a new service-oriented networked manufacturing model. *Computer integrated manufacturing systems*, 2010. 16(1): p. 1-7.
- [262]. (3.2.17) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A collaborative and integrated platform to support distributed manufacturing system using a service-oriented approach based on cloud computing paradigm. *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, 2013. 29(1): p. 110-127.
- [263]. (3.2.18) Huang, B., et al., Cloud manufacturing service platform for small-and medium-sized enterprises. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2013. 65(9-12): p. 1261-1272.
- [264]. (3.2.19) Akbaripour, H., M. Houshmand, and O.F. Valilai, Cloud-Based Global Supply Chain: A Conceptual Model and Multilayer Architecture. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 2015. 137(4): p. 040913.
- [265]. (3.2.20) He, W. and L. Xu, A state-of-the-art survey of cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2015. 28(3): p. 239-250.
- [266]. (3.2.21) Jiang, L., et al., An IoT-oriented data storage framework in cloud computing platform. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 2014. 10(2): p. 1443-1451.
- [267]. (3.2.22) Da Xu, L., W. He, and S. Li, Internet of things in industries: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on industrial informatics*, 2014. 10(4): p. 2233-2243.
- [268]. (3.2.23) Li, S., L. Da Xu, and S. Zhao, 5G Internet of Things: A survey. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 2018. 10: p. 1-9.
- [269]. (3.2.24) Kim, J.H., A review of cyber-physical system research relevant to the emerging IT trends: industry 4.0, IoT, big data, and cloud computing. *Journal of industrial integration and management*, 2017. 2(03): p. 1750011.
- [270]. (3.2.25) Yli-Ojanperä, M., et al., Adapting an agile manufacturing concept to the reference architecture model industry 4.0: A survey and case study. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 2019. 15: p. 147-160.
- [271]. (3.2.26) Xu, L.D., E.L. Xu, and L. Li, Industry 4.0: state of the art and future trends. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2018. 56(8): p. 2941-2962.
- [272]. (3.2.27) Ghobakhloo, M. and N.T. Ching, Adoption of digital technologies of smart manufacturing in SMEs. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 2019. 16: p. 100107.
- [273]. (3.2.28) Xu, L.D., The contribution of systems science to Industry 4.0. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 2020. 37(4): p. 618-631.
- [274]. (3.2.29) Adamson, G., et al., Cloud manufacturing—a critical review of recent development and future trends. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2017. 30(4-5): p. 347-380.
- [275]. (3.2.30) Tai, L.J., et al. Manufacturing resources and demand intelligent matching in cloud manufacturing environment. in *Advanced Materials Research*. 2013. Trans Tech Publ.
- [276]. (3.2.31) Wang, T., S. Guo, and C.-G. Lee, Manufacturing task semantic modeling and description in cloud manufacturing system. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2014. 71(9-12): p. 2017-2031.
- [277]. (3.2.32) Narkhede, B.E., V.S. Narwane, and R.D. Raut, Adoption of Cloud Computing in Manufacturing: SWOT Analysis.
- [278]. (3.2.33) Zhang, L., et al., Cloud manufacturing: a new manufacturing paradigm. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 2014. 8(2): p. 167-187.
- [279]. (3.2.34) Cheng, Z., et al., Multitask oriented virtual resource integration and optimal scheduling in cloud manufacturing. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2014. 2014.
- [280]. (3.2.35) Jian, C. and Y. Wang, Batch task scheduling-oriented optimization modelling and simulation in cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Simulation Modelling*, 2014. 13(1): p. 93-101.
- [281]. (3.2.36) Li, W., et al., Subtask scheduling for distributed robots in cloud manufacturing. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 2017. 11(2): p. 941-950.
- [282]. (3.2.37) Liu, W.-N., B. Liu, and D.-H. Sun, Multi-task oriented service composition in cloud manufacturing. *Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 2013. 19(1): p. 199-209.

- [283]. (3.2.38) Liu, W., et al., Study on multi-task oriented services composition and optimisation with the 'Multi-Composition for Each Task' pattern in cloud manufacturing systems. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2013. 26(8): p. 786-805.
- [284]. (3.2.39) Cao, Y., et al., A TQCS-based service selection and scheduling strategy in cloud manufacturing. *The international journal of advanced manufacturing technology*, 2016. 82(1-4): p. 235-251.
- [285]. (3.2.40) Laili, Y., L. Zhang, and F. Tao. Energy adaptive immune genetic algorithm for collaborative design task scheduling in cloud manufacturing system. in *Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM)*, 2011 IEEE International Conference on. 2011. IEEE.
- [286]. (3.2.41) Bouzary, H., F.F. Chen, and K. Krishnaiyer, A modified discrete invasive weed algorithm for optimal service composition in cloud manufacturing systems. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 2018. 17: p. 403-410.
- [287]. (3.2.42) Delaram, J., et al., A matching mechanism for public cloud manufacturing platforms using intuitionistic Fuzzy VIKOR and deferred acceptance algorithm. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management*, 2021: p. 1-16.
- [288]. (3.2.43) Aghamohammadzadeh, E. and O.F. Valilai, A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(17): p. 5280-5298.
- [289]. (3.2.44) Zhou, J. and X. Yao, A hybrid approach combining modified artificial bee colony and cuckoo search algorithms for multi-objective cloud manufacturing service composition. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2017. 55(16): p. 4765-4784.
- [290]. (3.2.45) Zhou, L., et al., An event-triggered dynamic scheduling method for randomly arriving tasks in cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2018. 31(3): p. 318-333.
- [291]. (3.2.46) Zhou, L., et al., Diverse task scheduling for individualized requirements in cloud manufacturing. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 2017: p. 1-19.
- [292]. (3.2.47) Sadeghi Aghili, S.A., et al., Dynamic mutual manufacturing and transportation routing service selection for cloud manufacturing with multi-period service-demand matching. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 2021. 7: p. e461.
- [293]. (3.2.48) Wang, S.-l., et al., Research on selection strategy of machining equipment in cloud manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2014. 71(9-12): p. 1549-1563.
- [294]. (3.2.49) Zhang, M., et al., Research on resource service matching in cloud manufacturing. *Manufacturing Letters*, 2018.
- [295]. (3.2.50) Li, F., et al., Multi-objective optimisation of multi-task scheduling in cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2018: p. 1-17.
- [296]. (3.2.51) Valizadeh, S., O.F. Valilai, and M. Houshmand, Flexible flow line scheduling considering machine eligibility in a digital dental laboratory. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(21): p. 6513-6531.
- [297]. (3.2.52) Kusiak, A., The generalized group technology concept. *International journal of production research*, 1987. 25(4): p. 561-569.
- [298]. (3.2.53) Ameli, M.S.J. and J. Arkat, Cell formation with alternative process routings and machine reliability consideration. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2008. 35(7-8): p. 761-768.
- [299]. (3.2.54) Eguia, I., et al., Cell design and multi-period machine loading in cellular reconfigurable manufacturing systems with alternative routing. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2017. 55(10): p. 2775-2790.
