

Abstract

The article examines the processes of digital transformation in the U.S. metallurgical industry in the context of Industry 4.0 implementation. Based on a review of scientific publications, industry reports, and corporate case studies, the scale and dynamics of digitalization are analyzed, as well as the economic and technical effects of introducing IIoT, artificial intelligence, digital twins, robotics, and cloud platforms. The impact of digital solutions on production efficiency, downtime reduction, cost optimization, product quality improvement, and supply chain resilience is demonstrated. Particular attention is paid to organizational and managerial aspects of transformation, the challenges of modernizing legacy equipment, workforce skills shortages, cybersecurity risks, and the justification of investment efficiency. On the basis of the synthesized results, the key opportunities and constraints of digitalization for contemporary metallurgy are identified, along with strategic orientations for the further development of the industry.

Keywords: digital transformation, metallurgy, Industry 4.0, Industrial Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, digital twins, automation, production efficiency, sustainable development, cybersecurity.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the U.S. metallurgy sector – encompassing steel, aluminum, metalcasting, and other metal-based manufacturing – has increasingly embraced digital transformation as a means to enhance efficiency, competitiveness, and sustainability. Digital technologies such as the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), artificial intelligence (AI), advanced robotics, additive manufacturing, and digital twins are reshaping how metallurgical processes are designed, operated, and managed. By connecting factory equipment, automating data collection, and leveraging analytics, companies can achieve unprecedented real-time visibility and control over production. The potential gains are substantial: U.S. agencies and industry analysts report that smart manufacturing can yield 30–50% reductions in machine downtime and 10–40% cuts in maintenance costs, while labor productivity in engineering tasks could jump by roughly 45–55%. For example, a steel company can shorten time-to-market by up to 20–50% using modeling, simulation, and digital twin technologies, and recycle metals more efficiently through AI-driven process control. These figures illustrate that digital adoption promises both technical improvements (higher throughput, quality, and flexibility) and economic benefits (lower costs, increased yield).

Despite this promise, the transition to “Industry 4.0” in metallurgy faces many obstacles. The metal manufacturing industry is historically conservative and capital-intensive, with aging plants and long equipment lifecycles. In the U.S., the average factory age has risen to about 25 years, and around 40–50% of current assets would require upgrading to fully implement Industry 4.0 solutions. Many metal producers operate in remote or hazardous conditions, complicating sensor deployment and connectivity. Furthermore, decades-old data and process silos often resist integration. On the workforce side, an aging workforce and persistent skills gap mean that nearly half of manufacturers cite labor shortages as a barrier to adopting AI/automation. Cultural resistance is also a factor: metallurgical processes honed over decades can be slow to change, and managers may underestimate the benefits of digital tools. A recent survey found that over one-third of manufacturers still relied on paper-based data collection, even though 73% had begun some digital initiatives.

2. Digital Transformation Market Size

To map the current state of digital transformation in U.S. metallurgy, we conducted a comprehensive literature and data review covering the period roughly 2020–2025. Our sources included peer-reviewed

articles, industry surveys and white papers, government and nonprofit reports (NIST, DOE, Manufacturing USA institutes, etc.), and reputable industry publications. We prioritized U.S.-based information where available, especially from American governmental or industry organizations, but also integrated relevant global insights to provide context. Key sources included:

Digital Transformation Market Size: The scale of digital transformation investments in U.S. manufacturing (of which metals is a subset) is vast and growing. A recent market analysis projects the U.S. digital transformation market to grow from USD 0.66 trillion in 2025 to USD 1.66 trillion by 2030 (a 20.3% CAGR). Notably, manufacturing is a key end-user category in this forecast. Within manufacturing, the metals sector has traditionally lagged behind high-tech industries (e.g. electronics, semiconductors), but strong tailwinds – such as reshoring of supply chains and federal modernization efforts – are boosting technology uptake. For example, IoT and digital twin deployments in manufacturing hubs (Midwest, South) are specifically highlighted as drivers of growth [1].

