

A Family of Alternating Equilibrium For Pair-valued Bi-matrix Games On The Unit Square

by

Somdeb Lahiri

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5247-3497>

Email: somdeb.lahiri@gmail.com

August 12, 2026.

This version: August 16, 2026

Abstract

We define and prove the existence of a family of alternating equilibrium for pair-valued bi-matrix games on the unit square.

Keywords: pair-valued bi-matrix game, unit square, utility function, alternating equilibrium

AMS Subject Classifications: 91A05, 91A10, 91B06

JEL Classification Codes: C72, D81

1. Introduction: This note is based on the fact that any real number u in the left open right closed interval $(0, 1]$ can be uniquely represented as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_k}{10^k}$, where $u_k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ for all positive integers 'k' and $u_k \neq 0$ infinitely often.

Given any positive integer K , let $\blacktriangleright_K$ be the operation on the unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ defined as follows: for every pair of real numbers u, v in the interval $[0, 1]$, with $u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_k}{10^k}$ and $v = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_k}{10^k}$, let $u \blacktriangleright_K v$ denote the real number $\sum_{k=1}^K \frac{u_k}{10^{2k-1}} + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{hK}} \left[\sum_{k=hK+1}^{(h+1)K} \frac{u_k}{10^k} + \sum_{k=(h-1)K+1}^{hK} \frac{v_k}{10^k} \right]$, which also belongs to the closed interval $[0, 1]$. $u \blacktriangleright_K v$ are alternating blocks, alternating between u 's and v 's, with each block having K terms.

The h^{th} block of u 's for $h \geq 2$, which is $\sum_{k=(h-1)K+1}^{hK} \frac{u_k}{10^k}$ is transformed under $u \blacktriangleright_K v$ to the $(2h - 1)^{\text{th}}$ block in the alternating series. Thus, for $j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ the $((h - 1)K + j)^{\text{th}}$ term in the h^{th} block of u 's for $h \geq 2$ gets transformed into the $(2(h - 1)K + j)^{\text{th}}$ term in the $(2h - 1)^{\text{th}}$ block in the alternating series. Thus, for $j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, $\frac{u_{(h-1)K+j}}{10^{(h-1)K+j}}$ has to be pre-multiplied by $\frac{1}{10^{(h-1)K}}$. Note that $2(h - 1)K + j = 2hK - 2K + j$.

The h^{th} block of v 's for $h \geq 1$, which is $\sum_{k=(h-1)K+1}^{hK} \frac{v_k}{10^k}$ is transformed under $u \blacktriangleright_K v$ to the $(2h)^{\text{th}}$ block in the alternating series. Thus, for $j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ the $((h - 1)K + j)^{\text{th}}$ term

in the h^{th} block of v 's for $h \geq 1$ gets transformed into the $((2h - 1)K + j)^{\text{th}}$ term in the $(2h)^{\text{th}}$ block in the alternating series. Note that $(2h - 1)K + j \neq 2hK - 2K + j$. Thus, for $j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, $\frac{v_{(h-1)K+j}}{10^{(h-1)K+j}}$ has to be pre-multiplied by $\frac{1}{10^{hK}}$.

Thus, $u \blacktriangleright_K v = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{u_k}{10^{2k-1}} + \frac{1}{10^K} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{v_k}{10^{2k-1}} + \frac{1}{10^K} \sum_{k=K+1}^{2K} \frac{u_k}{10^{2k-1}} + \frac{1}{10^{2K}} \sum_{k=K+1}^{2K} \frac{v_k}{10^{2k-1}} + \frac{1}{10^{2K}} \sum_{k=2K+1}^{3K} \frac{v_k}{10^{2k-1}} + \dots$ which is a convergent infinite series converging to a point in $[0, 1]$.

Clearly $u \blacktriangleright_K v$ gives some amount of priority to u over v .

This allows us to define preferences over $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ which has the function $U^{(K)}: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying $U^{(K)}(u, v) = u \blacktriangleright_K v$ for all $(u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ as a numerical representation. We refer to this function as the **K-alternating utility function**.

Let $u^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^k}$, $v^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8}{10^k}$. Thus, $U^{(1)}(u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{2k-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8}{10^{2k}}$.

Let $u^{(2)} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{2}{100} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^k}$, $v^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{7}{10^k}$. Thus, $U^{(1)}(u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{2}{1000} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{2k-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{7}{10^{2k}}$.

It is easy to see that $U^{(1)}(u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}) > U^{(1)}(u^{(2)}, v^{(2)})$ although $u^{(2)} > u^{(1)}$. Thus, according to lexicographic preferences (see Varian (1978)), $(u^{(2)}, v^{(2)})$ is strictly preferred to $(u^{(1)}, v^{(1)})$.

Since lexicographic preferences cannot be represented by any real valued utility function, preferences represented by a K-alternating utility function on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ can be considered as an alternative that incorporates the “core idea” behind lexicographic preferences and yet- unlike lexicographic preferences- has a reasonable numerical representation. Further, the larger the natural number K is, the better is the approximation of lexicographic preferences by a preference relation which has $U^{(K)}$ as a numerical representation.