- [300]. H. S. Kang et al., "Smart manufacturing: Past research, present findings, and future directions," *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 111–128, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s40684-016-0015-5.
- [301]. (3.3.2) H. Lasi, P. Fettke, H.-G. Kemper, T. Feld, and M. Hoffmann, "Industry 4.0," *Business & Information Systems Engineering: The International Journal of WIRTSCHAFTSINFORMATIK*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 239–242, 2014.
- [302]. (3.3.3) F. Tao, J. Cheng, Y. Cheng, S. Gu, T. Zheng, and H. Yang, "SDMSim: A manufacturing service supply-demand matching simulator under cloud environment," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 45, pp. 34–46, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2016.07.001.
- [303]. (3.3.4) L. Wang, C. Guo, Y. Li, B. Du, and S. Guo, "An outsourcing service selection method using ANN and SFLA algorithms for cement equipment manufacturing enterprises in cloud manufacturing," *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1065–1079, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12652-017-0612-3.

- [304]. (3.3.5) B. H. Li et al., "Cloud manufacturing: a new service-oriented networked manufacturing model," *Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2010.
- [305]. (3.3.6) A. A. F. Saldivar, Y. Li, W. N. Chen, Z. H. Zhan, J. Zhang, and L. Y. Chen, "Industry 4.0 with cyber-physical integration: A design and manufacture perspective," 2015 21st International Conference on Automation and Computing: Automation, Computing and Manufacturing for New Economic Growth, ICAC 2015, no. November, 2015, doi: 10.1109/ICAC.2015.7313954.
- [306]. (3.3.7) J. Delaram and O. F. Valilai, "A Mathematical Model for Task Scheduling in Cloud Manufacturing Systems focusing on Global Logistics," *Procedia Manufacturing*, vol. 17, pp. 387–394, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2018.10.061.
- [307]. (3.3.8) D. Wu, M. J. Greer, D. W. Rosen, and D. Schaefer, "Cloud manufacturing: Strategic vision and state-of-the-art," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 564–579, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jmsy.2013.04.008.
- [308]. (3.3.9) H. S. Kang et al., "Smart manufacturing: Past research, present findings, and future directions," *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 111–128, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s40684-016-0015-5.
- [309]. (3.3.10) O. F. Valilai and M. Houshmand, "Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; Using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, vol. 229, no. 12, 2015, doi: 10.1177/0954405414546706.
- [310]. (3.3.11) F. Tao and Q. Qi, "New IT driven service-oriented smart manufacturing: Framework and characteristics," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 81–91, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2017.2723764.
- [311]. (3.3.12) X. Zhu, J. Shi, F. Xie, and R. Song, "Pricing strategy and system performance in a cloud-based manufacturing system built on blockchain technology," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 1985–2002, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10845-020-01548-3.
- [312]. (3.3.13) F. Tao and Q. Qi, "New IT driven service-oriented smart manufacturing: Framework and characteristics," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 81–91, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2017.2723764.
- [313]. (3.3.14) H. Lasi, P. Fettke, H.-G. Kemper, T. Feld, and M. Hoffmann, "Industry 4.0," *Business & Information Systems Engineering: The International Journal of WIRTSCHAFTSINFORMATIK*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 239–242, 2014.
- [314]. (3.3.15) A. A. F. Saldivar, Y. Li, W. N. Chen, Z. H. Zhan, J. Zhang, and L. Y. Chen, "Industry 4.0 with cyber-physical integration: A design and manufacture perspective," 2015 21st International Conference on Automation and Computing: Automation, Computing and Manufacturing for New Economic Growth, ICAC 2015, no. November, 2015, doi: 10.1109/ICAC.2015.7313954.
- [315]. (3.3.16) Z. Li, A. V Barenji, and G. Q. Huang, "Toward a blockchain cloud manufacturing system as a peer to peer distributed network platform," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 54, pp. 133–144, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2018.05.011.
- [316]. (3.3.17) X. Zhu, J. Shi, S. Huang, and B. Zhang, "Consensus-oriented cloud manufacturing based on blockchain technology : An exploratory study," *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, no. xxxx, p. 101113, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.pmcj.2020.101113.
- [317]. (3.3.18) X. Zhu, J. Shi, F. Xie, and R. Song, "Pricing strategy and system performance in a cloud-based manufacturing system built on blockchain technology," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 1985–2002, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10845-020-01548-3.
- [318]. (3.3.19) Q. Qi and F. Tao, "A Smart Manufacturing Service System Based on Edge Computing, Fog Computing, and Cloud Computing," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 86769–86777, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2923610.
- [319]. (3.3.20) J. Delaram and O. F. Valilai, "A Mathematical Model for Task Scheduling in Cloud Manufacturing Systems focusing on Global Logistics," *Procedia Manufacturing*, vol. 17, pp. 387–394, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2018.10.061.
- [320]. (3.3.21) J. Zhou and X. Yao, "DE-caABC: differential evolution enhanced context-aware artificial bee colony algorithm for service composition and optimal selection in cloud manufacturing," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 90, no. 1–4, pp. 1085–1103, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00170-016-9455-x.
- [321]. (3.3.22) E. Aghamohammadzadeh and O. Fatahi Valilai, "A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 58, no. 17, pp. 5280–5298, 2020, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2020.1715507.
- [322]. (3.3.23) H. Liang, X. Wen, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, L. Zhang, and L. Wang, "Logistics-involved QoS-aware service composition in cloud manufacturing with deep reinforcement learning," *Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 67, no. April 2020, p. 101991, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2020.101991.

- [323]. (3.3.24) Y. Wang, S. Wang, B. Yang, B. Gao, and S. Wang, "An effective adaptive adjustment method for service composition exception handling in cloud manufacturing," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10845-020-01652-4.
- [324]. (3.3.25) L. Zhu, P. Li, G. Shen, and Z. H. I. Liu, "A Novel Service Composition Algorithm for Cloud-Based Manufacturing Environment," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 39148–39164, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2976164.
- [325]. (3.3.26) Y. Wang, Z. Dai, W. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Xu, and Q. Chen, "Urgent task-aware cloud manufacturing service composition using two-stage biogeography-based optimisation," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 1034–1047, 2018, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2018.1493230.
- [326]. (3.3.27) W. Liu, B. Liu, D. Sun, Y. Li, and G. Ma, "Study on multi-task oriented services composition and optimisation with the 'Multi-Composition for Each Task' pattern in cloud manufacturing systems," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 786–805, 2013, doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2013.766939.
- [327]. (3.3.28) Y. Liu, X. Xu, L. Zhang, and F. Tao, "An Extensible Model for Multitask-Oriented Service Composition and Scheduling in Cloud Manufacturing," *Journal of Computing and Information Science in Engineering*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 1–11, 2016, doi: 10.1115/1.4034186.