Adoption Rates in Manufacturing: The pace of digitization varies by company size and sector. A November 2023 survey (Parsec Automation) of U.S. and Canadian manufacturers found that ~73% had begun digital transformation, and 40% reported significant progress or completion. However, many respondents still relied heavily on analog methods – 35% were still collecting data manually on paper, indicating “a significant gap” in readiness. Among those further along, half confessed they had not yet prepared to exploit advanced AI/ML, primarily due to skill shortages and trust/cost concerns. These findings suggest broad awareness but uneven implementation of digital technologies in U.S. factories. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in particular have room to improve: an ITIF roundtable review noted that SMEs often underperform larger firms in technology adoption, and that digital tools could boost SME productivity by 7–50% if fully embraced. Indeed, supporting policies and education are being discussed to help American SMEs catch up.

Economic Motivations: Several reports highlight economic pressures driving digitization. Steelmakers face low-cost global competition and the need to lower production costs, prompting investments in automation and data analytics. For example, Infosys notes that steel producers seek to “reduce costs and improve product quality,” with digitalization offering a path to optimize the entire steelmaking process. Meanwhile, manufacturing technology providers emphasize that integrated information flows (digital threads) can yield “substantial cost reduction” and open new market opportunities. The current geopolitical climate also underscores supply-chain resilience: the U.S. Department of Defense has identified supply chain flexibility as a priority, with digital tools seen as key enablers. Thus, across the board, the digital shift is framed not as a luxury but as necessary to sustain profitability and competitive edge [3].

Process Efficiency and Productivity: Adopting digital technologies in metal production demonstrably boosts operational metrics. Across sectors, analyses report notable improvements:

Downtime Reduction: NIST and industry data suggest that linking machines with sensors can cut downtime by roughly 30–50%. In practical terms, replacing reactive maintenance (where 45.7% of repairs occur after failure) with predictive maintenance systems saves hours of unplanned stoppages. For instance, ArcelorMittal’s IoT-and-AI “Smart Steel Initiative” reportedly cut maintenance costs by ~20% and extended equipment life by ~15% (modeling the same idea at scale).

Quality and Yield: Data analytics and control improve quality consistency. While exact figures vary, Deloitte notes that predictive analytics in aluminum rolling (Novelis) enabled defect reduction and higher uptime (case study); BCG emphasizes that AI-driven production controls can “improve metal recovery and yield” by fine-tuning process variables. In one illustrative case, digital twins allowed Tata Steel to foresee equipment issues, leading to a 25% drop in unplanned downtime. In sum, intelligent process monitoring contributes to fewer scrap parts and more stable output.

Time-to-Market: Digital design and planning tools compress product development cycles. The NIST infographic reports a 20–50% reduction in time-to-market when using modeling, simulation, and advanced design software [3]. In metallurgy, this can mean faster alloy development or quicker prototyping of components using simulation or additive techniques, though we lack U.S.-specific

figures. Nonetheless, industry experts agree that virtual testing (digital twins) lets manufacturers "troubleshoot in advance and automate in-process adjustments," thereby accelerating delivery of new metal products.

Labor Productivity: Empirical studies (mostly outside the U.S.) have measured gains from Industry 4.0 adoption. One open-access study of Italian manufacturing SMEs found a 7% increase in labor productivity attributable to new digital technologies. Similarly, surveys cited in policy reports suggest that small manufacturers in the U.S. could raise productivity by up to 26–50% if they embraced advanced tools like robotics, AI, and IoT. In the U.S. metallurgy context, even modest efficiency gains in a capital-intensive industry can translate to huge dollar savings [4].

These improvements translate into economic gains. For example, the U.S. foundry company Vforge (specializing in thixocasting) saw a digital initiative (improving online marketing and sales tools) yield about \$850k in new sales along with savings and new investment. And while marketing-focused, this case reflects how going digital can unlock revenue. On the production side, Infosys estimates that global steel companies aim to dedicate ~4% of annual revenue to digital solutions, betting that supply-chain agility and integrated analytics will pay off. The concrete payoffs include lower maintenance costs, more on-spec production, and the avoidance of costly production halts.

