

1 Boosting blister formation on EUV masks by hydrogen and water 2 vapor

3
4 Naoki Hayase,^a Hayato Ishida,^a Wataru Nishida,^b Keishi Tsukiyama,^b Shinji Yamakawa,^a
5 Tetsuo Harada^{a*}

6 ^a Center for EUV lithography, LASTI, University of Hyogo, 1-1-2 NewSUBARU synchrotron radiation facility,
7 Ako-gun Kouto, Hyogo 678-1205, Japan

8 ^b AGC Inc. Yokohama Technical Center 1-1, Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa 230-0045 Japan

9 **Abstract:** Blister formation on extreme ultraviolet (EUV) masks by EUV-induced plasma irradiation is a problem
10 that causes patterning errors. We investigated the blister formation under EUV-induced plasma containing hydrogen
11 and water vapor through an accelerated mask lifetime test. **Background:** Interaction between hydrogen gas and EUV
12 radiation generates hydrogen ions and radicals which react with contaminants. Hydrogen gas is introduced as a
13 background gas in EUV scanners to remove contaminants, but EUV-induced hydrogen plasma forms blisters on EUV
14 masks. Accelerated mask lifetime tests have reported on EUV-induced hydrogen plasma durability but the effect of
15 residual water vapor on blister formation remains unclear. **Aim:** We evaluate the impact of water vapor on blister
16 formation through EUV accelerated mask lifetime tests at NewSUBARU synchrotron radiation facility. **Approach:**
17 The EUV intensity was in the range of approximately 20 to 45 W/cm², and hydrogen pressure was varied from 5 to
18 70 Pa with and without water vapor at around 10⁻³ Pa. The hydrogen pressures were controlled, and the EUV exposure
19 dose was determined from the blister formation time in accelerated mask lifetime tests. The surface oxidation of the
20 irradiated positions on the EUV masks was investigated by X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), Rutherford
21 backscattering spectrometry (RBS), and hydrogen forward scattering spectrometry (HFS). **Results:** The test revealed
22 the dependence of blister formation on hydrogen pressure, both with and without water vapor. We observed enhanced
23 blister formation and greater surface oxidation while applying water vapor, compared to introducing hydrogen alone
24 on EUV masks. **Conclusion:** We clarify that the residual water vapor significantly affects the EUV dose and hydrogen
25 pressure thresholds for blister formation under scanner-relevant conditions. The result provides insight into plasma-
26 induced oxidation and contributes to mask process development.

27
28 **Keywords:** EUV; EUV-induced plasma; hydrogen; water vapor; mask blank; photomask.

29 E-mail: hayase@lasti.u-hyogo.ac.jp
30

31 1 Introduction

32 Extreme ultraviolet (EUV) lithography has been adopted for high-volume manufacturing at the 7
33 nm node process and beyond [1]. EUV scanners introduce hydrogen as background gas in order

34 to maintain the cleanliness of the inner walls, mirrors, and photomasks [2-5]. EUV light ionizes
 35 and dissociates hydrogen to generate plasma, which causes layer delamination on EUV masks,
 36 known as “blister” in Fig. 1 [6-10]. To investigate the blister formation process, EUV accelerated
 37 lifetime testing tools with hydrogen gas have been developed to reproduce EUV lithography in a
 38 shorter time [11-17]. The relationship between blister formation and EUV-induced hydrogen
 39 plasma exposure conditions has been reported [18-22]. Furthermore, it is important to consider
 40 how residual water vapor modifies surface oxidation to reproduce scanner-relevant blistering.
 41 EUV irradiation of surfaces leads to photoemission of electrons and secondary electron generation
 42 [23-25]. The internal electric field across the oxide drives oxygen ions toward the oxide–metal
 43 interface, promoting oxidation at temperatures where thermal diffusion alone is negligible, and
 44 modifies interfacial bonding and the mechanical state of the layers. EUV-induced hydrogen plasma
 45 supplies low-energy ions and highly reactive radicals. Hydrogen can be taken up by near-surface
 46 layers, diffuse beneath coatings, and accumulate at interfaces. This uptake changes the stress state
 47 of the coating and can contribute to pressurization and interfacial debonding. Blister formation has
 48 been reported to be significantly severe in EUV-irradiated regions (the yellow dashed circle in Fig.
 49 1), which is attributed to bond weakening in layers by secondary electrons that lowers chemical
 50 barriers [26-29]. This indicates that EUV-induced oxidation by hydrogen and water vapor degrades
 51 film adhesion, leading to blister formation [30].
 52 In this paper, we investigated blister formation process on EUV masks exposed to hydrogen and
 53 water vapor. Our measurement evaluated the dependences of the pressure and EUV intensity via
 54 the accelerated lifetime tests at NewSUBARU synchrotron radiation facility.