- [328]. (3.3.29) Y. Que, W. Zhong, H. Chen, X. Chen, and X. Ji, "Improved adaptive immune genetic algorithm for optimal QoS-aware service composition selection in cloud manufacturing," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 96, no. 9–12, pp. 4455–4465, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00170-018-1925-x.
- [329]. (3.3.30) J. Zhou and X. Yao, "DE-caABC: differential evolution enhanced context-aware artificial bee colony algorithm for service composition and optimal selection in cloud manufacturing," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 90, no. 1–4, pp. 1085–1103, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00170-016-9455-x.
- [330]. (3.3.31) H. Zheng, Y. Feng, and J. Tan, "A fuzzy QoS-aware resource service selection considering design preference in cloud manufacturing system," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 84, no. 1–4, pp. 371–379, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00170-016-8417-7.
- [331]. (3.3.32) F. Tao, Y. Laili, L. Xu, and L. Zhang, "FC-PACO-RM: A parallel method for service composition optimal-selection in cloud manufacturing system," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 2023–2033, 2013, doi: 10.1109/TII.2012.2232936.
- [332]. (3.3.33) H. Akbaripour, M. Houshmand, T. van Woensel, and N. Mutlu, "Cloud manufacturing service selection optimization and scheduling with transportation considerations: mixed-integer programming models," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 95, no. 1–4, pp. 43–70, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00170-017-1167-3.
- [333]. (3.3.34) L. Zhou, L. Zhang, and Y. Fang, "Logistics service scheduling with manufacturing provider selection in cloud manufacturing," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 65, no. November 2019, p. 101914, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2019.101914.
- [334]. (3.3.35) J. Lartigau, X. Xu, L. Nie, and D. Zhan, "Cloud manufacturing service composition based on QoS with geo-perspective transportation using an improved Artificial Bee Colony optimisation algorithm," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 53, no. 14, pp. 4380–4404, 2015, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2015.1005765.
- [335]. (4.1.1) Ren, L., et al., Cloud manufacturing: key characteristics and applications. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2017. 30(6): p. 501-515.
- [336]. (4.1.2) Tao, F., et al., SDMSim: a manufacturing service supply-demand matching simulator under cloud environment. *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, 2017. 45: p. 34-46.
- [337]. (4.1.3) Liu, Y. and X. Xu, Industry 4.0 and cloud manufacturing: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 2017. 139(3): p. 034701.
- [338]. (4.1.4) Liu, Y., et al., Workload-based multi-task scheduling in cloud manufacturing. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2017. 45: p. 3-20.
- [339]. (4.1.5) Valizadeh, S., et al., A novel digital dentistry platform based on cloud manufacturing paradigm. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2019: p. 1-19; <http://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2019.1686170>.
- [340]. (4.1.6) Xu, X., From cloud computing to cloud manufacturing. *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, 2012. 28(1): p. 75-86.
- [341]. (4.1.7) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A collaborative and integrated platform to support distributed manufacturing system using a service-oriented approach based on cloud computing paradigm. *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, 2013. 29(1): p. 110-127.
- [342]. (4.1.8) Delaram, J. and O. Fatahi Valilai, An architectural view to computer integrated manufacturing systems based on Axiomatic Design Theory. *Computers in Industry*, 2018. 100: p. 96-114; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.04.009>.

- [343]. (4.1.9) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, An Architectural Solution for Virtual Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems using ISO Standards. *Scientia Iranica*, 2018: p. <http://dx.doi.org/10.24200/SCI.2018.20799>
- [344]. (4.1.10) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, A Novel Solution for Manufacturing Interoperability Fulfillment using Interoperability Service Providers. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 63: p. 774-779; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2017.03.141>.
- [345]. (4.1.11) Houshmand, M. and O.F. Valilai, A layered and modular platform to enable distributed CAX collaboration and support product data integration based on STEP standard. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2013. 26(8): p. 731-750; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.766935>.
- [346]. (4.1.12) Houshmand, M. and O.F. Valilai, LAYMOD: a layered and modular platform for CAX product data integration based on the modular architecture of the standard for exchange of product data. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2012. 25(6): p. 473-487; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2011.646308>.
- [347]. (4.1.13) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, Development of a Novel Solution to Enable Integration and Interoperability for Cloud Manufacturing. *Procedia CIRP*, 2016. 52: p. 6-11; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.07.056>.
- [348]. (4.1.14) Vakili, A. and N.J. Navimipour, Comprehensive and systematic review of the service composition mechanisms in the cloud environments. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 2017. 81: p. 24-36.
- [349]. (4.1.15) Zhou, J., et al., An adaptive multi-population differential artificial bee colony algorithm for many-objective service composition in cloud manufacturing. *Information Sciences*, 2018.
- [350]. (4.1.16) Lu, Y. and X. Xu, A semantic web-based framework for service composition in a cloud manufacturing environment. *Journal of manufacturing systems*, 2017. 42: p. 69-81.
- [351]. (4.1.17) Assari, M., J. Delaram, and O.F. Valilai, Mutual manufacturing service selection and routing problem considering customer clustering in Cloud manufacturing. *Production & Manufacturing Research*, 2018: p. 1-19; <http://doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2018.1517056>.
- [352]. (4.1.18) Zeng, D., L. Gu, and H. Yao, Towards energy efficient service composition in green energy powered Cyber-Physical Fog Systems. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 2018.
- [353]. (4.1.19) Gabrel, V., et al., QoS-aware Automatic Syntactic Service Composition problem: complexity and resolution. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 2018. 80: p. 311-321.
- [354]. (4.1.20) Wang, J., et al., A new paradigm of cloud-based predictive maintenance for intelligent manufacturing. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 2017. 28(5): p. 1125-1137.
- [355]. (4.1.21) Huang, X., et al., Service requirement conflict resolution based on ant colony optimization in group-enterprises-oriented cloud manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2016. 84(1-4): p. 183-196.
- [356]. (4.1.22) Yang, C., et al., Towards product customization and personalization in IoT-enabled cloud manufacturing. *Cluster Computing*, 2017. 20(2): p. 1717-1730.
- [357]. (4.1.23) Nakamoto, S., Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. 2008.
- [358]. (4.1.24) Zhao, J.L., S. Fan, and J. Yan, Overview of business innovations and research opportunities in blockchain and introduction to the special issue. 2016, Springer.
- [359]. (4.1.25) Hoy, M.B., An introduction to the Blockchain and its implications for libraries and medicine. *Medical reference services quarterly*, 2017. 36(3): p. 273-279.
- [360]. (4.1.26) Haber, S. and W. Stornetta, How to Time-Stamp a Digital Document, *Crypto'90*, LNCS 537. 1991, Springer.
- [361]. (4.1.27) Christidis, K. and M. Devetsikiotis, Blockchains and smart contracts for the internet of things. *IEEE Access*, 2016. 4: p. 2292-2303.
- [362]. (4.1.28) Liang, X., et al. Provchain: A blockchain-based data provenance architecture in cloud environment with enhanced privacy and availability. in *Proceedings of the 17th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing*. 2017. IEEE Press.