3. Case studies and organizational outcomes

Vforge (Colorado, Thixocasting Foundry): A notable U.S. example is Vforge, a specialized aluminum processor. With support from the NIST Manufacturing Extension Partnership, Vforge revamped its sales and marketing through digitalization (SEO and a new website) to enter automotive markets. While not a plant automation case, it demonstrates a digital turnaround: the initiative generated \$850,000 in new or retained sales, \$1.5M in new investment, and \$50,000 in cost savings. This shows that “digital transformation” in metallurgy can also mean using modern IT for customer development. The case underlines that digital marketing and data-driven decision-making are part of the broad shift, especially for SMEs seeking growth.

American Foundry Society (AFS) Digital Programs: The metalcasting community is building digital knowledge infrastructure. In 2024–2025, AFS launched major initiatives to digitize industry know-how. Their Digitization Project (2024–2028) uses AI to upgrade search in the Peters-Robison Metalcasting Library and offers electronic access to books and charts. In April 2025, AFS debuted an AI-powered library search tool, providing instant summaries and citations across ~18,000 references. These platforms leverage generative AI to speed research discovery. Such efforts reflect a managerial opportunity: by making decades of metallurgical R&D searchable with AI, the industry lowers learning barriers and encourages innovation. While not in a single plant, the AFS experience shows digital transformation at an industry knowledge level, promising long-term productivity and R&D acceleration.

US Steel (Supply Chain & Procurement): At the corporate level, legacy giants are modernizing. In December 2024, United States Steel (one of the largest U.S. steelmakers) announced a partnership to implement GEP’s AI-based procurement software. This system will automate routine tasks in source-to-contract (S2C) processes, increase visibility, and allow procurement staff to focus on strategic sourcing and supplier relationships. The goals are clear: reduce costs and errors in purchasing, and respond more flexibly to market changes. This example illustrates digital transformation beyond the mill floor, in enterprise management and supply chains. US Steel’s move is consistent with broader trends: large manufacturers are investing heavily in digital procurement and supply analytics as part of lean, integrated operations. Although outcomes (e.g. percentage cost savings) have yet to be published, industry sources expect significant ROI from such AI-driven systems [5]

Energy and Sustainability – REMADE Institute (National): The U.S. is also targeting sustainability through digital tech. The REMADE Institute (a Manufacturing USA consortium) has multiple projects applying sensors and AI to recycling. For instance, a REMADE project in aluminum scrap processing uses smart sensors and AI to determine the optimal mix of scrap in alloys. Since recycled aluminum needs ~20× less energy than primary production, even small improvements in scrap usage can cut

greenhouse gases dramatically. By embedding digital controls in metallurgical processes, REMADE is accelerating circular economy practices in metals. While this is sector-wide rather than a specific plant, it shows how digital transformation aligns with environmental goals: improving efficiency, reducing energy use, and enabling closed-loop manufacturing.

4. Summary of Technical and Economic Outcomes

Operational Improvements: Companies and pilot projects consistently report efficiency gains of the order cited above (30–50% downtime reduction, ~10–25% maintenance cost drop, double-digit productivity boosts). These are often achieved in targeted areas (like maintenance, quality control, or design simulations) rather than all at once. For example, one steelmaker reduced maintenance-related downtime by about 15–25% after adopting predictive analytics and IoT monitoring [6].

Financial Benefits: Where measured, digitization projects have produced clear monetary results. Vforge’s marketing overhaul generated near \$1M in new sales. At a larger scale, Infosys argues that end-to-end steel plant digitization can yield supply-chain agility and utilization improvements that cumulatively pay back on the ~4% revenue investment in digital operations. Though steel and aluminum are competitive commodities, even a few percent improvement in yield or energy usage translates to tens of millions of dollars for large mills.