Fig. 1 (a) Image of blister on EUV mask surface (Ref [10]), (b) Schematic of blister on EUV masks.

60 2 Equipment

61 2.1 Accelerated mask lifetime test


62 Fig. 2-(a) shows the beamline 9C (BL9C) at NewSUBARU synchrotron radiation facility. BL9C
63 provides hydrogen via a water electrolysis generator with a hydrogen purifier, and pure water in
64 Fig. 2-(b) and (c). EUV intensity for the accelerated mask lifetime test is calculated by Eq. (1).

$$65 \quad \phi_{\text{EUV}} = \frac{I}{S} \times \frac{1}{a \times b} \times \frac{1}{\tau} \quad (1)$$


66 where I is the beam current of photodiode, S is the measured sensor sensitivity, a and b are width
67 and height of the beam spot, τ is the transmittance of the attenuation filter. In this study, we used
68 a photodiode with S of 0.102 A/W, τ is 1/40, and the beam size of a and b are approximately 0.8
69 mm and 0.3 mm, respectively. A YAG scintillator and a visible camera were used to image the
70 beam spot size, as shown in Fig. 2-(d) and 2-(e), and measured the beam current by the photodiode.
71 The cumulative dose for blister formation can be derived by the integration of the measured
72 intensity by the blister formation time as Eq. (2).

$$73 \quad \text{Dose} = \int_0^{t_{\text{blister}}} \phi_{\text{accl}} dt \quad (2)$$

74 where ϕ_{accl} is the EUV intensity of accelerated exposure test, t_{blister} is the blister formation time.
75



76
77 **Fig. 2** (a) Overview of BL9C at NewSUBARU synchrotron radiation facility, (b) The electrolysis hydrogen generator
78 with purifier, (c) The pure water supplier, (d) YAG scintillator, (e) The EUV beam spot.



79
80
81

Fig. 3 The image of EUV mask blank fragment (20 mm × 20 mm) and the schematic of EUV mask blank.

82 2.2 Mask blanks and Photomasks

83 Fig. 3 shows the EUV mask blank fragments provided by AGC. The mask blanks are configured
84 with Mo/Si multilayer (ML) of 40 pairs, Ru capping layer, and Ta-based absorber on a low thermal
85 expansion material (LTEM) substrate. We used two types of mask blanks fabricated by different
86 processes: Sample A, in which the film adhesion was deliberately weakened, and Sample B, which
87 was fabricated according to the standard process. We also prepared the EUV photomask fragments,
88 based on the non-AGC mask blanks. The photomask structures were the same as Fig. 3, but
89 materials and processes were different.