- [363]. (4.1.29) Wei, W.C., Liquidity and market efficiency in cryptocurrencies. *Economics Letters*, 2018. 168: p. 21-24.
- [364]. (4.1.30) Parino, F., L. Gauvin, and M.G. Beiro, Analysis of the Bitcoin blockchain: Socio-economic factors behind the adoption. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.07657*, 2018.
- [365]. (4.1.31) Duong, T., et al. TwinsCoin: A Cryptocurrency via Proof-of-Work and Proof-of-Stake. in *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM Workshop on Blockchains, Cryptocurrencies, and Contracts*. 2018. ACM.
- [366]. (4.1.32) Eskandari, S., et al., A first look at the usability of bitcoin key management. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.04351*, 2018.
- [367]. (4.1.33) Szabo, N., Smart contracts. Unpublished manuscript, 1994.

- [368]. (4.1.34) Buterin, V., A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform. white paper, 2014.
- [369]. (4.1.35) Luu, L., et al. Making smart contracts smarter. in Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security. 2016. ACM.
- [370]. (4.1.36) Chen, W., et al. Detecting Ponzi Schemes on Ethereum: Towards Healthier Blockchain Technology. in Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference on World Wide Web. 2018. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
- [371]. (4.1.37) Peter, H. and A. Moser, Blockchain-applications in banking & payment transactions: results of a survey. European Financial Systems, 2017: p. 141.
- [372]. (4.1.38) Zheng, Z., et al., Blockchain challenges and opportunities: A survey. Work Pap.–2016, 2016.
- [373]. (4.1.39) Conoscenti, M., A. Vetro, and J.C. De Martin. Blockchain for the Internet of Things: A systematic literature review. in 2016 IEEE/ACS 13th International Conference of Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA). 2017. IEEE.
- [374]. (4.1.40) Dorri, A., et al. Blockchain for IoT security and privacy: The case study of a smart home. in 2017 IEEE international conference on pervasive computing and communications workshops (PerCom workshops). 2017. IEEE.
- [375]. (4.1.41) Banerjee, M., J. Lee, and K.-K.R. Choo, A blockchain future for internet of things security: A position paper. Digital Communications and Networks, 2018. 4(3): p. 149-160.
- [376]. (4.1.42) Zhang, C., et al., Review of existing peer-to-peer energy trading projects. Energy Procedia, 2017. 105: p. 2563-2568.
- [377]. (4.1.43) Chitchyan, R. and J. Murkin, Review of blockchain technology and its expectations: Case of the energy sector. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.03567, 2018.
- [378]. (4.1.44) Xia, Q., et al., MeDShare: Trust-less medical data sharing among cloud service providers via blockchain. IEEE Access, 2017. 5: p. 14757-14767.
- [379]. (4.1.45) Khezr, S., et al., Blockchain Technology in Healthcare: A Comprehensive Review and Directions for Future Research. Applied Sciences, 2019. 9(9): p. 1736.
- [380]. (4.1.46) Azaria, A., et al. Medrec: Using blockchain for medical data access and permission management. in 2016 2nd International Conference on Open and Big Data (OBD). 2016. IEEE.
- [381]. (4.1.47) Lu, Y., The blockchain: State-of-the-art and research challenges. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 2019.
- [382]. (4.1.48) Wang, Q., et al., Blockchain for the iot and industrial iot: A review. Internet of Things, 2019: p. 100081.
- [383]. (4.1.49) Teslya, N. and I. Ryabchikov. Blockchain-based platform architecture for industrial IoT. in 2017 21st Conference of Open Innovations Association (FRUCT). 2017. IEEE.
- [384]. (4.1.50) Mourtzis, D. and M. Doukas, Decentralized manufacturing systems review: challenges and outlook, in Robust Manufacturing Control. 2013, Springer. p. 355-369.
- [385]. (4.1.51) Xu, L.D., E.L. Xu, and L. Li, Industry 4.0: state of the art and future trends. International Journal of Production Research, 2018. 56(8): p. 2941-2962.
- [386]. (4.1.52) Dolgui, A., et al., Scheduling in production, supply chain and Industry 4.0 systems by optimal control: fundamentals, state-of-the-art and applications. International Journal of Production Research, 2019. 57(2): p. 411-432.
- [387]. (4.1.53) Ivanov, D., A. Dolgui, and B. Sokolov, The impact of digital technology and Industry 4.0 on the ripple effect and supply chain risk analytics. International Journal of Production Research, 2019. 57(3): p. 829-846.
- [388]. (4.1.54) Shukla, N., M.K. Tiwari, and G. Beydoun, Next generation smart manufacturing and service systems using big data analytics. 2019, Elsevier.
- [389]. (4.1.55) Panetto, H., et al., Challenges for the cyber-physical manufacturing enterprises of the future. Annual Reviews in Control, 2019.
- [390]. (4.1.56) Ivanov, D., et al., A dynamic model and an algorithm for short-term supply chain scheduling in the smart factory industry 4.0. International Journal of Production Research, 2016. 54(2): p. 386-402.
- [391]. (4.1.57) Saberi, S., et al., Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. International Journal of Production Research, 2019. 57(7): p. 2117-2135.
- [392]. (4.1.58) Pournader, M., et al., Blockchain applications in supply chains, transport and logistics: a systematic review of the literature. International Journal of Production Research, 2019: p. 1-19.
- [393]. (4.1.59) Kshetri, N., 1 Blockchain's roles in meeting key supply chain management objectives. International Journal of Information Management, 2018. 39: p. 80-89.
- [394]. (4.1.60) Abeyratne, S.A. and R.P. Monfared, Blockchain ready manufacturing supply chain using distributed ledger. 2016.
- [395]. (4.1.61) Dolgui, A., et al., Blockchain-oriented dynamic modelling of smart contract design and execution in the supply chain. International Journal of Production Research, 2019: p. 1-16.

- [396]. (4.1.62) Valizadeh, S., O. Fatahi Valilai, and M. Houshmand, Flexible flow line scheduling considering machine eligibility in a digital dental laboratory. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019: p. 1-19; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1683247>
- [397]. (4.1.63) Aghamohammadzadeh, E., M. Malek, and O.F. Valilai, A novel model for optimisation of logistics and manufacturing operation service composition in Cloud manufacturing system focusing on cloud-entropy. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019: p. 1-29.
- [398]. (4.1.64) Liu, Y., et al., Scheduling in cloud manufacturing: state-of-the-art and research challenges. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019. 57(15-16): p. 4854-4879.
- [399]. (4.1.65) Chen, F., et al., A flexible QoS-aware Web service composition method by multi-objective optimization in cloud manufacturing. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 2016. 99: p. 423-431.
- [400]. (4.1.66) Yuan, M., et al., Dynamic service resources scheduling method in cloud manufacturing environment. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019: p. 1-18.
- [401]. (4.1.67) Lartigau, J., et al., Cloud manufacturing service composition based on QoS with geo-perspective transportation using an improved Artificial Bee Colony optimisation algorithm. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2015. 53(14): p. 4380-4404.