2. The Framework of Pair-valued Bi-matrix Games: For positive integers m, n consider an interactive decision making context (game) with two players/decision-makers who we denote by ‘a’ and ‘b’ respectively, and where player ‘a’ has ‘m’ actions to choose from and ‘b’ has ‘n’ actions to choose from.

A **strategy for player ‘a’** is a point in $\Delta^{m-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \mid \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1\}$ and a **strategy for player ‘b’** is a point in $\Delta^{n-1} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid \sum_{j=1}^n y_j = 1\}$.

A strategy for player 'a' is a probability distribution on $\{1, \dots, m\}$, with each coordinate of the strategy denoting the probability with which player 'a' chooses the corresponding action. A strategy for player 'b' is a probability distribution on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, with each coordinate of the strategy denoting the probability with which player 'b' chooses the corresponding action.

A pair (x, y) where x is a strategy for player 'a' and y is a strategy for player 'b' is said to be a **strategy profile**.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices.

Given $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, let C_i denote the i^{th} row of C and C^j denote the j^{th} column of C .

For our purposes here, a member of $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ will be referred to as a **bi-matrix game**.

Given a bi-matrix game (A, B) a strategy profile (x, y) is said to be an **equilibrium strategy profile for (A, B)** , if for all $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$: $A_i y \leq x^T A y$ and $x^T B j \leq x^T B y$.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{(2, m \times n)}$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices whose entries are pairs of real number.

If $V \in \mathbb{R}^{(2, m \times n)}$, then for all $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, the typical notations are as follows: $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ entry of V is denoted by $(v_{ij}^{(1)}, v_{ij}^{(2)})$, the i^{th} row of V is denoted by V_i and the j^{th} column of V is denoted by V^j .

For our purposes here, a member of $\mathbb{R}^{(2, m \times n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(2, m \times n)}$ will be referred to as a **pair-valued bi-matrix game**.

This definition of a pair-valued bi-matrix game is available in Lahiri (2026a, 2026b) and subsequent work base on them.

Notation 1: Given a pair-valued bi-matrix game $(V(a), V(b))$, for $r \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\alpha \in \{a, b\}$, let $V^{(r)}(\alpha)$ be the $m \times n$ real-valued matrix whose $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ term for $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ is $v_{ij}^{(r)}(\alpha)$. The i^{th} row of $V^{(r)}(\alpha)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ is denoted by $V_i^{(r)}(\alpha)$ and the j^{th} column of $V^{(r)}(\alpha)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is denoted by $V^{(r)j}(\alpha)$. ■

We refer to a pair-valued bi-matrix game $(V(a), V(b))$ satisfying $v_{ij}^{(r)}(\alpha) \in [0, 1]$ for all $r \in \{1, 2\}$, $\alpha \in \{a, b\}$ and $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ as a **pair-valued bi-matrix game (based) on the unit square**.

Given the pair valued bi-matrix game on the unit square $(V(a), V(b))$ and any positive integer K , let $(\vec{V}(K)(a), \vec{V}(K)(b))$ be the bi-matrix game such that for all $\alpha \in \{a, b\}$ and $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ term of $\vec{V}(K)(\alpha)$ is the real number $v_{ij}^{(1)}(\alpha) \triangleright_K v_{ij}^{(2)}(\alpha)$.

Thus, every entry in $\vec{V}(K)(\alpha)$, gives some kind of priority to the first coordinate over the second coordinate in the corresponding entry in $V(\alpha)$.

A strategy profile (x, y) is said to a **K-alternating equilibrium** for the pair-valued bi-matrix game on the unit square if (x, y) is an equilibrium strategy profile for the bi-matrix game $(\vec{V}(K)(a), \vec{V}(K)(b))$.

3. Existence of Alternating equilibrium: The proof of the following theorem follows immediately from the existence of equilibrium strategy profile for bi-matrix games (see theorem 2 in Chandrasekaran (nd.)).

Theorem 1: For every positive integer K , the pair-valued bi-matrix game on the unit square $(V(a), V(b))$ has a K -alternating equilibrium.

Acknowledgment: I wish to thank Rana Barua for sparing his time and thought on the introductory section of the paper. However, the usual disclaimer applies (in this case, as always). I would also like to thank ChatGPT for pointing out an error in the algebra in the introductory section.

References

1. Chandrasekaran, R. (nd): Bimatrix Games.
<https://personal.utdallas.edu/~chandra/documents/6311/bimatrix.pdf>
2. Lahiri, S. (2026a): Lexicographic and Strictly Weighted Equilibrium Strategy Profiles with Applications to Interval-Valued Bi-matrix Games. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31224/7351>
3. Lahiri, S. (2026b): Pair-valued bi-matrix games and lexicographic equilibrium strategy profile. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31224/7699>
4. Varian, H. (1978): Microeconomic Analysis. W.W. Norton and Company, INC.