90

91 3 Blister formation

92 3.1 Mask blanks

93 Table 1 shows the EUV-accelerated lifetime test results for mask blank and photomask fragments
94 #1 to #9. #1 to #4 were measured at hydrogen pressures of 70, 30, 15, and 5 Pa at an EUV intensity
95 of around 35 W/cm². Blisters were observed at 70, 30, and 15 Pa after 110, 165, and 230 minutes,
96 corresponding to onset doses of 229.6, 344.4, and 500.0 kJ/cm², respectively, while no blister was
97 observed at 5 Pa over 8 hours of irradiation. #5 was irradiated at 21.1 W/cm² and 70 Pa, with blister
98 formation at 192 minutes corresponding to a total dose of 242.8 kJ/cm², a 5.4% difference from
99 #1.

100 #6 to #8 were measured under hydrogen and water vapor, and the water vapor pressure was
101 constant at 10⁻³ Pa. Blisters were observed after 95 minutes at 70 Pa (#6), 89 minutes at 30 Pa (#7),

102 and 290 minutes at 5 Pa (#8), corresponding to blister formation doses of 206.5 kJ/cm², 213.0
 103 kJ/cm², and 622.1 kJ/cm², respectively. Sample B (#9) was irradiated through 385 minutes at 42.7
 104 W/cm² under hydrogen pressure of 70 Pa and the water vapor pressure of 10⁻³ Pa. No blister
 105 formation was observed on Sample B (#9) up to the dose of 986.3 kJ/cm² which is more than four
 106 times the blister formation dose of Sample A (#6).


107 Fig. 4 shows the EUV exposure dose dependence of blister formation on hydrogen and water
 108 pressures, and blister formation dose decreases inversely with hydrogen pressure. The blister
 109 formation dose was 23.1 kJ/cm² lower for #6 than for #1, and 131.4 kJ/cm² lower for #7 than for
 110 #2. The impact of water vapor on blister formation became more significant at lower hydrogen
 111 pressures, with the greatest difference observed between #8 and #4.

112 Fig. 5 shows the microscope images of blisters and film delamination. In #1 and #2, the circular
 113 layer delamination was confirmed at five locations, with one blister also observed. The blisters
 114 and delamination areas had diameters of approximately 50 μm in all cases. #3 shows one blister
 115 of approximately 100 μm. In the cases of hydrogen and water vapor introduction, larger blisters of
 116 about 400 μm were observed in #6 and #7. Delamination was also observed in #8, following the
 117 appearance of film floating.

118
 119
 120


Table 1 Hydrogen and water vapor plasma durability test results on EUV mask blanks

| No. | Sample | EUV intensity (W/cm ²) | H ₂ Pressure (Pa) | H ₂ O Pressure (Pa) | Blister | Blister formation time (min) | Blister formation dose (kJ/cm ²) |
|-----|----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Sample A | 34.8 | 70 | 0 | Observed | 110 | 229.6 |
| 2 | | 34.8 | 30 | 0 | Observed | 165 | 344.4 |
| 3 | | 36.2 | 15 | 0 | Observed | 230 | 500.0 |
| 4 | | 35.9 | 5 | 0 | None | | |
| 5 | | 21.1 | 70 | 0 | Observed | 192 | 242.8 |
| 6 | | 36.2 | 70 | 10 ⁻³ | Observed | 95 | 206.5 |
| 7 | | 39.9 | 30 | 10 ⁻³ | Observed | 89 | 213.0 |
| 8 | | 35.8 | 5 | 10 ⁻³ | Observed | 290 | 622.1 |
| 9 | Sample B | 42.7 | 70 | 10 ⁻³ | None | | |



121
122
123
124

Fig. 4 EUV dose dependence of blister formation on hydrogen pressure and water vapor on the mask blanks #1-4, #6-8. The white dot indicates no blister formed.