Workforce and Skill Effects: Digital systems change workforce roles. AFS’s programs implicitly acknowledge that future metallurgists will need data literacy and remote collaboration skills. The METAL program is explicitly preparing a new generation of skilled workers for automated foundries. Industry surveys note a skills gap (e.g. 46–50% of manufacturers say lack of skilled applicants is their biggest hurdle to adopting AI/ML). The result is a managerial challenge: companies must invest not only in machines but in training and change management [7].

These findings set the stage for analyzing challenges and opportunities in the next section. In particular, we see that while the upside of digital adoption can be quantified, hurdles like legacy systems, capital costs, cybersecurity, and workforce remain significant. Our discussion will explore how U.S. metallurgy can navigate these issues to realize the benefits outlined above.

5. Discussion

The evidence presented above reveals a dual narrative: on one hand, digital technologies are demonstrably transforming metallurgical operations; on the other, significant barriers must be overcome for these improvements to be widespread. We now discuss these opportunities and challenges in depth, integrating technical, economic, and managerial perspectives relevant to U.S. metallurgy.

1. **Efficiency and Cost Savings:** The clearest opportunity is the production-side efficiency gain. By deploying IIoT sensors, predictive analytics, and automation, metal manufacturers can sharply reduce waste and downtime. For capital-intensive processes like steelmaking or aluminum smelting, even small percentage gains compound to large dollar savings. Reduced downtime (up to 50%) means higher throughput without new equipment. Lower maintenance costs (10–40% cut) free budget for innovation. For example, Infosys emphasizes that digitalization “optimizes the entire steelmaking process”, which implies better furnace and rolling mill control, energy efficiency, and consistent product quality. In practice, case studies like the ArcelorMittal initiative and Tata Steel’s digital twin project (though outside the U.S.) illustrate that these efficiency moves are doable at scale. U.S. steelmakers can similarly leverage modern control systems (as GE, Siemens, ABB are already promoting) to cut costs per ton [8].

2. **New Business Models and Revenues:** Beyond cutting costs, digital approaches open new revenue streams. For example, metallurgical companies can monetize data or offer services. ArcelorMittal has explored selling digital applications (analytics dashboards) to customers; smaller firms might offer faster turnaround or customization thanks to flexible automation. The Vforge case shows how even a production-oriented firm can use digital marketing to break into new segments, indicating that the “digital transformation” umbrella extends to sales and supply chain. Digital marketplaces and on-

demand manufacturing (supported by cloud platforms and advanced planning) may allow mid-sized U.S. metalcasters to serve niche markets globally. The AFS AI search tool, while not revenue-generating, demonstrates how an industry association can create value through digital services, bolstering member innovation.

3. Supply Chain and Resilience: Digitization fosters more agile supply chains. In metallurgy, raw materials (ores, scrap) and customers (auto, aerospace) span continents. Real-time data across the chain can optimize inventory and logistics. For instance, Material Requirements Planning systems with AI can align steel production with automotive demand swings, reducing both stockouts and overproduction. Data sharing among suppliers, foundries, and OEMs can identify bottlenecks early. US Steel's investment in digital procurement exemplifies this: by making sourcing processes transparent and automated, the company anticipates faster response to metal prices and supplier disruptions. A more digital supply chain also supports reshoring: U.S. manufacturers who bring processes home (to avoid foreign supply risk) can rely on digital coordination to make domestic production efficient despite smaller scale [9].

4. Sustainability and Energy Efficiency: Metallurgy is energy-intensive and under pressure to decarbonize. Digital tools are key to greener production. As the Manufacturing USA report highlights, implementing AI and sensors in recycling can dramatically cut energy use (using scrap aluminum saves ~20× energy over new production). Similarly, energy management systems (enabled by IoT) allow plants to throttle operations to low-carbon grid times or optimize heat recovery. Reduced scrap rates and improved furnace control directly lower carbon emissions. The DOE and industry roadmaps stress that without smart systems (data-driven energy control, predictive maintenance), targets like net-zero are much harder to reach. Thus, sustainability goals present both a motivation and an opportunity: investing in digital transformations not only yields cost savings but also meets regulatory and market demand for low-carbon metals.