- [402]. (4.1.68) Wang, Y., et al., Logistics-aware manufacturing service collaboration optimisation towards industrial internet platform. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2018: p. 1-20.
- [403]. (4.1.69) Zhang, W., et al., Correlation-aware manufacturing service composition model using an extended flower pollination algorithm. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2018. 56(14): p. 4676-4691.
- [404]. (4.1.70) Vahedi-Nouri, B., R. Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, and M. Rohaninejad, A Multi-Objective Scheduling Model for a Cloud Manufacturing System with Pricing, Equity, and Order Rejection. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 2019. 52(13): p. 2177-2182.
- [405]. (4.1.71) Wu, Y., G. Jia, and Y. Cheng, Cloud manufacturing service composition and optimal selection with sustainability considerations: a multi-objective integer bi-level multi-follower programming approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019: p. 1-19.
- [406]. (4.1.72) Chen, J., et al., A cooperative approach to service booking and scheduling in cloud manufacturing. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2019. 273(3): p. 861-873.
- [407]. (4.1.73) Zhou, J. and X. Yao, A hybrid approach combining modified artificial bee colony and cuckoo search algorithms for multi-objective cloud manufacturing service composition. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2017. 55(16): p. 4765-4784.
- [408]. (4.1.74) Hayyolalam, V., et al., Exploring the state-of-the-art service composition approaches in cloud manufacturing systems to enhance upcoming techniques. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2019. 105(1-4): p. 471-498.
- [409]. (4.1.75) Zhou, J. and X. Yao, Hybrid teaching–learning-based optimization of correlation-aware service composition in cloud manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2017. 91(9-12): p. 3515-3533.
- [410]. (4.1.76) Geyik, S.C., B.K. Szymanski, and P. Zerfos, Robust dynamic service composition in sensor networks. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing*, 2013. 6(4): p. 560-572.
- [411]. (4.1.77) Alrifai, M. and T. Risse. Combining global optimization with local selection for efficient QoS-aware service composition. in *Proceedings of the 18th international conference on World wide web*. 2009. ACM.
- [412]. (4.1.78) Cheng, Y., et al., Modeling of manufacturing service supply–demand matching hypernetwork in service-oriented manufacturing systems. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2017. 45: p. 59-72.
- [413]. (4.1.79) Jula, A., E. Sundararajan, and Z. Othman, Cloud computing service composition: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2014. 41(8): p. 3809-3824.
- [414]. (4.1.80) Stützle, T., Local search algorithms for combinatorial problems. *Darmstadt University of Technology PhD Thesis*, 1998. 20.
- [415]. (4.1.81) Holland, J.H., Genetic algorithms. *Scientific american*, 1992. 267(1): p. 66-73.
- [416]. (4.1.82) Eberhart, R. and J. Kennedy. A new optimizer using particle swarm theory. in *Micro Machine and Human Science*, 1995. MHS'95., *Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on*. 1995. IEEE.
- [417]. (4.1.83) Karaboga, D., An idea based on honey bee swarm for numerical optimization. 2005, Technical report-tr06, Erciyes university, engineering faculty, computer engineering department.
- [418]. (4.1.84) Colomni, A., M. Dorigo, and V. Maniezzo. Distributed optimization by ant colonies. in *Proceedings of the first European conference on artificial life*. 1991. Paris, France.
- [419]. (4.1.85) Luna, F., et al., Solving large-scale real-world telecommunication problems using a grid-based genetic algorithm. *Engineering Optimization*, 2008. 40(11): p. 1067-1084.
- [420]. (4.1.86) Gandomi, A.H., et al., Metaheuristic algorithms in modeling and optimization, in *Metaheuristic applications in structures and infrastructures*. 2013, Elsevier. p. 1-24.

- [421]. (4.1.87) Liu, Y., et al. Scaling up fast evolutionary programming with cooperative coevolution. in *Evolutionary Computation*, 2001. Proceedings of the 2001 Congress on. 2001. Ieee.
- [422]. (4.1.88) Li, X., et al., Benchmark functions for the CEC 2013 special session and competition on large-scale global optimization. *gene*, 2013. 7(33): p. 8.
- [423]. (4.1.89) Yang, Z., K. Tang, and X. Yao, Large scale evolutionary optimization using cooperative coevolution. *Information Sciences*, 2008. 178(15): p. 2985-2999.
- [424]. (4.1.90) Weise, T., R. Chiong, and K. Tang, Evolutionary optimization: Pitfalls and booby traps. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, 2012. 27(5): p. 907-936.
- [425]. (4.1.91) Kale, M.A. and S. Dhamdhare, Survey Paper on Different Type of Hashing Algorithm. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL*, 2018. 3(2).
- [426]. (4.1.92) Blackert, W., et al. Analyzing interaction between distributed denial of service attacks and mitigation technologies. in *Proceedings DARPA Information Survivability Conference and Exposition*. 2003. IEEE.
- [427]. (4.1.93) Bano, S., et al., Consensus in the age of blockchains. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.03936*, 2017.
- [428]. (4.1.94) Kuebler, R.G., *Application of Blockchain for Authentication, Verification of Identity and Cloud Computing*. 2018, Utica College.
- [429]. (4.2.1) Meixell, M.J. and V.B. Gargeya, Global supply chain design: A literature review and critique. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 2005. 41(6): p. 531-550.
- [430]. (4.2.2) Supriya, B.A. and I. Djearmane, RFID based cloud supply chain management. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 2013. 4(5): p. 2157-2159.
- [431]. (4.2.3) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, Depicting additive manufacturing from a global perspective; using Cloud manufacturing paradigm for integration and collaboration. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 2014. 229(12): p. 2216-2237.
- [432]. (4.2.4) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A Manufacturing Ontology Model to Enable Data Integration Services in Cloud Manufacturing using Axiomatic Design Theory, in *Cloud-Based Design and Manufacturing (CBDMD)*. 2014, Springer. p. 179-206.
- [433]. (4.2.5) Aghamohammadzadeh, E., M. Malek, and O.F. Valilai, A novel model for optimisation of logistics and manufacturing operation service composition in Cloud manufacturing system focusing on cloud-entropy. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019. 58(7): p. 1987-2015.
- [434]. (4.2.6) Aghamohammadzadeh, E. and O.F. Valilai, A novel cloud manufacturing service composition platform enabled by Blockchain technology. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(17): p. 5280-5298.
- [435]. (4.2.7) Rezapour Niari, M., K. Eshgi, and O. Fatahi Valilai, Topology analysis of manufacturing service supply-demand hyper-network considering QoS properties in the cloud manufacturing system. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2021. 72: p. 102205.
- [436]. (4.2.8) Zhou, J. and X. Yao, A hybrid approach combining modified artificial bee colony and cuckoo search algorithms for multi-objective cloud manufacturing service composition. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2017. 55(16): p. 4765-4784.