125

126
127
128
129
130

Fig. 5 Microscope images of blister formation on the mask blanks

131 Fig. 6-(a) shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the blisters on the mask blank
132 #6 after the EUV acceleration tests with hydrogen and water vapor at the blister position. Fig. 6-
133 (b) shows the cross-sectional scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) image cut along
134 the yellow dashed line of Fig. 6-(a). A delamination was observed at the interface between the Ru
135 capping layer and the top-Si layer of the ML, and no delamination within the ML.
136



137 **Fig. 6** (a) SEM image of blister on the surface of the mask blank #6 after the EUV irradiation with hydrogen and water
138 vapor at the blister position, (b) Cross-sectional STEM image observed along the yellow dashed line of Fig. 6-(a).
139

140 3.2 Photomasks

141 Table 2 shows the EUV accelerated lifetime test results on the photomask fragments #10 and #11.
142 Both samples were tested under hydrogen at 5 Pa, and water vapor was additionally introduced for
143 #11. Blisters were observed in both samples, with total exposure doses of 178.9 kJ/cm² for #10
144 (hydrogen only) and 108.6 kJ/cm² for #11 (hydrogen with water vapor). The introduction of water
145 vapor reduced the blister formation dose by 70.3 kJ/cm² (approximately 39%). The water-induced
146 acceleration of blister formation observed in the mask blanks was also reproduced in photomasks
147 fabricated with different materials and processes.

148
149
150
151

152

153

Table 2 Hydrogen and water vapor plasma durability test results on EUV photomasks

| No. | Sample | EUV intensity (W/cm ²) | H ₂ Pressure (Pa) | H ₂ O Pressure (Pa) | Blister | Blister formation time (min) | Blister formation dose (kJ/cm ²) |
|-----|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|
| 10 | Photomask | 47.3 | 5 | 0 | Observed | 63 | 178.9 |
| 11 | | 31.7 | 5 | 10 ⁻³ | Observed | 57 | 108.6 |


154

155 4 Surface analysis

156 4.1 XAS profile on mask blanks


157 We measured the EUV reflectivity of 13.5 nm wavelength to identify the irradiated and the
 158 unirradiated positions on the mask blanks #2 and #7 as shown in Fig. 7. At the irradiated
 159 positions, the increase of approximately 4 % in reflectivity was observed under hydrogen only
 160 conditions, whereas under hydrogen with water vapor conditions, the increase of approximately
 161 0.5 % was observed. We measured the surface oxidation using O K-edge energy from X-ray
 162 absorption spectroscopy (XAS) on #2 and #7. Fig. 8 shows the XAS spectra to compare the
 163 oxidation between the unirradiated and irradiated positions. The results show significant
 164 differences in the normalized intensity around 543 eV, indicating that oxidation occurred in both
 165 the hydrogen-only and the water vapor cases.

166



167

168 **Fig. 7** EUV reflectivity of the irradiated and the unirradiated positions on the mask blanks #2 and #7.



169

170 **Fig. 8** XAS spectra on the mask blanks #2 and #7 after the EUV irradiation at the blister positions.

171

172 4.2 Hydrogen profile on photomasks

173 Fig. 9 shows the Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) results for the nanoscale-
 174 converted elemental depth profiles of the photomask #11 in the unirradiated and the irradiated
 175 positions. In the irradiated profiles, hydrogen was concentrated near the surface at depth of around
 176 0 to 15 nm, accompanied by carbon and minor amounts of oxygen and nitrogen. Below the surface
 177 region, Ta-based absorbers were observed with an approximately constant atomic ratio around 0.5
 178 extending to about 60 nm. Around 60 to 75 nm, Ta decreased while Si and Mo increased and
 179 reached atomic ratios of 0.6 and 0.4, respectively. After irradiation, the hydrogen signal increased
 180 compared with the pre-irradiation profile, showing higher intensity from the surface down to the
 181 Mo/Si ML, whereas the signal from the Ru capping layer and the internal interfaces of the ML
 182 remained unchanged.


183

184

185


186

187



188
189 **Fig. 9** RBS results of the photomask #11 in the unirradiated and the irradiated positions.

