

1           **NONLINEAR HYSTERETIC TRUSS MODEL FOR DIAGONALLY**  
2                           **REINFORCED CONCRETE COUPLING BEAMS**

3  
4           Sergio E. Godínez, José I. Restrepo, Rodolfo Álvarez, and Nelson M. Ángel

5  
6                           **ABSTRACT**

7   Wall piers connected by diagonally reinforced concrete coupling beams are widely used as the  
8   lateral-force resisting system of tall buildings in seismic regions. A fundamental incongruity exists  
9   in current nonlinear modeling practice for such systems: walls are typically modeled in the initial  
10   uncracked state, whereas coupling beams are assigned a secant stiffness to the yield point. This  
11   paper presents the derivation of a nonlinear hysteretic truss model for diagonally reinforced  
12   concrete coupling beams that resolves this inconsistency and validates it against experimental data  
13   reported in the literature. The model explicitly couples flexural, shear, and axial deformations  
14   through truss action in a unified nonlinear hysteretic framework, offering a clear improvement  
15   over first-generation purely phenomenological models based on shear or moment springs. Unlike  
16   these models, the proposed model develops axial compression when restrained against lengthening,  
17   and accounts for the effects of this compression, allowing redistribution of the shear force between  
18   the framing wall piers. Its applicability is demonstrated through the nonlinear response history  
19   analysis of a fifteen-story coupled wall building.

20  
21                           **RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE**

22   Several modeling alternatives are currently used in practice for diagonally reinforced coupling  
23   beams such as first-generation nonlinear lumped-plasticity bending moment and shear springs, and

1 nonlinear shell elements. The springs are widely adopted because of their simplicity. A key  
2 limitation of these models is their inability to capture axial-shear–flexure interaction. Furthermore,  
3 the spring models assign an initial stiffness that represents a fraction of the uncracked stiffness of  
4 the beam, creating a fundamental incongruity in coupled wall models as the walls are assigned the  
5 uncracked stiffness. This paper presents the derivation of a simplified nonlinear hysteretic truss  
6 model that considers axial-shear-flexure interaction, is calibrated to match the initial uncracked  
7 stiffness of the beam and is suitable for use in modern performance-based seismic design practice.  
8 The model accounts for the increase in resistance in coupling beams due to compression resulting  
9 from axial restraint, as well as shear redistribution between wall piers.

10

11

### **SUPPLEMENTAL FILES**

12 The nonlinear hysteretic truss model described in the paper sharing the name of this document is  
13 validated with six specimens of diagonally reinforced coupling beams (DRCB) found in the  
14 literature. The specimens include CB24F and CB33D by Naish et al,<sup>1</sup> D80-1.5 and D80-2.5 by  
15 Weber-Kamin et al,<sup>2</sup> CB1 by Ameen et al,<sup>3</sup> and CB1A by Poudel et al.<sup>4</sup> The truss model is used to  
16 compute the response of the DRCB of the seven-story coupled wall test of Santhakumar,<sup>5</sup>  
17 comparing the response of the same test when using a shear spring to represent the DRCB.

18 The application of the validated model is demonstrated in a nonlinear response history analysis of  
19 a building using commercial software (i.e., ETABS).<sup>6</sup>

20 The models for the DRCB, the coupled wall, and the building are found in the following link:

21 [https://github.com/SGodinez92/TrussModel\\_DRCB](https://github.com/SGodinez92/TrussModel_DRCB)

22

## REFERENCES

- 1
- 2 1. Naish, D., Fry, A., Klemencic, R., et al. “Reinforced Concrete Coupling Beams-Part I:  
3 Testing,” *ACI Structural Journal*, V. 110, No. 6, 2013, pp. 1057–66.
- 4 2. Weber-Kamin, A., Ameen, S., Lepage, A., et al. “Reinforced Concrete Coupling Beams  
5 with High-Strength Steel Bars,” Lawrence, KS, 2019.
- 6 3. Ameen, S., Lequesne, R. D., and Lepage, A. “Diagonally reinforced concrete coupling  
7 beams with grade 120 (830) high-strength steel bars,” *ACI Structural Journal*, V. 117, No.  
8 6, 2020, pp. 199–210.
- 9 4. Poudel, A., Ameen, S., Lequesne, R. D., et al. “Diagonally Reinforced Concrete Coupling  
10 Beams: Effects of Axial Restraint,” *ACI Structural Journal*, V. 118, No. 6, 2021, pp. 293–  
11 303.
- 12 5. Santhakumar, A. R. “The Ductility of Coupled Shear Walls.” University of Canterbury,  
13 Christchurch, New Zealand, 1974.
- 14 6. Computers & Structures Inc. “ETABS,” 2023.
- 15