- [437]. (4.2.9) Delaram, J., et al., A utility-based matching mechanism for stable and optimal resource allocation in cloud manufacturing platforms using deferred acceptance algorithm. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 2021. 60: p. 569-584.
- [438]. (4.2.10) Delaram, J., et al., A matching mechanism for public cloud manufacturing platforms using intuitionistic Fuzzy VIKOR and deferred acceptance algorithm. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management*, 2021. 16(2): p. 107-122
- [439]. (4.2.11) Crosby, M., et al., Blockchain technology: Beyond bitcoin. *Applied Innovation*, 2016. 2(6-10): p. 71.
- [440]. (4.2.12) Nakamoto, S., *Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system*. 2008.
- [441]. (4.2.13) Liu, Y. and X. Xu, Industry 4.0 and cloud manufacturing: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 2017. 139(3).
- [442]. (4.2.14) Zhang, L., et al. Flexible management of resource service composition in cloud manufacturing. in *Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IEEM)*, 2010 IEEE International Conference on. 2010. IEEE.
- [443]. (4.2.15) Adamson, G., et al., Cloud manufacturing—a critical review of recent development and future trends. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2017. 30(4-5): p. 347-380.
- [444]. (4.2.16) Manenti, P., Building the global cars of the future. *Managing Automation*, 2011. 26(1): p. 8-14.
- [445]. (4.2.17) Houshmand, M. and O.F. Valilai, A layered and modular platform to enable distributed CAX collaboration and support product data integration based on STEP standard. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2013. 26(8): p. 731-750.

- [446]. (4.2.18) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A platform for optimisation in distributed manufacturing enterprises based on cloud manufacturing paradigm. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2014. 27(11): p. 1031-1054.
- [447]. (4.2.19) Ren, L., et al., Cloud manufacturing: from concept to practice. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 2015. 9(2): p. 186-209.
- [448]. (4.2.20) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, Development of a Novel Solution to Enable Integration and Interoperability for Cloud Manufacturing. *Procedia CIRP*, 2016. 52: p. 6-11.
- [449]. (4.2.21) Strandhagen, J.O., et al., Logistics 4.0 and emerging sustainable business models. *Advances in Manufacturing*, 2017. 5(4): p. 359-369.
- [450]. (4.2.22) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, An architectural view to computer integrated manufacturing systems based on Axiomatic Design Theory. *Computers in Industry*, 2018. 100: p. 96-114.
- [451]. (4.2.23) Delaram, J. and O.F. Valilai, A Novel Solution for Manufacturing Interoperability Fulfillment using Interoperability Service Providers. *Procedia CIRP*, 2017. 63: p. 774-779.
- [452]. (4.2.24) Tong, H. and J. Zhu, A two-layer social network model for manufacturing service composition based on synergy: A case study on an aircraft structural part. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2020. 65: p. 101933.
- [453]. (4.2.25) Yuan, M., et al., Service composition model and method in cloud manufacturing. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 2020. 61: p. 101840.
- [454]. (4.2.26) Yang, Y., et al., An Improved Grey Wolf Optimizer Algorithm for Energy-Aware Service Composition in Cloud Manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2019. 105(7-8): p. 3079-3091.
- [455]. (4.2.27) Yang, Y., et al., A dynamic ant-colony genetic algorithm for cloud service composition optimization. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2019. 102(1-4): p. 355-368.
- [456]. (4.2.28) Bouzary, H. and F.F. Chen, A hybrid grey wolf optimizer algorithm with evolutionary operators for optimal QoS-aware service composition and optimal selection in cloud manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2019. 101(9-12): p. 2771-2784.
- [457]. (4.2.29) Fazeli, M.M., Y. Farjami, and M. Nickray, An ensemble optimisation approach to service composition in cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2019. 32(1): p. 83-91.
- [458]. (4.2.30) Zhou, J., et al., An adaptive multi-population differential artificial bee colony algorithm for many-objective service composition in cloud manufacturing. *Information Sciences*, 2018. 456: p. 50-82.
- [459]. (4.2.31) Zhang, W., et al., Correlation-aware manufacturing service composition model using an extended flower pollination algorithm. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2018. 56(14): p. 4676-4691.
- [460]. (4.2.32) Li, F., et al., A clustering network-based approach to service composition in cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2017. 30(12): p. 1331-1342.
- [461]. (4.2.33) Ahmadi, E., et al. Using Blockchain Technology to Extend the Vendor Managed Inventory for Sustainability. in *ICSCLE 2021: International Conference on Supply Chain and Logistics Engineering*. 2021. Madrid, Spain, Mar 23-25: International Journal of Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology.
- [462]. (4.2.34) Seebacher, S. and R. Schüritz. Blockchain technology as an enabler of service systems: A structured literature review. in *International Conference on Exploring Services Science*. 2017. Springer.
- [463]. (4.2.35) Francisco, K. and D. Swanson, The supply chain has no clothes: Technology adoption of blockchain for supply chain transparency. *Logistics*, 2018. 2(1): p. 2.
- [464]. (4.2.36) Kim, H.M. and M. Laskowski, Toward an ontology-driven blockchain design for supply-chain provenance. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management*, 2018. 25(1): p. 18-27.
- [465]. (4.2.37) Apte, S. and N. Petrovsky, Will blockchain technology revolutionize excipient supply chain management? *Journal of Excipients and Food Chemicals*, 2016. 7(3): p. 910.
- [466]. (4.2.38) Wang, Y., J.H. Han, and P. Beynon-Davies, Understanding blockchain technology for future supply chains: a systematic literature review and research agenda. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 2019.
- [467]. (4.2.39) Helo, P. and Y. Hao, Blockchains in operations and supply chains: A model and reference implementation. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 2019. 136: p. 242-251.
- [468]. (4.2.40) Yu, C., et al., A blockchain-based service composition architecture in cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2020. 33(7): p. 701-715.

- [469]. (4.2.41) Hasan, M. and B. Starly, Decentralized cloud manufacturing-as-a-service (CMaaS) platform architecture with configurable digital assets. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 2020. 56: p. 157-174.
- [470]. (4.2.42) Zhu, X., et al., Pricing strategy and system performance in a cloud-based manufacturing system built on blockchain technology. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 2020. 31(8): p. 1985-2002.
- [471]. (4.2.43) Vatankhah Barenji, R., A blockchain technology based trust system for cloud manufacturing. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 2021.
- [472]. (4.2.44) Zhang, Y., et al., Proof of service power: A blockchain consensus for cloud manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 2021. 59: p. 1-11.
- [473]. (4.2.45) Zhu, X., et al., Consensus-oriented cloud manufacturing based on blockchain technology: An exploratory study. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 2020. 62: p. 101113.
- [474]. (4.2.46) Vatankhah Barenji, A., et al., Blockchain-based ubiquitous manufacturing: a secure and reliable cyber-physical system. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(7): p. 2200-2221.
- [475]. (4.2.47) Vatankhah Barenji, A., et al., Blockchain-Based Cloud Manufacturing: Decentralization. 2018.