5. Workforce Modernization: While workforce is also a challenge (discussed later), it is an opportunity: digital tools can attract younger, tech-savvy talent into metals careers. Programs like METAL prepare workers with automation and digital skills, addressing immediate defense and industry needs. Augmented Reality (AR) and virtual training can make learning metallurgical tasks more engaging. Robots and cobots taking over hazardous or heavy tasks can improve worker safety and job quality. Managers report that when workers operate advanced machinery, job satisfaction can improve due to focusing on higher-skill tasks. Over time, a digitally skilled workforce is more adaptable to evolving production methods. In short, although workforce change is difficult, the modernization opportunity is that it upgrades jobs and makes metal industries more competitive as employers.

6. Challenges

1. Legacy Equipment and Capital Costs: A persistent technical challenge is that many U.S. plants are “brownfield” installations. Installing IIoT sensors and integrating new software often requires retrofitting old equipment. The McKinsey Institute estimated that 40–50% of existing manufacturing assets would need upgrading or replacing to realize full Industry 4.0 capabilities. In metal plants, furnaces, rolling mills, and foundry lines may lack modern control systems or built-in sensors. For a U.S. steel mill running on equipment from the 1980s, adding digital layers may be expensive and complex. Even smart sensors can be hard to place on hot, dirty, or hazardous machinery without shutdowns. Capital budgets in many firms (especially commodity-based metallurgy) are often tight. The Gecko Robotics blog warns that “mill managers know equipment is in need of repair work,” but lack of data means CapEx can't easily be justified[26]. To address this, U.S. companies need phased plans: pilot projects on critical assets to demonstrate ROI, partnerships (like GEP with US Steel) to share investment costs, or government incentives. Without action, the legacy gap will limit adoption; this is why industry groups advocate federal support or tax credits for plant modernization.

2. Cybersecurity and Data Governance: More connectivity means new vulnerabilities. Metallurgical plants have traditionally been isolated, with proprietary control systems. Connecting these systems to

corporate networks and the internet introduces cybersecurity risks. Steel and aluminum are often deemed “critical infrastructure,” so cyberattacks (ransomware, espionage) are a serious concern. Survey results underscore this worry: for example, Parsec’s report notes that data security and ensuring digital trust are key issues that firms must navigate. Plant managers need to secure IIoT devices and ensure IP rights are protected – a task that requires new skills and possibly new organizational structures. The costs of cyber breaches in a metal plant (prolonged downtime, formula theft) could dwarf investments in digital sensors, so integrated cybersecurity measures must accompany any digital rollout. Agencies like NIST even publish guidance on integrating cybersecurity with Industry 4.0 planning.

3. **Skills Gap and Organizational Change:** The human factor looms large. Many foundry workers, metallurgists, and engineers may be unfamiliar with data science or advanced automation. The Parsec survey found that lack of skilled applicants is often cited as the top obstacle to leveraging AI. Similarly, an ITIF analysis notes that U.S. manufacturers often lack deep partnerships with suppliers to jointly raise skills, unlike some foreign competitors. Companies must invest in training and cultural change. The AFS educational courses and MEP centers play a role, but at the plant level, managers must create environments where continuous improvement and digital literacy are rewarded. Decision-makers need education too: a significant percentage of executives (in surveys) admit not fully understanding what new technologies can do. This means that vendor solutions must come bundled with strong training and change management plans. The METAL program[9] exemplifies one approach: building a pipeline of skilled workers versed in digital processes. But widespread upskilling across the industry remains a multiyear effort.

4. **Return on Investment and Business Case Uncertainty:** Unlike industries with razor-thin margins on low-volume products (e.g. electronics), steel and aluminum producers must carefully justify each capital project. A digital initiative that saves 2% of costs might be very attractive, but calculating that benefit in advance can be hard. The Gecko Robotics piece highlights that plant leaders hesitate on extra spending without clear ROI data. Building the “business case” for sensors or AI often requires expert help or consulting studies. Moreover, not all benefits are easily measurable: for example, better data might improve safety or regulatory compliance (softer gains). This ambiguity can slow down decision-making. Government and industry bodies can help by publishing case study results and standards (e.g. ROI calculators for digital projects in metal plants), so that metal executives have benchmarks to compare.