190
191 Fig. 10 shows the hydrogen forward scattering spectrometry (HFS) spectra obtained from the
192 unirradiated and irradiated regions of photomask #11. In HFS, hydrogen atoms located at greater
193 depths are detected at lower energies owing to the larger energy loss during scattering. The result
194 indicates that hydrogen near the surface gave rise to the main peak at around 750-800 keV,
195 whereas hydrogen distributed deeper in the structure appeared on the lower-energy side of the
196 spectrum. The irradiated region showed a higher hydrogen yield than the unirradiated region in
197 the 600-740 keV range.



198
199 **Fig. 10** HFS results of the photomask #11 in the unirradiated and the irradiated regions.

200 **5 Conclusion**

201 We investigated blistering on EUV mask blanks under EUV-induced plasma environments with
202 hydrogen and water vapor. We found that blisters formed in shorter times at higher hydrogen
203 pressures in the range of 5 to 70 Pa, and that the introduction of water vapor at 10^{-3} Pa further
204 boosted blister formation at lower hydrogen pressures. STEM observation revealed that the blister
205 occurred at the interface between the Ru capping layer and the top-Si layer of the Mo/Si ML, even
206 under water vapor introduction. XAS, RBS, and HFS results clarified that water vapor enhances
207 surface oxidation and that hydrogen accumulates in near-surface layers during EUV exposure. Our
208 results provide insight into the chemical and physical reactions of nanoscale films on EUV masks
209 and contribute to the development of next-generation mask processes.

210

211 *Disclosures*

212 The authors declare that there are no financial interests, commercial affiliations, or other potential
213 conflicts of interest that could have influenced the objectivity of this research or the writing of this
214 paper.

215 *Code, Data, and Materials Availability*

216 The data that supports the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on
217 request.

218

219 *Acknowledgments*

220 This work was partially supported by Hyogo Earthquake Memorial 21st Century Research Institute,
221 Hyogo Foundation for Science and Technology, Tanaka Kikinzoku Memorial Foundation, and
222 Takahashi Industrial and Economic Research Foundation.

223 *References*

- 224 1. J. van Schoot, "Exposure tool development toward advanced EUV lithography: A journey of 40 years
225 driving Moore's law," *IEEE Electron Devices Magazine* **2**(1), 8-22 (2024)
- 226 2. A. Barty and K. A. Goldberg, "Effects of radiation-induced carbon contamination on the performance
227 of an EUV lithographic optic," *Proc. SPIE* **5037** 450-459 (2003).