- [476]. (4.2.48) Barenji, A.V., Z. Li, and W.M. Wang. Blockchain Cloud Manufacturing: Shop Floor and Machine Level. in *Smart SysTech 2018; European Conference on Smart Objects, Systems and Technologies*. 2018.
- [477]. (4.2.49) Li, R., et al. Trust Mechanism of Cloud Manufacturing Service Platform Based on Blockchain. in *2019 11th International Conference on Intelligent Human-Machine Systems and Cybernetics (IHMSC)*. 2019.
- [478]. (4.2.50) Iansiti, M. and K.R. Lakhani, *The Truth About Blockchain*. 2017.
- [479]. (4.2.51) Antonopoulos, A.M., *Mastering Bitcoin: unlocking digital cryptocurrencies*. 2014: "O'Reilly Media, Inc."
- [480]. (4.2.52) Garay, J. and A. Kiayias. Sok: A consensus taxonomy in the blockchain era. in *Cryptographers' Track at the RSA Conference*. 2020. Springer.
- [481]. (4.2.53) Sankar, L.S., M. Sindhu, and M. Sethumadhavan. Survey of consensus protocols on blockchain applications. in *2017 4th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS)*. 2017. IEEE.
- [482]. (4.2.54) Lakshman, T. and A.K. Agrawala, Efficient decentralized consensus protocols. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 1986(5): p. 600-607.
- [483]. (4.2.55) Wu, L., et al. Democratic centralism: a hybrid blockchain architecture and its applications in energy internet. in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Energy Internet (ICEI)*. 2017. IEEE.
- [484]. (4.2.56) Li, H., et al., An efficient merkle-tree-based authentication scheme for smart grid. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 2013. 8(2): p. 655-663.
- [485]. (4.2.57) Yang, S. and R. Tinós, A hybrid immigrants scheme for genetic algorithms in dynamic environments. *International Journal of Automation and Computing*, 2007. 4(3): p. 243-254.
- [486]. (4.2.58) Poon, P.W. and J.N. Carter, Genetic algorithm crossover operators for ordering applications. *Computers & Operations Research*, 1995. 22(1): p. 135-147.
- [487]. (4.2.59) Deb, K., et al., A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation*, 2002. 6(2): p. 182-197.
- [488]. (4.3.1) Olsen, T.L. and B. Tomlin, Industry 4.0: Opportunities and challenges for operations management. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 2020. 22(1): p. 113-122.
- [489]. (4.3.2) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A Manufacturing Ontology Model to Enable Data Integration Services in Cloud Manufacturing using Axiomatic Design Theory, in *Cloud-Based Design and Manufacturing (CBDMD)*. 2014, Springer. p. 179-206; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07398-9_7.
- [490]. (4.3.3) Valilai, O.F. and M. Houshmand, A collaborative and integrated platform to support distributed manufacturing system using a service-oriented approach based on cloud computing paradigm. *Robotics and computer-integrated manufacturing*, 2013. 29(1): p. 110-127; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2012.07.009>.
- [491]. (4.3.4) Liao, Y., et al., Past, present and future of Industry 4.0 - a systematic literature review and research agenda proposal. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2017. 55(12): p. 3609-3629.
- [492]. (4.3.5) Sgarbossa, F., M. Peron, and G. Fragapane, Cloud Material Handling Systems: Conceptual Model and Cloud-Based Scheduling of Handling Activities, in *Scheduling in Industry 4.0 and Cloud Manufacturing*, B. Sokolov, D. Ivanov, and A. Dolgui, Editors. 2020, Springer International Publishing: Cham. p. 87-101.
- [493]. (4.3.6) Kamble, S.S., A. Gunasekaran, and S.A. Gawankar, Sustainable Industry 4.0 framework: A systematic literature review identifying the current trends and future perspectives. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2018. 117: p. 408-425.

- [494]. (4.3.7) Stock, T. and G. Seliger, Opportunities of Sustainable Manufacturing in Industry 4.0. *Procedia CIRP*, 2016. 40: p. 536-541.
- [495]. (4.3.8) Lee, J.Y., J.S. Yoon, and B.-H. Kim, A big data analytics platform for smart factories in small and medium-sized manufacturing enterprises: An empirical case study of a die casting factory. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, 2017. 18(10): p. 1353-1361.
- [496]. (4.3.9) Houshmand, M. and O.F. Valilai, A layered and modular platform to enable distributed CAX collaboration and support product data integration based on STEP standard. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2013. 26(8): p. 731-750; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.766935>.
- [497]. (4.3.10) Esmaeilian, B., et al., Blockchain for the future of sustainable supply chain management in Industry 4.0. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 2020. 163: p. 105064.
- [498]. (4.3.11) Lobo, C.R., H. Wicaksono, and O.F. Valilai, Implementation of Blockchain Technology to Enhance Last Mile Delivery Models with Sustainability Perspectives. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 2022. 55(10): p. 3304-3309.
- [499]. (4.3.12) Shah, S.S., A. Pirayesh, and O. Fatahi Valilai. Using Blockchain Technology for 3D Printing in Manufacturing of Dental Implants in Digital Dentistry. in *Flexible Automation and Intelligent Manufacturing: The Human-Data-Technology Nexus*. 2023. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [500]. (4.3.13) Ivanov, D., A. Dolgui, and B. Sokolov, Cloud supply chain: Integrating industry 4.0 and digital platforms in the "Supply Chain-as-a-Service". *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 2022. 160: p. 102676.
- [501]. (4.3.14) ElMamy, S.B., et al. A Survey on the Usage of Blockchain Technology for Cyber-Threats in the Context of Industry 4.0. *Sustainability*, 2020. 12, DOI: 10.3390/su12219179.
- [502]. (4.3.15) Khanfar, A.A.A., et al. Applications of Blockchain Technology in Sustainable Manufacturing and Supply Chain Management: A Systematic Review. *Sustainability*, 2021. 13, DOI: 10.3390/su13147870.
- [503]. (4.3.16) Nakamoto, S., Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. 2008.
- [504]. (4.3.17) Buterin, V., A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform. white paper, 2014. 3(37).
- [505]. (4.3.18) Crosby, M., et al., Blockchain technology: Beyond bitcoin. *Applied Innovation*, 2016. 2(6-10): p. 71.
- [506]. (4.3.19) Kamble, S.S., A. Gunasekaran, and R. Sharma, Modeling the blockchain enabled traceability in agriculture supply chain. *International Journal of Information Management*, 2020. 52: p. 101967.
- [507]. (4.3.20) Kamble, S., A. Gunasekaran, and H. Arha, Understanding the Blockchain technology adoption in supply chains-Indian context. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019. 57(7): p. 2009-2033.
- [508]. (4.3.21) Korpela, K., J. Hallikas, and T. Dahlberg. Digital supply chain transformation toward blockchain integration. in *proceedings of the 50th Hawaii international conference on system sciences*. 2017.
- [509]. (4.3.22) Ferdows, K., Keeping up with growing complexity of managing global operations. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 2018.