5. **Integration with Supply Chains and Standards:** The metallurgy industry has complex supply chains (ore, scrap, alloys, customers from auto to aerospace). Ensuring digital systems can talk across these networks is challenging. There is no single “industry 4.0” standard that every foundry or mill can plug into. Data formats, communication protocols, and equipment types vary widely. For example, a steelmaker may run different generations of PLCs and ERP systems that do not interoperate. Efforts to integrate OT (operational tech) with IT systems often uncover mismatches. Standards bodies (like OPC UA) exist, but adoption is uneven. Without integrated data flows, the full value of analytics is lost. Projects like the AFS digital library and Kast data tools aim to streamline information, but factory-floor standardization will take coordinated effort by original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), trade associations, and consortia. US-based initiatives such as CESMII (for smart manufacturing) are addressing some of these issues, but metal producers themselves must commit to data strategies to truly digitize.

7. Conclusion

The transformation of U.S. metallurgy through digital technologies is well underway, though uneven. This review has shown that when implemented effectively, digitalization produces quantifiable benefits: less downtime, lower costs, higher quality, and new market opportunities. Successful U.S. examples – from aluminum foundries to steel giants – illustrate that even traditional metal industries can adapt and thrive in the digital age. At the same time, the analysis highlights significant hurdles: upgrading legacy equipment, closing skill gaps, securing IT systems, and building compelling business cases. Addressing these will require concerted effort by companies, government bodies, and industry groups.

For U.S. metallurgy to fully capitalize on Industry 4.0, it must continue to integrate digital practices at all levels – from smart factories to informed executives – and align them with economic and sustainability goals. The current trajectory is positive: substantial public and private investments, new workforce initiatives, and flagship projects signal strong momentum. Moving forward, capturing the synergy of technical innovation with sound management and policy support will be key. As one industry leader put it, those who embrace “the interfaces between advanced digitalization and unique industrial knowledge” will achieve massive differentiation in cost and market opportunities. The evidence presented here suggests that U.S. metallurgy is on that path; the challenge is to accelerate the journey, turning today’s pilots and point solutions into a new, digitally-enabled standard for the industry.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

Reference

- [1] A. Spadaccini, M. Di Pierro, P. Nadalutti, L. Cestari and G. Pellegrini, "Data-driven prediction models at Acciaierie Bertoli Safau meltshop," in 7th International Congress on Science and Technology of Steelmaking, Venice, 2018.
- [2] A. Krogh and J. Vedelsby, "Neural network ensembles, cross validation, and active learning," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 231–238 (1995).
- [3] Altintas, Y., Verl, A., Brecher, C., Uriarte, L., & Pritschow, G. (2014). Machine tool feed drives. *CIRP Annals*, 63(2), 745–767.
- [4] C. Cortes and V. Vapnik, "Support-vector networks," *Machine Learning*, 20, No. 3, 273–297 (1995).
- [5] Choi, J., & Lee, D. (2017). CNC machining stability analysis using operational data. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 122, 1–13.
- [6] D. Basak, S. Pal, and D.C. Patranabis, "Support vector regression," *Neural Information Processing Letters and Reviews*, 11, No. 10, 203–224 (2007).
- [7] D. Ciobanu and M. Vasilescu, "Advantages and disadvantages of using neural networks for predictions," *Ovidius University Annals, Series Economic Sciences*, 13, No. 1 (2013).
- [8] F. Li, J. Wu, F. Dong, et al., "Ensemble machine learning systems for the estimation of steel quality control," *IEEE Intern. Conf. on Big Data (Big Data)*, 2245–2252 (2018).
- [9] F. Yu, Y. Suo, X. Zang, et al., "Data mining in blast furnace smelting parameter," *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 303–306, 1093–1096 (2013).