- 228 3. Y. Nishiyama et al., "Carbon contamination of EUV mask: film characterization, impact on
229 lithographic performance, and cleaning," *Proc. SPIE* **6921**, 338-347 (2008).
- 230 4. N. B. Koster et al., "A multistep approach for reticle cleaning," *Proc. SPIE* **8322** 217-227 (2012).
- 231 5. A. Dolgov et al., "Comparison of H₂ and He carbon cleaning mechanisms in extreme ultraviolet
232 induced and surface wave discharge plasmas," *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **47**(6), 065205 (2013).
- 233 6. J. M. Pommersheim et al., "Prediction of blistering in coating systems," *Organic Coatings for
234 Corrosion Control*, Chap. 11, 137-150 (1998).
- 235 7. A. S. Kuznetsov et al., "Blistering behavior in Mo/Si multilayers," *Proc. SPIE* **8077**, 253-260. (2011).
- 236 8. T. Hiroaki et al., "Evaluation of hydrogen-induced blistering of Mo/Si multilayers with a capping
237 layer," *Plasma Fusion Res.* **17**, 1406005 (2022).
- 238 9. E. S. Choe et al., "Evaluation of H₂ Plasma - Induced Damage in Materials for EUV Lithography,"
239 *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **11**(7), 2300867 (2024).
- 240 10. H. Ishida et al., "Development of an EUV irradiation tool in hydrogen atmosphere to evaluate EUV
241 mask absorber durability," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **64**(3), 03SP71 (2025).
- 242 11. R. Klein et al., "Lifetime testing of EUV optics using intense synchrotron radiation at the PTB
243 radiometry laboratory," *Proc. SPIE* **4506**, 105-112 (2001).
- 244 12. C. C. Wu et al., "Lifetime test on EUV photomask with EBL2," *Proc. SPIE* **11178**, 94-99 (2019).
- 245 13. T. Harada et al., "Development of a high-power EUV irradiation tool in a hydrogen atmosphere," *Jpn.
246 J. Appl. Phys.* **60**(8), 087005 (2021).
- 247 14. J. K. Stortelder et al., "First experimental evaluation of accelerated reticle lifetime testing using an
248 applied bias voltage at EBL2," *Proc. SPIE* **13687**, 75-84 (2025).
- 249 15. R. Jonckheere et al., "Study of EUV reticle storage effects through exposure on EBL2 and NXE,"
250 *Proc. SPIE* **11517**, 78-90 (2020).
- 251 16. G. Yetik et al., "Real-time observation of EUV-induced blister formation at various sample
252 temperatures in pellicle-like materials," *Proc. SPIE* **13686**, 206-218 (2025).
- 253 17. J. Stortelder et al., "Compatibility assessment of novel reticle absorber materials for use in EUV
254 lithography systems," *Proc. SPIE* **10957**, 259-267 (2019).
- 255 18. J. Stortelder et al., "First results of EUV-scanner compatibility tests performed on novel 'high-NA'
256 reticle absorber materials," *Proc. SPIE* **11854**, 103-114 (2021).
- 257 19. M. van de Kerkhof et al., "Plasma-assisted discharges and charging in EUV-induced plasma," *J.
258 Micro/Nanopattern. Mater. Metrol.* **20**(1), 013801 (2021).
- 259 20. M. van de Kerkhof et al., "EUV-induced hydrogen plasma: pulsed mode operation and confinement
260 in scanner," *J. Micro/Nanopattern. Mater. Metrol.* **20**(3), 033801 (2021).

- 261 21. M. van de Kerkhof et al., "EUV-induced hydrogen plasma and particle release," *Radiat. Eff. Defects*
262 *Solids* **177**(5-6), 486-512 (2022).
- 263 22. K.W. Lu et al., "The effect of hydrogen ion bombardment on the capping layers of extreme ultraviolet
264 mirrors," *Mater. Res. Express* **12**(4), 046402 (2025).
- 265 23. T. E. Madey et al., "Surface phenomena related to mirror degradation in extreme ultraviolet (EUV)
266 lithography," *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **253**(4) 1691-1708 (2006).
- 267 24. A. L. Bondareva, G. I. Zmievskaia, "Computer simulation of blistering in multilayer mirrors for EUV
268 lithography," *J. Surf. Investig.: X-ray Synchrotron Neutron Tech.* **4**(3) 480-487 (2010).
- 269 25. T. H. M. Van De Ven et al., "Ion energy distributions in highly transient EUV induced plasma in
270 hydrogen," *J. Appl. Phys.* **123**(6) (2018).
- 271 26. M. van de Kerkhof, "Interaction between EUV and construction materials," *Proc. SPIE* **13533**, 37-41
272 (2025).
- 273 27. N. Cabrera, N. F. Mott, "Theory of the oxidation of metals," *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **12**(1) 163 (1949).
- 274 28. E. A. Gulbransen et al., "Oxidation of Molybdenum 550 to 1700 C," *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **110**(9), 952
275 (1963).
- 276 29. M. R. Louthan Jr et al., "Hydrogen embrittlement of metals," *Mater. Sci. Eng.* **10**, 357-368 (1972).
- 277 30. M. van de Kerkhof et al., "High-transmission EUV pellicles supporting > 400W source power," *Proc.*
278 *SPIE* **12051**, 70-77 (2022).
- 279
- 280 Biographies and photographs for the other authors are not available.