- [510]. (4.3.23) Yoon, J., et al., The value of Blockchain technology implementation in international trades under demand volatility risk. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(7): p. 2163-2183.
- [511]. (4.3.24) Chang, Y., E. Iakovou, and W. Shi, Blockchain in global supply chains and cross border trade: a critical synthesis of the state-of-the-art, challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(7): p. 2082-2099.
- [512]. (4.3.25) Queiroz, M.M., R. Telles, and S.H. Bonilla, Blockchain and supply chain management integration: a systematic review of the literature. *Supply chain management: An international journal*, 2020. 25(2): p. 241-254.
- [513]. (4.3.26) van Hoek, R., Developing a framework for considering blockchain pilots in the supply chain—lessons from early industry adopters. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 2019.
- [514]. (4.3.27) Wang, Y., et al., Making sense of blockchain technology: How will it transform supply chains? *International Journal of Production Economics*, 2019. 211: p. 221-236.
- [515]. (4.3.28) Kouhizadeh, M., S. Saberi, and J. Sarkis, Blockchain technology and the sustainable supply chain: Theoretically exploring adoption barriers. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 2021. 231: p. 107831.
- [516]. (4.3.29) Dey, S., et al. FoodSQRBlock: Digitizing Food Production and the Supply Chain with Blockchain and QR Code in the Cloud. *Sustainability*, 2021. 13, DOI: 10.3390/su13063486.
- [517]. (4.3.30) Tijan, E., et al. Blockchain Technology Implementation in Logistics. *Sustainability*, 2019. 11, DOI: 10.3390/su11041185.

- [518]. (4.3.31) Adams, R., B. Kewell, and G. Parry, Blockchain for good? Digital ledger technology and sustainable development goals, in *Handbook of sustainability and social science research*. 2018, Springer. p. 127-140.
- [519]. (4.3.32) Saber, S., et al., Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2019. 57(7): p. 2117-2135.
- [520]. (4.3.33) Nayak, G. and A.S. Dhaigude, A conceptual model of sustainable supply chain management in small and medium enterprises using blockchain technology. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 2019. 7(1): p. 1667184.
- [521]. (4.3.34) Bai, C. and J. Sarkis, A supply chain transparency and sustainability technology appraisal model for blockchain technology. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(7): p. 2142-2162.
- [522]. (4.3.35) Manupati, V.K., et al., A blockchain-based approach for a multi-echelon sustainable supply chain. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(7): p. 2222-2241.
- [523]. (4.3.36) Roeck, D., H. Sternberg, and E. Hofmann, Distributed ledger technology in supply chains: A transaction cost perspective. *International Journal of Production Research*, 2020. 58(7): p. 2124-2141.
- [524]. (4.3.37) Di Vaio, A. and L. Varriale, Blockchain technology in supply chain management for sustainable performance: Evidence from the airport industry. *International Journal of Information Management*, 2020. 52: p. 102014.
- [525]. (4.3.38) Kouhizadeh, M. and J. Sarkis, Blockchain practices, potentials, and perspectives in greening supply chains. *Sustainability*, 2018. 10(10): p. 3652.
- [526]. (4.3.39) Kshetri, N., Blockchain and sustainable supply chain management in developing countries. *International Journal of Information Management*, 2021. 60: p. 102376.
- [527]. (4.3.40) Sahoo, S., et al., Blockchain for sustainable supply chain management: Trends and ways forward. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 2022: p. 1-56.
- [528]. (4.3.41) Park, A. and H. Li, The effect of blockchain technology on supply chain sustainability performances. *Sustainability*, 2021. 13(4): p. 1726.
- [529]. (4.3.42) Yousefi, S. and B.M. Tosarkani, An analytical approach for evaluating the impact of blockchain technology on sustainable supply chain performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 2022. 246: p. 108429.
- [530]. (4.3.43) Dutta, P., et al. The individual and integrated impact of Blockchain and IoT on sustainable supply chains: A systematic review. in *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal*. 2023. Taylor & Francis.
- [531]. (4.3.44) Jraisat, L., et al. Blockchain technology: the role of integrated reverse supply chain networks in sustainability. in *Supply chain forum: An international journal*. 2023. Taylor & Francis.
- [532]. (4.3.45) Corazza, L., et al., Blockchain and Sustainability Disclosure: A Scenario-Based Application for Supply Chains. *Sustainability*, 2023. 15(1): p. 571.
- [533]. (4.3.46) Khan, S.A., et al., Blockchain technologies as enablers of supply chain mapping for sustainable supply chains. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 2022.
- [534]. (4.3.47) Biswas, D., et al., Traceability vs. sustainability in supply chains: The implications of blockchain. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2023. 305(1): p. 128-147.
- [535]. (4.3.48) Radke, A.M. and M.M. Tseng, Design considerations for building distributed supply chain management systems based on cloud computing. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 2015. 137(4).
- [536]. (4.3.49) Bertsekas, D., Distributed dynamic programming. *IEEE transactions on Automatic Control*, 1982. 27(3): p. 610-616.
- [537]. (4.3.50) Silva, C.A., et al., Distributed supply chain management using ant colony optimization. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2009. 199(2): p. 349-358.
- [538]. (4.3.51) Law, A., Smart contracts and their application in supply chain management. 2017, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- [539]. (4.3.52) Radmanesh, S.-A., A. Haji, and O.F. Valilai, Blockchain-based cloud manufacturing platforms: A novel idea for service composition in XaaS paradigm. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 2021. 7: p. e743.
- [540]. (4.3.53) De Giovanni, P., Blockchain and smart contracts in supply chain management: A game theoretic model. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 2020. 228: p. 107855.
- [541]. (4.3.54) De Giovanni, P., Digital supply chain through dynamic inventory and smart contracts. *Mathematics*, 2019. 7(12): p. 1235.
- [542]. (4.3.55) Raj, P.V.R.P., et al., Procurement, traceability and advance cash credit payment transactions in supply chain using blockchain smart contracts. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 2022. 167: p. 108038.

- [543]. (4.3.56) Zeynivand, M., et al., Alternative process routing and consolidated production-distribution planning with a destination oriented strategy in cloud manufacturing. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 2021. 34(11): p. 1162-1176.
- [544]. (4.3.57) Suh, N.P., Designing-in of quality through axiomatic design. *IEEE Transactions on reliability*, 1995. 44(2): p. 256-264.
- [545]. (4.3.58) Cebi, S. and C. Kahraman, Indicator design for passenger car using fuzzy axiomatic design principles. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2010. 37(9): p. 6470-6481.
- [546]. (4.3.59) Gebala, D.A. and N.P. Suh, An application of axiomatic design. *Research in Engineering Design*, 1992. 3(3): p. 149-162.
- [547]. (4.3.60) Suh, N.P., *The principles of design*: Oxford university press. New York, Oxford, 1990.
- [548]. (4.3.61) Stiassnie, E. and M. Shpitalni, Incorporating lifecycle considerations in axiomatic design. *CIRP annals*, 2007. 56(1): p. 1-4.